L S	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2 2	x	
	AVID FLOYD, et al.,	
1 1	Plaintiffs,	
	v.	08 CV 1034(SAS)
	ITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,	
, 7 7	Defendants.	
	x	
3	<del></del>	New York, N.Y.
)		April 16, 2013
)		10:00 a.m.
)		
) Be	efore:	
L		
L	HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINI	DLIN,
2		
2		District Judge
3	APPEARANCES	
	APPEARANCES	
BI	ELDOCK LEVINE & HOFFMAN, LLP	
D.	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
B	Y: JENN ROLNICK BORCHETTA	
	JONATHAN MOORE	
C	OVINGTON & BURLING, LLP	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
B.	Y: KASEY MARTINI	
	GRETCHEN HOFF VARNER	
	ERIC HELLERMAN	
	BRUCE COREY	
CI	ENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
B.	Y: DARIUS CHARNEY	
	SUNITA PATEL	
	BAHER AZMY	
	COLUMNIA DI CORDI CO DESCRIPTION	

1		APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2		
2	MICH	AEL A. CARDOZO
3		Corporation Counsel for the City of New York
3		Attorney for Defendants
4	BY:	HEIDI GROSSMAN
4		BRENDA E. COOKE
5		JOSEPH MARUTOLLO
5		MORGAN D. KUNZ
6		SUZANNA PUBLICKER
6		LINDA DONAHUE
7		LISA M. RICHARDSON
7		JUDSON VICKERS
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

1 SEAN GILLESPIE, resumed.

THE COURT: Good morning everyone.

3 Please be seated.

MR. CHARNEY: Good morning, your Honor.

- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
  - BY MR. CHARNEY:
- 7 Q. Good morning, Officer Gillespie.
- 8 A. Good morning.
- 9 Q. I wanted to clear up something you were talking about at
- 10 the end of the day yesterday. It was in regards to your
- 11 interview with the CCRB on October 22, 2010. Do you remember
- 12 that?

2

4

6

- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. And it's correct, right, at that interview you were asked
- 15 to provide the description that the Petco employee had given
- you of the man that was allegedly following the woman in the store.
- 18 Do you remember that?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20  $\,$  Q. And when -- in answer to that question you told the CCRB
- 21 investigator -- the only thing you told him that you remembered
- 22 about that description was that the man had been wearing green
- 23 camouflage pants, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Meant camouflage in the nature of the color, not SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

- 1 specifically army fatigues. But yes.
- 2 Q. And you didn't tell the CCRB investigator that you
- 3 remembered anything else about the description that the Petco
- 4 employee gave you, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. So after the Petco employee gave you the description -- now
- 7 we're going to go back to the actual incident -- after he gave
- 8 you that description -- and by you I mean you and the other
- 9 officers on the scene -- you saw Mr. Sindayiganza almost
- immediately after that, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And he was standing on the street in front of the -- I'm
- sorry on the sidewalk in front of the Petco; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes, to my recollection.
- 15 Q. And when you saw him, he was standing about five feet to
- 16 the west of where you and the other officers were, right?
- 17 A. Approximately.
- 18 Q. And so he was standing either right in front of the
- 19 entrance to the store or a little bit to the west of it?
- 20 A. In that general vicinity. Possibly to the east of the
- 21 entrance as well.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you remember being deposed in this case?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And you took an oath to tell the truth, right?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

1 Q. I want you to turn to page 32 of your deposition, line 21.

THE COURT: Mr. Charney could you do me a favor and keep your voice up all day.

MR. CHARNEY: I will try, your Honor.

Q. So page 32, line 1 -- 21. I'm sorry.

You see it says, "We, I guess, were just east of the entrance and then he would have been right about the entrance or a little bit west of."

Do you remember giving that answer?

10 A. Yes, I do.

4

5

6 7

8

9

25

- 11 Q. So it's correct then that he was either right in front of 12 the entrance or a little bit to the west of it, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So what I'm going to ask you to do is I'm going to hand
- 15 you -- you see we have Exhibit X10 on the screen. I'm going to
- 16 hand you another copy of it and a pen. If you could mark with
- an X where approximately you remember seeing Mr. Sindayiganza standing.
- 19 A. Marker is a little dead but.
- 20 MR. CHARNEY: You can make that out. I'll just make 21 it a little darker.
- I'm going to put this up here and I'm going to mark this as X10. I'm going to put a little g after it so we know this is the one Officer Gillespie marked.
  - So is it correct that to your recollection you saw SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

- 1 Mr. Sindayiganza standing about here where this X is?
- 2 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 3 Q. And again, this was almost immediately after the Petco
- 4 employee had spoken to you and the other officers, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And so at the point that you saw him, Mr. Sindayiganza,
- 7 Officer White and one or two other officers approached
- 8 Mr. Sindayiganza, correct?
- 9 A. To my recollection.
- 10 Q. And they got to within two feet of him, right?
- 11 A. Approximately.
- 12 Q. And they started speaking to him?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And at this point in time they were standing on the
- 15 sidewalk to the east of Mr. Sindayiganza, correct?
- 16 A. From what I remember.
- 17 Q. And while they were speaking to him you were standing about
- 18 five feet away; is that right?
- 19 A. Approximately.
- 20 Q. And then after a few minutes of speaking to
- 21 Mr. Sindayiganza, Officer White and the other officers went
- 22 into the store, correct?
- 23 A. From what I remember, yes.
- 24 Q. And at that point you and the other officers remained
- 25 outside with Mr. Sindayiganza, correct?

3435 Gillespie - direct

# D4g9flo1

- A. Correct.
- Q. And at this point in time you and the other officers were
- 3 standing near the curb while Mr. Sindayiganza was standing
- 4 between you and the wall of the store, correct?
- 5 A. From what I can remember.
- 6 Q. So you and the other officers were standing in between
- Mr. Sindayiganza and the street, correct? 7
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And during the time that you were standing there and
- 10 Mr. Sindayiganza was standing near the building, it was your
- 11 understanding that Mr. Sindayiganza was not free to leave the
- 12 scene, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And then at some point in time Officer White came back out 14
- 15 of the store and he instructed Mr. Sindayiganza to leave the
- 16 scene by walking north; is that right?
- 17 A. He asked Mr. Sindayiganza to leave the scene by heading
- 18 northbound.
- Q. Okay. Now prior to Officer White doing this, you do not 19
- 20 recall seeing the alleged victim, the woman herself, come out
- 21 of the store, do you?
- A. No, I do not. 22
- 2.3 Q. And after Officer White asked Mr. Sindayiganza to walk
- 24 north, Mr. Sindayiganza said that he did not want to do so,
- 25 correct?

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

1 A. Basically that's what he was getting at. He was very

- 2 agitated. He started raising his voice.
- 3 He didn't use those exact words but yes, he was
- 4 refusing to travel northbound.
- 5 Q. And that's because he wanted to travel to the subway
- 6 station at Union Square, correct?
- 7 A. I believe so.
- 8 Q. And after he refused to walk north, he was placed in
- 9 handcuffs and arrested, correct?
- 10 A. After he began becoming disorderly and causing alarm on the
- 11 scene, causing people to gather and take notice as to what was
- going on, yes, he was placed in handcuffs.
- 13 Q. Now, prior to him being placed under arrest, you didn't
- 14 have any reason to suspect he was carrying a weapon, correct?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. And prior to him being placed under arrest you did not have
- 17 any reason to suspect him of having committed a violent crime,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So you would agree that before he was placed under arrest
- 21 there would not have been any justification to frisk him,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Now, you didn't make any entries about this encounter in
- 25 your memo book, right?

3437 Gillespie - direct

## D4g9flo1

- A. Correct.
- Q. And the reason is because in your view this was another
- 3 officer's stop, correct?
- A. Yes. Generally I don't put other officer's stops in my
- 5 memo book when I have that little of action in regards to the
- 6 encounter. I didn't have any conversation with
- 7 Mr. Sindayiganza nor the victim or the, you know -- honestly,
- 8 all I really had was when the employee came out and provided us
- 9 with the description.
- 10 Q. So you did have a description, right?
- 11
- 12 Q. And you also observed the interaction between Officer White
- 13 and Mr. Sindayiganza, right?
- A. Yes. I was on the scene. Obviously I was going to observe 14
- 15 everything that was going on.
- 16 Q. But your practice in such cases like that is to not report
- 17 any details about the encounter in your memo book?
- 18 A. Again, generally I don't -- when my actions in regards to
- the encounter are that minimal, I don't put that in my memo 19
- 20
- 21 Q. And you don't put your observations about the incident in
- 22 your memo book either, right?
- 2.3 A. No.
- 24 Q. And you've never been trained by your supervisors in the
- 25 NYPD that you should be recording other officers' stop SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

1 encounters in your memo book even if you did witness them; is

2 that right?

4

- 3 A. We are trained to put our daily activities in our memo
  - book. I have never been specifically spoken to by any of my
- 5 supervisors in regards to a specific incident where I didn't
- 6 put another officer's stop in my memo book.
- 7 Q. But you also have never been told as a general matter that
- 8 if you observe another officer make a stop -- you've never been
- 9 told that you should record what you observe in your memo book;
- 10 is that right?
- 11 A. To my understanding if you have, you know, actions involved
- 12 with that encounter you should have that in your memo book.
- 13 There's many times where you see two officers stopping somebody
- 14 and you're simply driving by. They give you a thumbs up that
- 15 they're okay. You observed that they were on the scene with
- 16 somebody stopped. But is that necessary to put in your memo
- 17 book, I don't believe so.
- 18 Q. Well my question is: If you're actually on the scene and
- 19 you observe the stop take place and you see the interaction
- 20 between the civilian and the officer, you've never been told by
- 21 your supervisors that in those situations you should record
- 22 what you observed in your memo book?
- 23 A. Not specifically, no.
- 24 Q. So if you were called to testify about another officer's
- 25 stop in court or at the CCRB, for example, like you're doing SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

1 today, you would rely solely on the memories in your head of

- 2 the incident; is that right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And you've never been disciplined for failing to make an
- 5 entry about a stop in your activity log, right?
- 6 A. No, I have not.
- 7 Q. Now when you complete a UF 250 form for that stop that you
- 8 conduct and you hand it in -- you hand it in to either your
- 9 immediate supervisor or the desk sergeant, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And the supervisor usually reviews it in your presence?
- 12 A. Generally, yes.
- 13 Q. But on no occasion when a supervisor has reviewed one of
- 14 your 250s in your presence has he or she asked you to explain
- 15 the reasons that you made the stop; is that right?
- 16 A. No. That's not correct. When they review it they, you
- 17 know, they may say ask about, you know, the encounter, what may
- 18 have happened, what led to the encounter. I mean I've never
- 19 been disciplined or spoken to in regards to like specific memo
- 20 book entries but, yeah, they'll discuss the stop with you.
- 21 Q. Well if you can turn to page 108 of your deposition, line
- 22 11.
- 23 Do you see it says, "Do they review it in your
- 24 presence usually?" That's the question.
- 25 "A. Usually, yes.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct

- 1 "Q. Okay. Have, in your experience, have they ever asked you questions about anything that you have written on your forms?
- 3 "A. No. Generally my forms are pretty much on point."
  - MS. PUBLICKER: Though I would continue to the next set of questions.
- 6 "Q. Okay. They've never asked you, for example, you know, to explain why you may have checked off a particular reason for a stop?
  - MR. CHARNEY: No.
- MS. PUBLICKER: "Answer: No.
- "You can answer.
  - "No. Never why like I, you know, if -- if they would read about the narrative they would read about the stop they may ask, you know, what happened, what occurred throughout the stop. But never, 'Why did you check off this box or that box?'"
- 17 BY MR. CHARNEY:

4

5

9

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 Q. And when your supervisors do review the 250s that you
- completed, they don't typically review your memo book entry at
- 20 the same time, do they?
- 21 A. It really depends on the situation.
- Q. If you could turn to page 109 of the deposition, line 10.
- 23 "Q. When they review your UF 250s, did they also review your
- 24 activity log entries about the stop typically?
- 25 "Objection.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - direct "You can answer. 2 "A. No. Not really." 3 Do you remember giving that answer? A. Yes, I remember. 4

5 MR. CHARNEY: One moment, your Honor.

6 (Pause)

7 No further questions, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

9 Ms. Publicker.

- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. PUBLICKER:
- 12 Q. Good morning, Officer Gillespie.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- Q. How long have you been employed by the New York City Police 14
- 15 Department?
- 16 A. Almost five years now.
- 17 Q. And how long had you been working for the police department
- 18 at the time of the stop of Mr. Sindayiganza?
- A. February 2010, correct? 19
- 20 Q. Yes.
- A. About two, two-and-a-half years. 21
- 22 Q. Did you go to college?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Where did you go to college? 24
- 25 A. I started my freshman year at Sacred Heart University in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 Connecticut. Then I transferred to Albany State University,
- 2 New York.
- 3 Q. Why did you become a police officer?
- 4 A. I have a few family members that were on the job and from a
- 5 young age it was kind of just always embedded in me, you know,
- 6 helping others and protecting the community, serving the
- 7 community.
- 8 Q. Where are you currently assigned within the NYPD?
- 9 A. Currently I'm assigned to the 13th precinct.
- 10 Q. How long have you been assigned there?
- 11 A. Since August of 2010.
- 12 Q. What area does the 13th precinct cover?
- 13 A. 14th Street to 30th Street from the East River to 7th
- 14 Avenue, the east side of 7th Avenue.
- 15 Q. What are the typical crime conditions in the 13th
- 16 precinct?
- 17 A. We have all crime, you know, that you can think of, but
- 18 generally we usually have high numbers of larceny throughout
- 19 the command.
- Q. What kind of larceny are those?
- 21 A. Both petit and grand. A lot of you know shoplifting, bag
- 22 snatches, phone snatches. For example, you know, we have a lot
- 23 of restaurants, bars and clubs in the command, people leaving
- their bag out and people just simply picking them up and
- 25 walking out with them.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 Q. What is your current assignment within the 13th precinct?

- A. I'm assigned to the special ops conditions unit.
- 3 Q. What is a conditions unit?
  - A. Basically we, instead of responding to radio jobs obviously
- 5 we respond to high priority radio jobs. But instead of
- 6 responding to radio jobs like a regular sector on patrol, we
- 7 drive around to specific locations that we've identified as
- 8 high crime locations through specific patterns in the command
- 9 and we do our best to combat the quality of life and crime
- 10 patterns that we have.
- 11 Q. How do you learn about those crime patterns and crime
- 12 conditions?

4

19

- 13 A. Well, we review our crime patterns with our supervisor on a
- 14 daily basis. We review complaint reports that come in. We
- 15 take complaint reports ourselves for specific locations, you
- 16 know, so we obviously know firsthand.
- 17 They have posted in our muster room, they have
- 18 different crime patterns and different conditions in each
  - sector. We have wanted posters. We have recidivist posters.
- 20 We have all that stuff posted.
- 21 Q. Do you use the quest for excellence command conditions
- 22 reports?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How do you use those?
- 25 A. Just review them and see what specific, you know, patterns SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross or conditions are taking place in specific areas in the precinct. And then go out and do our best to combat that 3 4 Q. When you're looking at the patterns in this paperwork, what 5 are you looking for? 6 MR. CHARNEY: Your Honor, I'm going object to this line of questioning. It has nothing to do with the stop that 7 8 he was called to testify about. And I really don't understand 9 what it has to do with any of the issues. 10 THE COURT: The background concept of crime 11 conditions, policing. Remember we've had a lot of talk about 12 robbery and --13 MR. CHARNEY: I understand. 14 THE COURT: -- the stop involving the robbery. We 15 talked about all those robberies in the area. We had the map 16 that showed the robberies in the area. So the idea is it's a 17 crime condition that's being reported. 18 MR. CHARNEY: I understand that. 19 But this particular stop there was no assertion that 20 this stop was in any way based on any kind of crime pattern. THE COURT: That's true. 21 22 MS. PUBLICKER: That's true, your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Also that's true. 24 Now if that's true why are we going on then so much 25 about crime conditions.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

MS. PUBLICKER: Your Honor, we're using Officer Gillespie also to go beyond just the stop, to talk about, for example, right now he's talking about the quest for excellence command conditions reports. You know, Commissioner Beirne was on yesterday talking about the quest for excellence. So he's providing information for the Court on how those are used by officers today.

MR. CHARNEY: But they could have asked Commissioner Beirne about it. He was their 30(b)(6) witness. And Ms. Grossman asked him one questioned and sat down.

THE COURT: And you cross-examined and said: But you haven't been the precinct commander for 20 years. So this witness is bringing it to date, so to speak, bringing it current. You questioned by saying he hasn't really been in the field for a long time.

MS. PUBLICKER: He's also a defense witness that we again could put on in our own case in chief.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

- Q. Officer Gillespie I believe the question was when you're looking at these patterns and paperwork, what are you looking for?
- 22 A. Well, basically we're looking for specific times,
- 23 locations, descriptions or possible photographs, surveillance
- 24 photographs, video footage of the crimes that have taken place
- 25 in order for us to direct our patrol and our attention to those SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 times, places and locations.
- Q. Has there ever been a time where you've investigated a
- 3 crime pattern outside of your tour?
- 4 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

- 5 Q. Could you tell the court about one of those times.
- 6 A. A few months ago we were having a burglary -- we had a
- 7 burglary pattern throughout the command. It was just
- 8 commercial establishments, mainly restaurants that had been
- 9 broken into. And the time that was identified had been, I
- 10 believe, between the hours of like midnight and 3:00 a.m.

11 You know, our commanding officer wanted us to have 12 around-the-clock coverage for this crime pattern because 13 obviously it was a big issue in the command.

On Sundays and Mondays the majority of the special ops units aren't in. Myself and my supervisor happened to work Sunday and Mondays, and were both plain clothes qualified.

So our tour was changed. We were doing midnights for,

- 18 I think, like three or four weeks on Sundays and Mondays so
- that we could have constant coverage in regards to this crime pattern.
- 21 Q. Did you believe that tour change was a punishment?
- 22 A. No. I just thought it was me doing my part in combating
- 23 the crime in the precinct.
- Q. How was that crime condition addressed?
- 25 A. Well we were out in plain clothes. We were responding to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 all suspicious person jobs, to all alarm jobs, ten, eleven

- 2 alarm jobs in specific locations. We did directed patrols in
- 3 the areas of which the, you know, the burglary patterns were
- 4 taking place. There was increased patrol, uniform presence
- 5 doing directed patrols to all these locations as well.
- 6 Q. Was the individual ever arrested?
- 7 A. To be honest, I'm not sure. I know that the crime pattern
- 8 stopped. I don't know if, you know, it's very possible there
- 9 was a pattern throughout other commands as well. You know
- 10 maybe a detective unit did at some point apprehend the suspect.
- 11 To my knowledge, not from a precinct officer. But you know we
- 12 were out there day-in and day-out, you know, directing our
- 13 patrol towards these locations. So it's also possible that the
- increased presence just deterred the crime itself.
- 15 Q. Now, going to the incident with Mr. Sindayiganza, why were
- 16 you at the corner of 17th Street and Broadway at about
- 17 6:30 p.m. that day?
- 18 A. We usually actually went back to that location, everybody
- 19 that was on that detail for the day, in order for the van to
- 20 just come and pick us up at one spot and transport us back to
- 21 the midtown south station house.
- 22 Q. How did the employee from Petco flag the officers down?
- 23 A. I don't remember him flagging us or anything. We were just
- on the corner standing there. Just walked up to us.
- Q. Do you remember what that employee looked like? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

#### D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 A. I just remember it was a male white wearing a Petco shirt

- 2 with a Petco insignia on it.
- 3 Q. What happened after he came over?
- 4 A. To my recollection, he provided us with a description and
- 5 there was a person in the vicinity of the Petco that happened
- 6 to fit that description, you know, pretty exactly. At that
- 7 point Officer White and -- I don't really recall who he was
- 8 working with at that time -- but they started to handle the job
- 9 themselves.
- 10 Q. Did you ever speak to the victim?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 Q. Mr. Charney was asking you questions about the description
- 13 that you provided to the CCRB about the description provided to
- 14 you by the employee. Do you remember that?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And I believe at the time of your -- the CCRB you stated
- 17 that it was just dark green clothing?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. Is that correct?
- 20 A. I think I said camouflage but I didn't mean army fatigues.
- 21 I just meant like the coloring of the clothes.
- 22 Q. Do you recall receiving a more detailed description than
- just that one at the time of the incident?
- 24 A. Yes. I mean we definitely received a pretty detailed
- 25 description. And like I said, it just happened to be that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

### D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 there was a person fitting that description in the vicinity

- 2 right then and there. I later found out he was identified as
- 3 Mr. Sindayiganza.
- 4 Q. And why do you remember more about this stop now than you
- 5 did at the time of your CCRB interview?
- 6 A. Well at the time I mean it was the first I had really heard
- of the incident, since the day of. And again, like I said
- 8 earlier, my actions involved in the encounter were minimal. So
- 9 just, you know, time and thinking about it and different, you
- 10 know, I was provided a statement of what Mr. Sindayiganza said
- 11 happened that day. So his statement of what happened helped me
- 12 recall some of the incidents and how they actually did and did
- 13 not happen, were played out.
- 14 Q. And were you provided any of those documents before your
- 15 CCRB interview?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Did you hear the other officers speaking with
- 18 Mr. Sindayiganza that day?
- 19 A. I mean I know they were engaged in a conversation with him
- 20 but I couldn't hear like what they were actually saying to each
- 21 other.
- 22 Q. Did you ever speak with Mr. Sindayiganza?
- 23 A. No, I did not.
- 24 Q. What was Mr. Sindayiganza's demeanor at the time he was
- 25 stopped?

#### D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

A. When he was first stopped he seemed a little agitated, a

- little confused as to why he was being stopped. Besides that,
- 3 you know, until he was asked to travel northbound, he seemed
- 4 okay. And then he just started getting very agitated that he
- 5 was being asked to go a direction that he didn't want to travel 6
- 7
- Q. So his demeanor changed at some point during this
- 8 interaction?
- 9 A. Yes, it did.
- 10 Q. Did you ever see the complainant exit the building?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 Q. So how did you know that she had identified
- 13 Mr. Sindayiganza?
- 14 A. Just from Officer White, from what he was saying and the
- 15 way he was handling the situation.
  - MR. CHARNEY: Objection. Hearsay.
- 17 THE COURT: It's really for what he observed. It's
- 18

16

- 19 Q. So what you observed of Officer White indicated to you that
- 20 the complainant had identified Mr. Sindayiganza?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you personally place Mr. Sindayiganza in handcuffs? 22
- 2.3 A. No, I did not.
- 24 Q. Did you see another officer place him in handcuffs?
- 25 A. I don't recall who did but I know that he was placed in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- handcuffs.
- Q. Do you know whether Mr. Sindayiganza was searched or
- frisked before the woman identified him? 3
- 4 A. From my recollection he was not searched or frisked before 5 the woman identified him.

- 6 MR. CHARNEY: Objection because he doesn't know when the woman identified. He never saw the woman. So he has no 7 8 foundation for that answer. Move to strike.
- 9 THE COURT: That's true.
- 10 BY MS. PUBLICKER:
- 11 Q. So do you know whether Mr. Sindayiganza was searched or
- frisked before you believed that the woman --12
- 13 THE COURT: That would be -- I sustain the objection.
- 14 Strike the prior answer.
- 15 Q. So Officer White left the group of officers at some point
- 16 to enter the Petco, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And did he return at some later point?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Was Mr. Sindayiganza searched or frisked before Officer
- 21 White returned from inside Petco?
- 22 A. No.
- 2.3 Q. Did you frisk Mr. Sindayiganza?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Did you search him?

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Did you search his backpack?
- 3 A. No.

6

- 4 Q. Did you hear Officer White call Mr. Sindayiganza a dick?
- 5 A. No, I did not.
  - MS. PUBLICKER: I don't think that's very funny,
- 7 Mr. Charney.
- 8 MR. CHARNEY: I'm not laughing at you.
- 9 Q. Did you call Mr. Sindayiganza an asshole?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 Q. Did you hear any other officers call Mr. Sindayiganza an
- 12 asshole?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- 14 Q. Were you trained on the law of stop, question and frisk in
- 15 the police academy?
- 16 A. Yes, I was.
- 17 Q. How were you trained on that law?
- 18 A. Well we were trained in the classroom with patrol guide
- 19 procedures, books, student guides. And we were also trained
- 20 with role playing scenarios.
- 21 Q. Have you been trained on the law of stop, question and
- frisk since you left the police academy?
- 23 A. Yes. I mean we review it at many trainings that we go to,
- 24 you know, almost a yearly basis.
- Q. What kind of trainings do you receive on the law of stop, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 question and frisk since you've left the police academy?
- 2 A. I received specific in-classroom trainings and also just at
- 3 the different tactical trainings that we go to, the encounters,
- 4 stop, question and frisk encounters are always gone over in
- 5 those trainings.
- 6 Q. Have you been trained on accurately filling out police
- 7 reports?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Have you been trained on telling the truth in police
- 10 reports?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What is your understanding of what can happen to a police
- officer who lies on the police reports?
- 14 A. Well, you -- be subject to not only disciplinary action
- 15 from the department but also, you know, criminal action as
- 16 well.
- 17 Q. I believe you stated earlier that you did not include a
- 18 memo book entry about this stop; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And why didn't you include a memo book entry?
- 21 A. Again, my action in the encounter just was very small. I
- 22 didn't put this in my memo book because generally I don't put
- other officers' stops in my memo book.
- 24 Q. If your interaction with Mr. Sindayiganza had been greater,
- 25 would you have included an entry in your memo book?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

#### D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 A. Yes. If I had any type of conversation or if I were the

- officer that possibly searched or frisked Mr. Sindayiganza,
- 3 that would be in my memo book.
- 4 Q. Do you know if the NYPD has a policy prohibiting racial
- 5 profiling?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What do you understand that policy to be?
- 8 A. We do not racially profile.
- 9 Q. When did you first learn about that policy?
- 10 A. In the academy.
- 11 Q. Have you ever reviewed the written policy?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Have you ever been trained on that written policy?
- 14 A. (No response).
- 15 Q. Since you left the police academy? I'm sorry.
- 16 A. Again like the stop, question and frisk encounters, a lot
- 17 of that training comes up in all the role playing scenarios
- 18 that we go over in a lot of our different tactical trainings
- 19 that we go to.
- 20 Q. Have you ever been subject to a quota?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Have you ever been pressured to conduct a certain number of
- 23 stops, arrests or summonses?
- 24 A. No, I have not.
- 25 Q. Have you ever felt that if you did not conduct a certain SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 number of stops, arrests or summonses that you'd be punished?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Have you ever felt pressure to make unconstitutional stops,
- 4 arrests or summonses?
- 5 A. No, I have not.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with the quest for excellence Operations
- 7 Order 52?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Has a supervisor ever explained to you what performance
- 10 goals are?
- 11 A. Yes.

14

- 12 Q. And what have they explained to you?
- 13 A. My understanding, it is more --
  - MR. CHARNEY: Objection hearsay.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 Q. What is your understanding of what a performance goal is?
- 17 A. It's certain ways that we can go out and self-initiate
- 18 arrests, summons activity, stops, directed patrols, certain
- 19 ways for us to, you know, understand and generate specific
- 20 locations and times and patterns that we could direct our
- 21 patrol.
- 22 Q. Do you understand performance goals to be a quota?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Officer Gillespie, do you recognize the document I just
- 25 showed you?

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 A. Yes, I do.

4

6

8

- 2 Q. What is this?
- 3 A. This is my monthly activity report.
  - Q. For what period?
- 5 A. January 2010, February 2010, and March 2010.

MS. PUBLICKER: Your Honor, I would admit Defendants'

7 Exhibit Q13 into evidence.

- MR. CHARNEY: No objection.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. Q13 is received.
- 10 (Defendants' Exhibit Q13 received in evidence)
- 11 Q. Officer Gillespie, looking at this first -- this is January
- 12 2010. What was your declared condition for that month?
- 13 A. Grand larceny prevention.
- 14 Q. And how would you indicate on this form whether or not you
- 15 were addressing that condition?
- 16 A. Well each day you put down what specific post you had or,
- 17 you know, detail or assignment for the day. And then you put
- 18 whatever type of activity you took, whether it be arrests,
- 19 summonses, directed patrols, vertical patrols, radio runs. And
- 20 then all the way to the end there the conditions -- conditions
- 21 one is the general enforcement and conditions two is how you --
- 22 what action you took to prevent the grand larceny, you know,
- 23 for that day.
- 24 Q. So in the column marked condition one you would indicate
- 25 the activity you had that day that addressed general

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 enforcement against crime?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And then in the column marked conditions two you would
- 4 indicate the activity you performed that addressed the declared
- 5 condition which for this month was grand larceny prevention?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. How many days on patrol did you work in January 2010?
- 8 A. Ten.
- 9 Q. How many vertical patrols did you conduct that month?
- 10 A. Eighteen.
- 11 Q. And were those residential vertical patrols?
- 12 A. I'm not sure about all of them. But some of them could
- have been. Most of them were probably commercial.
- 14 Q. How many parking violations did you write that month?
- 15 A. Eighteen.
- 16 Q. Was it difficult to write 18 parking summonses in a month in midtown?
- MR. CHARNEY: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: I don't know what it means. Is that the 20 objection?
- MR. CHARNEY: Yes. The question --
- 22 THE COURT: Is vague. I don't know what "difficult"
- means.
- 24 Q. Did you see many parking violations in midtown Manhattan?
- 25 A. Yes. It's midtown Manhattan. You could probably write a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 hundred parking summonses a day if you really wanted to.

- Q. How many summonses did you write that month?
- 3 A. I believe 25.

THE COURT: Where do I find that?

5 THE WITNESS: I have to add it up. I think was 18, 4,

6 and 3.

4

7 MS. PUBLICKER: Eighteen parking violations? 8 THE COURT: Okay.

- 9 Q. How many C summonses, Officer Gillespie?
- 10 A. Four criminal court summonses.
- 11 Q. And there's another column marked ECB. Could you explain
- 12 to the court what ECB.
- 13 A. It's environmental control board summonses.
- 14 THE COURT: Sorry. What?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Environmental control board summonses.
- 16 A lot of vending provisions, quality of life, stuff
- $\,$  17  $\,$  with animals, summonses such as that. And I had issued three
- 18 that month.
- 19 Q. And how many stop and frisks did you conduct?
- 20 A. Three.
- 21 Q. Turning to the back of this page, on the back this is where
- 22 you indicate how you've addressed your specified conditions; is
- 23 that correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And so for the month of January 2010 how did you indicate SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

1 that you addressed your declared condition of grand larceny

- 2 prevention?
- 3 A. With vertical and direct patrols in many of the commercial
- 4 establishments in Times Square.
- 5 Q. To address your declared condition you did not make a
- 6 single arrest?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Or issue a single summons?
- 9 A. Correct. For my declared condition.
- 10 Q. Or conduct a stop for your declared condition; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. In fact, how many arrests did you make that month?
- 14 A. I did not have any arrests that month.
- THE COURT: No? Is that right? I thought if you
- 16 look --
- 17 MS. PUBLICKER: There is no arrests that month, your
- 18 Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: What's the two? The bottom. I'm looking
- 20 at the category called arrests on the top. The top says
- 21 arrests, summonses, reports, conditions. Do you see those
- 22 four?
- 23 MS. PUBLICKER: So Officer Gillespie you see here --
- 24 THE COURT: Then it says, under arrest, there's
- felonies, misdemeanors, violations, and arrests on warrants.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross There is a two at the bottom for I guess --2 MS. PUBLICKER: Your Honor, are you looking at 3 February? 4 THE COURT: Oh, sorry. No two. 5 BY MS. PUBLICKER: 6 Q. So for the month of January, Officer Gillespie, did you 7 have any arrests that month? 8 A. For the month of January, no, I did not have any arrests. 9 MS. PUBLICKER: Now I'll move to February, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: But he's still saying no arrests and I see 11 two, two for violations. 12 MS. PUBLICKER: These are summonses. I'm sorry, your 13 Honor, I'm not sure --THE COURT: I'm in February. I see. I wasn't in 14 15 January. Now we're in February. Okay. Now see two for 16 violations? 17 MS. PUBLICKER: So for February you did have two 18 arrests; is that correct, Officer Gillespie? THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 Q. And in February of 2010 how many parking violations did you 22 issue?

23 A. February of 2010 --

THE COURT: Fourteen. 24

25 THE WITNESS: Fourteen. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

#### D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 Q. Did you issue any criminal court summonses that month?
- 2 A. No. I don't have any criminal court summonses that month.
- 3 Q. Did you have any ECB summons?
  - THE COURT: This is slow. I'm looking at the chart
- 5 that's in evidence. I see the figures.
- 6 Q. So were you punished in any way for dropping in the number
- of summonses you issued from January to February, Officer
- 8 Gillespie?
- 9 A. No, I was not.
- 10 Q. Were you spoken to at all about that drop?
- 11 A. No.

4

- 12 Q. Turning to the back.
- Could you again state what your -- what activities you
- 14 conducted to address your declared condition.
- 15 A. I believe my declared condition again was grand larceny
- 16 prevention and again there is, you know, vertical and direct
- 17 patrols in the commercial establishments throughout Times
- 18 Square.
- 19 Q. So again for a second month, for your declared condition,
- you did not have any summonses, arrests, or 250s?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And now moving to March 2010. What was your declared
- 23 condition that month?
- 24 THE COURT: Look, I'm looking at the form. Alcohol
- 25 what?

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 THE WITNESS: Confiscation.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- ${\tt Q.}$   $\,$  And what did you do that month to address your declared
- 4 condition?
- 5 A. Issued criminal court summonses for open containers with
- 6 alcohol.
- 7 Q. And five of those were on the same day that month?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what day was that?
- 10 A. March 17.
- 11 Q. Which is?
- 12 A. Happens to be St. Patrick's Day.
- 13 Q. Now turning to the back of this form, what activities did
- 14 you conduct to address your declared condition that month?
- 15 A. I issued summonses for open containers of alcohol, public
- 16 consumption of alcohol.
- 17 Q. Now next to that declared condition there's a three that's
- 18 circled. Is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And was this part of your quarterly evaluation by a
- 21 supervisor?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.
- 23 Q. But does this circled three indicate only an evaluation for
- the month of March 2010?
- 25 A. No. It would be for the entire quarter.

D4g9flo1 Gillespie - cross

- 1 Q. So January, February, and March?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And so the three is the highest score you could get,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And that is a three even though you had two months where
- 7 you did not have a single arrest, summons, or 250 for your
- 8 declared condition?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 MS. PUBLICKER: No further questions, your Honor.
- MR. CHARNEY: A couple.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
- Mr. Charney, redirect?
- MR. CHARNEY: Yes.
- 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. CHARNEY:
- 17 Q. Officer Gillespie, do you remember you were asked by
- 18 Ms. Publicker on cross that one of the things that helped you
- 19 remember more about the encounter with Mr. Sindayiganza was
- 20 having read his written statement since his -- since your CCRB
- 21 interview?
- 22 A. I didn't say that it was a written statement. I just said
- 23 that I received a statement from --
- Q. Well it would have had to have been in writing?
- 25 A. It was in writing. I don't know if he's the one that wrote SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Gillespie - redirect

- 1 it.
- 2 Q. So you did read a written statement that Mr. Sindayiganza
- 3 made, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. So you would agree that having a written report of the
- 6 incident helps jog your memory, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And isn't that the reason that the NYPD patrol guide
- 9 requires officers to document everything that they do on a tour
- 10 in their activity log?
- 11 A. Yes. Everything that they do on their tour.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- The other thing I wanted to make sure I understand is you testified on cross that that you had been with the NYPD
- two-and-a-half years at the point that you stopped
- 16 Mr. Sindayiganza.
- Do you remember saying that?
- 18 MS. PUBLICKER: I don't believe that's what the
- 19 witness testified to.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I think I said approximately two,
- two-and-a-half years.
- MR. CHARNEY: So he did say that.
- 23 THE WITNESS: My math might not have been correct. I
- 24 came on in July of 2008. And the stop was February of 2010.
- MR. CHARNEY: So it would have been about a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

## Gillespie - redirect

- 1 year-and-a-half?
- 2 THE WITNESS: July of 2008 and February 2010. Yeah, I
- 3 guess it was about a year-and-a-half then.
- ${\tt Q.}$  And you had been out of the academy only about a year at
- 5 that point, right?
  - A. Yes. Correct. From January 2009 to --
- 7 O. So 13 months?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Now, you also testified on cross about I guess a burglary
- 10 pattern that you had been working on in your new assignment.
- 11 In terms of this burglary pattern, what was the locations of
- 12 the reported burglaries? How far apart from each other were
- 13 they?

6

- 14 A. They were more or less on First and Third Avenue at the
- 15 commercial establishments. I believe from about -- I think the
- 16 chicken place was on 21st Street and the other place was on
- 17 17th Street.
- 18 Q. So all between First and Third Avenues?
- 19 A. First and Third Avenue, yeah, from like I'd say 21st,
- 20 22nd down to about 16th Street.
- 21 Q. And last question. Exhibit Q13 which we were looking at,
- 22 your monthly performance reports, and I want to actually look
- 23 at the last page again, the March 2010, the supervisor
- 24 quarterly review?
- 25 A. Yes.

# Gillespie - redirect

- 1 Q. So Ms. Publicker asked you about the scores that your
- 2 supervisor gave you. And it looks like you got a three out of
- 3 three on the item two which is your addressing declared
- 4 conditions. But on number one, the general enforcement, you
- 5 got only a four out of six, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So you didn't get the highest score for that one, right?
- 8 A. Correct.

17

- 9 Q. And that could have been because your supervisor determined 10 that your efforts to address general enforcement were not the 11 highest they could have been?
- MS. PUBLICKER: Objection, your Honor. Speculative.
  THE COURT: Could have been.
- Q. Do you know why you only got a four out of six on that?
  THE COURT: That I'll take.
- 16 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
  - THE COURT: Nobody told you?
- 18 THE WITNESS: No.
- I mean we review our quarterly ratings with our supervisors. We have to sign off on them.
- 21 But I wasn't given specific -- a specific directive as 22 to why I got a four instead of a six.
- Q. And also going down here your supervisor, in the additional
- comments section, didn't write anything, right?
- 25 A. No, he did not.

# Gillespie - redirect

- 1 Q. Okay.
- MR. CHARNEY: No further questions, your Honor.
- 3 MS. PUBLICKER: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 5 (Witness excused)
- 6 THE COURT: Who is next?
- 7 MS. MARTINI: Plaintiffs call officered officer Edward
- 8 Arias.
- 9 EDWARD ARIAS,
- 10 called as a witness by the Plaintiffs,
- 11 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MS. MARTINI:
- 14 Q. Good morning, Officer Arias.
- 15 A. Good morning.
- 16 Q. You joined the NYPD in July 2006, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And in February of 2008 you were assigned to the 28th
- 19 precinct?
- 20 A. No. The 23rd precinct.
- 21 Q. I'm sorry. The 23rd precinct.
- 22 And on February 5, 2008 you stopped a man named Clive
- 23 Lino, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And your partner that night was officer Brian Kovall? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. You saw Mr. Lino and another man standing on the corner of
- 3 103rd Street and Lexington Avenue?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And Mr. Lino was wearing a yellowish beige coat, correct?
- 6 A. Yes, he was.
- 7 Q. And his friend was wearing a darker coat, correct?
- 8 A. I believe so, yes.
- 9 Q. And you told one of the men that the reason for the stop
- 10 had to do with one of the jackets that one of the individuals
- 11 was wearing, correct?
- 12 A. I believe that's correct, yes.
- 13 Q. And prior to the stop you had been informed of a robbery
- 14 pattern in the area; isn't that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You had been informed of the robbery pattern by a
- 17 supervisor, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you were told that the pattern included two black
- 20 males, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Committing gunpoint robberies, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. One of the robberies was in the vicinity of a check cashing
- 25 place near 103rd and Lexington Avenue?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that one of the robberies included a male wearing a
- 3 beige or yellow coat and an accomplice wearing a blue or black
- 4 coat, correct?
- 5 A. Sounds right, yes.
- 6 Q. But that is all that you recall being told by the robbery
- 7 pattern, correct?
- 8 A. Yeah. I suppose that individual robbery pattern, yes.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry?
- 10 A. That individual robbery pattern, yes.
- 11 And we also had pedigree information on the two male
- 12 blacks. We had approximate age, height, weight. So there was
- other information involved in the robbery pattern. It wasn't
- just two black males wearing winter coats.
- 15 Q. You gave a deposition in this case, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. And you swore to tell the truth that time deposition,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. I'm handing you your deposition in this case. I'm going to
- 21 read from page 29 beginning at line 25 through page 30, ending
- 22 at line 18.
- "Q. What were you told about the robbery pattern?
- 24 "A. The robberies included two black males committing gunpoint
- robberies in the confines of the 23rd precinct, one of them SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Arias - direct D4g9flo1 having occurred in the vicinity of the check cashing place 2 between 102nd, 103rd and Lexington Avenue. 3 "Q. What else were you told about the robbery pattern? 4 "A. One of the robberies included a male wearing a beige or a 5 yellow style winter coat with an accomplice wearing a darker, 6 perhaps blue or black coat. 7 "Q. Were you told anything else about the robbery pattern? 8 "A. That is what I recall mostly about the robbery pattern." 9 Did you provide those answers to those questions? 10 A. Yes, I did. But I also provided other answers during the 11 deposition. That was what I said earlier. 12 MR. KUNZ: So, your Honor, I just want to point out on 13 the previous page, page 28, lines 3 through 8. 14 "Q. What was the robbery pattern for the height and stature? 15 "A. I believe it was somewhere like five/six, five/eight to 16 six feet tall, roughly. I don't recall exactly what it was." 17 THE COURT: Did you say five/six what? 18 MR. KUNZ: Five/six, five/eight, to six foot. 19 THE COURT: So somewhere between five/six and six 20 feet? 21 MR. KUNZ: Right. Roughly -- well five/six, 22 five/eight to six foot, somewhere in that range. 2.3 "Roughly. I don't recall exactly what it was but at 24 the time I thought they fit the robbery pattern." 25 So the point is that was improper impeachment. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

- THE COURT: Well, the point is you read the other part
- 2 into the record and that's fine. 3
  - All right.
- 4 BY MS. MARTINI:
- 5 Q. Officer Arias, you hadn't seen any robbery pattern
- 6 documents prior to stopping Mr. Lino, correct?
- 7 A. I don't believe so.
- 8 Q. And up hadn't seen a video about the robbery pattern,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. According to you, at the time you and Officer Kovall saw
- 12 Mr. Lino he was standing on the street in front of a Chinese
- 13 restaurant, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And according to you when you and Officer Kovall exited the
- 16 vehicle he retreated into the Chinese restaurant, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So when you actually reached one of the men, it was only
- Mr. Lino's friend that was still standing on the sidewalk? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And while Mr. Lino was inside the Chinese restaurant you 21
- 22 could see him the entire time, correct?
- 2.3 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And eventually Mr. Lino exited the Chinese restaurant,
- 25 right?

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

- 1 A. Yes, he did.
- 2 Q. And he walked out with food?
- 3 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. But you believe that Mr. Lino walking into the Chinese
- 5 restaurant when you approached them constituted a furtive
- 6 movement, correct?
- 7 A. At the time, yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Even though you could see him the entire time he was inside
- 9 the restaurant?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And even though he exited from the restaurant carrying the
- 12 food?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And even though before you stopped the car you had driven
- 15 around the block once and Mr. Lino and his friend were still
- standing in the same spot when you drove back, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. They hadn't walked away, correct?
- 19 A. They had not, no.
- 20 Q. You don't recall Mr. Lino making any other furtive
- 21 movements, correct?
- 22 A. Not that I can recall, no.
- Q. Not that you can recall now?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. And according to you, you asked Mr. Lino for identification SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

- 1 and he provided it to you, correct?
- 2 A. Yes, he did.
- 3 Q. And both men were frisked during this encounter, correct?
- A. Yes, they were.
- 5 Q. And during the encounter Mr. Lino was not free to leave,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. No, he was not.
- 8 Q. And backup arrived at some point, correct?
- 9 A. My supervisor arrived.
- 10 Q. Your supervisor and two additional officers, correct?
- 11 A. His driver and another officer, correct.
- 12 Q. So there was three additional officers that arrived on the
- 13 scene, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And Lieutenant Gaglio was one of those officers, right?
- 16 A. He is the supervisor.
- 17 Q. And Lieutenant Gaglio asked Mr. Lino and his friend a few
- 18 questions when he arrived, right?
- 19 A. Yes, he did.
- 20 Q. And Officer Arias, you routinely carry a personal cellphone
- 21 on patrol, correct?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. I'd like to show you what has been marked Plaintiffs' Trial
- 24 Exhibit 211, already in evidence. This is a copy of the UF 250
- form filled out for the stop of Mr. Lino.

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

1 Now you didn't fill out this form, correct?

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Just turning to the second page.

Do you see here under the box entitled, "Was the person frisked?"

5 person frisked?
6 "Refus

- "Refusal to comply with officer's directions" is
- 7 checked.

4

- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. But to your knowledge Mr. Lino didn't refuse to comply with
- 10 any directions, correct?
- 11 A. To my knowledge, he did not.
- 12 Q. And you were there for the entire stop, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And you didn't see Mr. Lino refuse to comply with any of
- 15 Officer Kovall's directions, correct?
- 16 A. I didn't see it, no.
- 17 Q. And you keep a memo book, Officer Arias, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. I'd like to show you what has been marked as Plaintiffs'
- 20 Trial Exhibit 214 for identification. Do you recognize this
- 21 document, Officer Arias?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. It's a copy of several entries of your memo book, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 MS. MARTINI: I'd like to move Plaintiffs' Trial SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct

- 1 Exhibit 214 into evidence.
- 2 MR. KUNZ: No objection.
- 3 THE COURT: 214 received.
  - (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 214 received in evidence)
- 5 Q. Looking at the second page of this document, Officer.
- 6 The only entry related to the stop of Mr. Lino appears
- on the line beginning with the numbers 2020, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And the only thing written next to the numbers 2020 is 93Q,
- 10 right?

4

- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So the -- it's fair to say that the only entry included in
- 13 your memo book relating to the stop and frisk of Mr. Lino is
- 14 93Q, correct?
- 15 A. That's fair to say, yes.
- 16 Q. So with respect to this stop of Mr. Lino you failed to
- 17 prepare your memo book in accordance with NYPD policy, right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And a supervisor reviewed your memo book at 2238, which is
- just a few hours after the stop, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. But he didn't discuss this entry with you, right?
- 23 A. Not that I recall.
- 24 Q. And no one in the NYPD ever told you that you should have
- written more in your memo book regarding this stop, correct?

  SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo1 Arias - direct A. Not regarding this stop, no. Q. And you were never reprimanded or disciplined in any way for not including more details about the stop in your memo book, correct? A. Correct. Q. You heard fellow police officers complain about quotas; isn't that right? A. Throughout the command you hear -- I wouldn't say quotas. Activity. (Continued on next page) 

## D4F8FLO2 Arias - direct

1 Q. If you could just turn to your deposition at page 144. I

- 2 am going to read lines 2 through 8.
- 3 "Q. Have you ever heard any NYPD officers complain about
- 4 quotas?
- 5 "A. Cops you mean?
- 6 "Q. Anybody.
- 7 "A. Yes, you hear it. Cops complain about it all the time.
- 8 Locker room talk, yes. Office talk, yes. Sure."
- 9 You provided those answers to those questions,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And officers complain that there is a quota even if the
- 13 precinct commander says that there is no quota, correct?
- 14 A. Repeat the question.
- 15 Q. Officers complain that a quota exists even if the precinct
- 16 commander says that there is no quota, correct?
- 17 A. You have your faction of police officers that believe there
- is a quota.
- 19 Q. And, specifically, you heard anticrime officers complain
- 20 that they are being asked for 250 activity, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. And when asked at your deposition whether the NYPD has
- 23 quotas, you responded that there is a performance initiative,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - direct

- 1 Q. And that's what you believe today, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.

7

17

- Q. And you believe that the difference between a quota and a performance goal is in the interpretation of the person who is
- 5 either reading it or disseminating it, correct?
- 6 A. Sure. Yes.
  - MS. MARTINI: No further questions, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 9 Mr. Kunz.
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. KUNZ:
- 12 Q. Good morning.
- Could you tell the Court a little bit about your
- 14 employment history before you joined the NYPD?
- 15 A. After high school I entered the military. I came back from
- 16 military. I worked at accounts payable/receivable at a
  - not-for-profit organization for a few years. While going to
- 18 school, I also worked at a family owned business. I did
- 19 paralegal work at a law firm. And then I joined the NYPD.
- 20 Q. Can you explain to the Court your educational background?
- 21 A. I have a bachelor of science in criminal justice from John
- Jay College.
- 23 Q. Can you very briefly walk the Court through your various
- 24 assignments with the NYPD?
- 25 A. Sure. I joined in July 2006. I did six months in the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

1 academy. Then I was transferred to the 23rd Precinct impact

- for approximately six months. I then joined a conditions team
- 3 in the 23rd Precinct. I believe I worked there for over a
- 4 year, and then I was moved to the SNEU team in the 23rd
- 5 Precinct, street narcotics enforcement is what SNEU stands for.
- 6 And then a little short of a year ago, I was transferred to
- 7 OCCB narcotics, Manhattan South.
- 8 Q. So that's the Manhattan South narcotics division?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. The area that's in the 23rd Precinct, that's East Harlem?
- 11 A. Yes. Spanish Harlem.
- 12 Q. Could you describe to the Court the crime conditions in the
- 13 23rd Precinct?
- 14 A. The crime conditions in the 23rd Precinct, you have a lot
- 15 of gang activity, a lot of crews with a lot of beef in between
- 16 housing developments. There is a high amount of housing
- 17 developments in the 23rd Precinct. Robbery is a constant
- 18 concern, phone snatchings, those type of crimes usually.
- 19 Q. Are there areas in the precinct that are worse than other
- 20 areas in terms of crime?
- 21 A. Sure. You see higher crimes, obviously, on 103rd, 110th
- 22 Street and Lexington. That's where the two subway stations,
- 23 two of the three subway stations in the confines of the 23rd
- 24 Precinct are located. Also, around the housing developments
- 25 you usually have a spike in crime.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

1 Q. Why is it that you see more crime around the subway

2 stations?

4

- 3 A. More foot traffic.
  - Q. What sorts of crime do you see there?
- 5 A. Phone snatchings, muggings, robberies, assaults.
- 6 Q. Now, in February of 2008, you were in the conditions unit
- 7 in the 23rd Precinct?
- 8 A. Yes, I was.
- 9 Q. At that point in time, were you aware of the crime
- 10 conditions that were going on in the precinct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How did you become aware of the crime conditions?
- 13 A. Usually a supervisor will tell us during a roll call.
- 14 Q. Any other way?
- 15 A. Word of mouth. You would speak to detectives. Detectives
- 16 will let you know. They will come down and say, listen, we are
- 17 looking for someone that has committed these crimes in this
- 18 location. You get it disseminated at a roll call.
- 19 Q. Now, you're from Manhattan as well, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Does your knowledge of Manhattan, being a native
- 22 Manhattanite, affect your knowledge of crime conditions?
- 23 A. I would say it helps to be from Manhattan and patrol in
- 24 Manhattan.
- Q. Now, directing your attention to February 5, 2008, you were SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

1 assigned to the 23rd Precinct. Do you remember what particular

- 2 tour you were working that day?
- 3 A. I believe I was working a 4 to 12, 1500 by 2335.
- 4 Q. When you started your tour that day, did you have a roll
- 5 call?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can you describe the roll call to the Court?
- 8 A. A conditions roll call is less formal than patrols roll
- 9 call. We had an office so it would be the conditions team in
- 10 the office, and the supervisor will walk in and give us the
- 11 instructions for the day, let us know of any developments in
- 12 the precinct, any conditions that need addressing.
- 13 Q. Then you would get an assignment at the roll call as well?
- 14 A. Yeah. Or you would just pick a partner from the team.
- 15 Usually you have people that you work with pretty steadily so
- that usually wasn't an issue.
- 17 Q. Now, do you specifically recall the roll call on February
- 18 5, 2008?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Why is that?
- 21 A. It's February 5, 2008.
- 22 Q. That's over five years ago?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you had a roll call at the beginning of almost every
- 25 tour since then?

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now, before going out in the field that day, were you aware
- 3 of specific crime conditions going on in the area?
- 4 A. Yes, I was.
- 5 Q. I believe you talked a little bit about this on direct, and
- 6 it was a robbery pattern that had been going on?
- 7 A. Yeah. There was a robbery pattern in the 23rd at that
- 8 time.
- 9 Q. Do you recall how you became aware of the robbery pattern?
- 10 A. I don't recall specifically how I became aware, but I do
- 11 remember being aware of it.
- 12 Q. Now, you were asked a question on examination earlier about
- 13 whether or not you saw a video related to this pattern. Did
- 14 you remember seeing a video related to this pattern?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Could you explain to the Court, was it common or uncommon
- 17 to see videos in connection with crime conditions?
- 18 A. Yes. There is a large screen TV at our roll call room, and
- 19 I think almost every roll call room in the department, but I
- 20 just know that the 23rd has a large screen TV where they will
- 21 play on the loop usually either videos of interest or pictures
- 22 of subjects of interest or missing, anything of interest to the
- 23 precinct usually gets played in the roll call room.
- 24 Q. Now, going back to the pattern that you knew about when you
- 25 went out on tour that day, what do you remember about that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 pattern?
- 2 A. I remember the pattern consisted of two black males
- 3 conducting gunpoint robberies in the vicinity of Lexington
- 4 Avenue, 103rd Street, Third Avenue and 103rd Street, around
- 5 that location. The two black males were described to have, I
- 6 believe, a black handgun they were using for the robberies.
- 7 Q. Do you recall anything about the locations that were
- 8 involved in the robberies?
- 9 A. Yeah. There was one particular robbery that involved a
- 10 check cashing location that's on the hill on -- on Lexington
- 11 Avenue, between 102nd and 103rd Street.
- 12 Q. I am going to show you a photograph that's in evidence as
- 13 Defendants' Exhibit M10. Can you just explain to the Court
- 14 what this photograph here depicts?
- 15 A. Yes. This is a picture facing northbound on Lexington
- 16 Avenue from the perspective of 102nd Street looking at 103rd
- 17 Street.
- 18 Q. Now, the blue awning in the middle of the photograph here,
- 19 what is that blue awning?
- 20 A. That is a Chinese restaurant.
- 21 Q. Is that the Chinese restaurant in front of which you
- 22 encountered Mr. Lino?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Then this yellow awning here, what is that?
- 25 A. That's the check cashing location that was in the robbery SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 pattern.
- 2 Q. Then this green thing here with the green globe on top, is
- 3 that the 103rd and Lexington Avenue subway station?
- 4 A. Yes. There's also one across the street.
- 5 Q. So there is one right here and two underneath the
- 6 scaffolding?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Now, you went over this a little bit on examination
- 9 earlier, but did the pattern that you were aware of, did it
- 10 include descriptions of the actual perpetrators as well?
- 11 A. Yes, it did.
- 12 Q. Sitting here today, what do you recall about the
- descriptions of the perpetrators from the pattern?
- 14 A. I remember distinctly that the pattern mentioned a beige,
- 15 yellowish beige coat. It involved two black males, approximate
- age I want to say 25 to 30 years old, 5'6" to 6 feet.
- 17 Q. Now, as we discussed earlier, this incident happened over
- 18 five years ago?
- 19 A. Yes, it did.
- 20 Q. At the time of the incident, did you know more details
- about the descriptions of the robbers?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Sitting here today, is it fair to say your memory of that
- has just faded over time?
- 25 A. That's fair.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross Q. Now, you were out on patrol that day --THE COURT: I want to back up. At the time of the 3 incident, you said you knew more details about the description 4 of the robbers? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Like what, what more details? 7 THE WITNESS: Who the victims might have been, stuff 8 that would be particular to the crime pattern that I may not 9 recall today. 10 THE COURT: Did you at one time know more about the 11 descriptions other than two black males between 5'6" and 6 12 feet? 13 THE WITNESS: Other than they ran up 103rd Street at 14 the completion of the robbery, nothing pertinent probably to 15 the individuals. 16 THE COURT: Nothing pertinent to the individuals other 17 than that. Did you tell me you had an age range or did you 18 just say two black males between 5'6" and 6 feet? THE WITNESS: There was an age range. 19 20 THE COURT: What was that again? 21 THE WITNESS: I believe 25 to 30. It might have been 22 a little younger. I may be off on the numbers, but there was 2.3 definitely an age range that I remember at the time that I 24 possibly may be off now. 25 THE COURT: The height range is so large, 5'6" to 6 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

2.3

feet. Doesn't that describe all black males between 25 and 30?

THE WITNESS: It's not really uncommon, your Honor, for there to be that type of disparity.

THE COURT: I am just saying that's not much of a description though. That would include the entire black male population between 25 and 30. Most men are between 5'6" and 6 feet, aren't they?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What does that really tell you about them other than one is wearing a light coat?

THE WITNESS: The coat was what caught my attention in regards to that. The coat was spot on in color. And then the amount of individuals was two, same thing as the pattern. And the location was another indicator for me. Standing on 103rd Street, relatively cold February evening. They were both standing on the corner. We went around the block, circled the block, came back, they were both still standing there. As Officer Kovall and I exited the vehicle to speak to them, Mr. Lino went into the Chinese restaurant. So it seemed a little odd being that they had been there probably over five minutes by the time we came back around. Then the proximity to the check cashing location, which was one of the locations where one of the robberies had occurred. So all of those things together is what led me to focus on these two individuals.

THE COURT: Tell me about the coat description again. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Arias - cross D4F8FLO2

What was that?

THE WITNESS: Beige, winter-like coat. Beige, like a parker type of coat. That's what Mr. Lino was wearing, I believe, that day, and that's what one of the robberies -- one of the victims stated that one of the gentlemen who robbed them, I don't know if it was a he or she, was specifically wearing. So it was very specific on the color. And that's what drew my attention like I said.

9 THE COURT: The description was a beige parker-like

10 coat?

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

THE WITNESS: Beige coat, correct? THE COURT: It was parker like?

THE WITNESS: It was a winter coat, a beige winter

14 coat.

15 THE COURT: Thank you.

16 BY MR. KUNZ:

- 17 Q. I am going to show you what has been marked as Defendants'
- 18 Exhibit G9. Do you recognize what this is?
- 19 A. This is a copy of the robbery pattern.
- 20 Q. Just to be clear, you don't remember if you saw this actual
- 21 document before you went out on patrol that day?
- 22 A. That's correct, yes.
- 2.3 THE COURT: I thought your testimony was you saw no
- 24 documents. Are you changing your testimony? Earlier I thought
- 25 you said you didn't see documents.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross 1 He just asked you whether you earlier said you were 2 not sure you saw the documents. I thought you said you didn't 3 see any documents. 4 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 5 THE COURT: Do you know that you didn't see any 6 documents? 7 THE WITNESS: I didn't see any documents. 8 THE COURT: OK. 9 Q. Since the incident, have you had a chance to review that 10 pattern? 11 A. Yes, I have. 12 Q. Is that the pattern that you were aware of when you went 13 out on patrol that day? 14 A. Yes. 15 MR. KUNZ: We would move that document into evidence. 16 MS. MARTINI: Objection, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: He didn't see the document. But after 18 reviewing the document, he says that's the pattern he was aware 19 of. 20 MS. MARTINI: What is in his mind at the time that he 21 saw Mr. Lino is what matters for the reasonable suspicion. 22 THE COURT: He said it matches --2.3 MS. MARTINI: He just testified to what was in his 24 mind before he stopped Mr. Lino.

> THE COURT: He says it matches what was in that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

25

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

document.

4

5

6

7

8

14

MS. MARTINI: The document is several pages long, and I think what Officer Arias is able to articulate as a basis for 3 stopping Mr. Lino is what matters, not what counsel showed him five years later.

THE COURT: I don't think it would be right to take the document. I am not going to take the document in evidence. He did not see it beforehand.

- 9 Q. So you said that when you initially saw the two men, your 10 attention was drawn to them based on the jackets and then you 11 drove around the block, is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Did you have any discussions --

THE COURT: Did you say jackets, plural?

- 15 Q. What about the men caught your attention?
- 16 A. The jacket that Mr. Lino was wearing, specifically the
- 17 color, but also indicated was a darker color jacket that one of
- 18 the other gentlemen was wearing.
- 19 Q. So it was the beige jacket that initially caught your
- 20 attention, but the other jacket also -- what about the other
- jacket did you notice? 21
- 22 A. It matched part of the robbery pattern.
- 2.3 Q. So while you were -- then you drove around the block and
- 24 you circled back. During that time before you actually exited
- 25 the vehicle, did you have any conversation with Officer Kovall? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

1 A. Yes.

6

Q. Tell the Court about that discussion.

3 MS. MARTINI: Objection. Hearsay.

4 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 5 Q. What did you say?
  - THE COURT: It's hearsay also. He is here.
- Q. What is the first thing that happened after you circled the block and arrived back?
- 9 A. We pulled up to the corner where the two gentlemen were
- 10 standing. I was driving. Officer Kovall was the recorder. We
- 11 exited the vehicle and approached the two gentlemen. Mr. Lino
- 12 turned around and walked away from us into the Chinese
- 13 restaurant. So we had a conversation with the other individual
- 14 who stood behind on the sidewalk.
- 15 Q. Then at some point did Mr. Lino come out of the Chinese
- 16 restaurant?
- 17 A. Yes, he did.
- 18 Q. Were the men frisked?
- 19 A. Yes, they were.
- 20 Q. Why were they frisked?
- 21 A. They were being stopped in regards to a robbery pattern
- 22 that included two armed, or at least one armed male black. So
- 23 for our safety, I thought it would be prudent to at least just
- 24 make sure that they weren't carrying any weapons at the time.
- Q. Was there anything else besides the pattern that led to the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 frisk?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. When you say frisk, what do you mean?
- 4 A. Frisked, touching the outermost garment, feeling for heavy
- 5 objects or anything that could be considered a weapon.
- 6 Q. How long did the frisk last?
- 7 A. 20 seconds, 15 seconds.
- 8 Q. Did you go into the pockets of either of the men?
- 9 A. Absolutely not.
- 10 Q. What happened after the frisk was completed?
- 11 A. Officer Kovall and I had the IDs. We were waiting for
- 12 Lieutenant Gaglio to show up to the scene to verify the stop.
- 13 Q. Why did you call the lieutenant to the location?
- 14 A. Lieutenant Gaglio at the time was the special operations
- 15 lieutenant for the 23rd Precinct and was well aware of the
- 16 robbery pattern in the 23rd Precinct. So we wanted to clear it
- 17 with him before letting these two individuals go, making sure
- 18 that these weren't the individuals being sought for the robbery
- 19 pattern.
- 20 Q. Now, what happened when the lieutenant arrived?
- 21 A. Lieutenant Gaglio arrived. He exited the vehicle. Officer
- 22 Kovall and I approached him, explained to him the circumstances
- 23 of the stop. I believe he might have taken the ID cards. He
- 24 went up to the two gentlemen that were stopped, asked them a
- few questions, and at that point returned the IDs to us, told SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

## D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

1 us it's a good stop but it's not them, prepare a 250. And he

- 2 got in his car and drove off.
- 3 Q. How long did the lieutenant's investigation last?
- 4 A. A minute at most.
- 5 Q. Did you see the lieutenant or either of the officers with
- 6 him frisk either of the men?
- 7 A. Absolutely not. The lieutenant is the only one that even
- 8 approached the two gentlemen. Officer Puello and Officer
- 9 Georgiadis, they stood -- they were actually leaning on the
- 10 car. I don't think they ever even stepped on to the sidewalk.
- 11 Q. What happened after the lieutenant finished his
- 12 investigation?
- 13 A. We took down -- Officer Kovall took down the information
- 14 and then we returned the IDs to Mr. Lino and the other
- 15 gentleman and told them they were free to go.
- 16 Q. How long was the entire encounter?
- 17 A. No longer than ten minutes tops.
- 18 Q. There was a CCRB investigation in regard to this incident,
- is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. Do you know the results of the CCRB investigation?
- 22 A. I believe it was -- I can't remember the terminology that
- they use.
- Q. Was it unsubstantiated?
- 25 A. Yes, it was.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

1 Q. Did anyone from the NYPD talk to you about this incident?

- 2 A. About which incident, the stop or the CCRB?
- 3 Q. The CCRB.

4

- A. I can't say definitively, but I think my ICO might have
- 5 spoken to me about it.
- 6 Q. Was that a normal way that you would find out about CCRB
- 7 complaints?
- 8 A. Yes. In the 23rd Precinct, the ICO was usually charged
- 9 with telling cops or members of the service whenever they
- 10 received a CCRB. So I am sure that he came up to me and said
- 11 you got a CCRB for this date. At that point, we will probably
- 12 have a small conversation regarding the circumstances of that
- 13 CCRB, if it's something we remember off the top of our head.
- Q. I am going to show you what has been marked as Plaintiffs'
- 15 Exhibit 215 for purposes of this trial. Do you recognize that?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. What is it?
- 18 A. It's my activity reports from January, February and March of 2008.
- 20 MR. KUNZ: Your Honor, I move these into evidence.
- MS. MARTINI: No objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- 23 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 215 received in evidence)
- Q. Directing your attention to the first page of your monthly
- 25 activity report from January of 2008, what was your declared SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 condition for the month?
- 2 A. Robbery.
- 3 Q. Why did you choose that as your declared condition?
- 4 A. Robbery is usually a declared condition in the confines of
- 5 the 23rd Precinct.
- 6 Q. Then for February your condition was also robbery?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And also for March, is that correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Now, looking at your level of activity for January 2008,
- 11 you had 16 days on tour?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you conducted 12 vertical patrols?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Made four arrests?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Issued 33 summonses?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And did 14 stop and frisks?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Now, looking at February, the next month, your stop and
- 22 frisks dropped to four. So it went from 14 in January to four
- in February, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you punished in any way for the decrease in your SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 activity?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. You also had seven arrests in February, whereas in January
- 4 you had just the four arrests. Were you rewarded in any way
- 5 for increasing your number of arrests?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And in March, you had two arrests, is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And six vertical patrols?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And seven stop and frisks, is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So you went from -- I'm sorry. You had 21 summonses, is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. So you went in January of 2008 from 33 summonses to March
- of 2008 when you had 21 summonses?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Were you punished at all for a decrease in your summons
- 20 activity?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You were asked a number of questions on your direct
- examination about quotas, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever been subjected to a quota? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - cross

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever been told that if you don't conduct a certain amount of activity within a certain specified time period, you would be punished in any way?
- 5 A. No.

6

8

- MR. KUNZ: No further questions, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Redirect.
  - MS. MARTINI: Briefly, your Honor.
- 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MS. MARTINI:
- 11 Q. Officer Arias, Mr. Kunz just showed you your monthly
- 12 activity report, and I just want to draw your attention to
- 13 February of 2008. And these numbers on the left here refer to
- 14 the day of the month, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. So if I look across at the fifth line, that should indicate
- 17 your activity for February 5, 2008, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And there is no stop and frisk marked in the box for
- 20 February 5, right?
- 21 A. There wouldn't be -- it wouldn't be marked on my activity
- 22 report because then -- I mean, in theory, what is handed in
- 23 should match what is on your activity report. Officer Kovall
- 24 handed in that 250. He completed it. He filled it out. So I
- wouldn't have it on my activity report.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - redirect

1 Q. So there is at least one stop and frisk that you conducted

- 2 that isn't reflected in your monthly activity report?
- 3 A. That's accurate.
- 4 Q. You just testified on cross that you remembered at the time
- 5 of the stop more about the description of the suspects from the
- 6 robbery pattern than you do now five years later, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. But you gave a sworn statement to the CCRB just three
- 9 months after the incident, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And you told the CCRB that the description of the
- 12 individuals in the pattern that you were aware of was two male
- 13 blacks, ages 18 to 24, one with a hoody and one with a blue
- 14 coat, correct?
- 15 THE COURT: Sorry. One with a hoody and one with a
- 16 blue coat?
- MS. MARTINI: Correct.
- 18 A. That's correct. I also said in CCRB that the coat is what
- 19 caught my attention. So I was probably off on the color, but I
- 20 know that the coat is what caught my attention. I did say that
- in the CCRB.
- 22 MS. MARTINI: Thank you. No further questions.
- 23 THE COURT: Anything further for this witness, Mr.
- 24 Kunz?
- MR. KUNZ: No. Thank you.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Arias - redirect THE COURT: We will take our morning recess now and 1 2 try to reconvene at 20 to 12. 3 (Recess) 4 THE COURT: Your next witness. 5 MR. CHARNEY: Plaintiffs call Charles Ortiz. 6 CHARLES ORTIZ, 7 called as a witness by the plaintiffs, 8 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 THE COURT: State your full name, first and last, 10 spelling both for the record. 11 THE WITNESS: Charles Ortiz, C-H-A-R-L-E-S, O-R-T-I-Z. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. CHARNEY: 15 Q. Good morning. 16 A. Good morning. 17 Q. Now, you are recently retired from the police department? 18 A. Yes. Q. What year did you retire? 19 20 A. About six weeks ago, this year. Q. What rank did you retire at? 21 22 A. Inspector in the New York City Police Department. 2.3 Q. I am going to refer to you as Inspector Ortiz if that's OK? 24 A. That's fine. 25 Q. How many years did you work for the New York Police

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

D4F8FLO2
Department?

- 2 A. In total, just over 21 years.
- 3 Q. So you joined the department in 1992, is that right?
- 4 A. January of 1992.
- 5 Q. In February of 2008, you became the commanding officer of
- 6 the 43rd Precinct, is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes. I believe February 14.
- 8 Q. The 43rd Precinct is in the Bronx, right?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. How long were you the commanding officer of the 43rd
- 11 Precinct?
- 12 A. Approximately four and a half years.
- 13 Q. So when did you stop being the precinct commander?
- 14 A. October -- late August, early September of last year.
- 15 Q. So August of 2012?
- 16 A. Approximately.
- 17 Q. When you were the commanding officer of the 43rd Precinct,
- 18 all of the lieutenants in the precinct reported directly to
- 19 you, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So that would include the special ops lieutenant?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And during your tenure as the commanding officer of the
- 24 43rd Precinct, was one of the special ops lieutenants a man by
- 25 the name of Fernando Guimaraes?

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. The special ops lieutenant supervised the anticrime units
- 3 in the precinct, correct?
- 4 A. Among various other units, yes.
- 5 Q. Was one of the anticrime sergeants in the 43rd Precinct
- 6 when you were the commander a man by the name James Kelly?
- 7 A. Yes, he was.
- 8 Q. Another of the lieutenants in the precinct when you were
- 9 the commander who reported to you was the integrity control
- 10 officer, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And during your tenure as the commanding officer of the
- 43rd, one of the ICOs, we will call him, integrity control
- officers, was a man by the name of Cosmo Palmieri, correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. When you were the commander of the 43rd, you reported
- 17 directly to the borough commander of the patrol borough Bronx,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes. He was one of my bosses, yes.
- 20 Q. And that was, the chief of the patrol borough, a man by the
- 21 name of Thomas Purtell?
- 22 A. Chief Purtell, that is correct.
- 23 Q. I want to show you what has been previously admitted into
- 24 evidence as Defendants' Exhibit D12. I want to pull it up on
- 25 the screen for you.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- 1 Inspector, do you recognize this document?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. Can you tell us what this document is?
- 4 A. It's duties and responsibilities on the New York City
- 5 Police Department's patrol guide, for the rank of -- for the
- 6 designation of commanding officer.
- 7 Q. So does this document set forth the duties and
- 8 responsibilities for the precinct commander position, as you
- 9 understood them, when you were the commander of the 43rd
- 10 Precinct?
- 11 A. Yes. It covers a good portion of our command duties and
- 12 responsibilities.
- 13 Q. Do you see in paragraph 2(c) it says that one of your
- 14 responsibilities as a commander is informing members of the
- 15 command of current directives and orders?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. So that was one of your responsibilities as the 43rd
- 18 Precinct commander, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. By directives and orders, do you understand that to mean,
- 21 for example, the operations order that the commissioner puts
- 22 out?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And that would include memorandums that the chief of patrol
- would distribute to the precincts?

3502 Ortiz - direct

D4F8FLO2

- A. Yes.
- Q. Patrol guide procedures as well, correct?
- 3
- 4 Q. Do you see in paragraph 5 it says -- paragraph 4 it says,
- 5 "Instruct and frequently test the knowledge of members of the
- 6 command regarding their duties and responsibilities"?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So that was also one of your jobs as the precinct
- 9 commander, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You would agree that the police department operates through
- 12 a chain of command?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So when you were the precinct commander of the 43rd 14
- 15 Precinct, you relied on the supervisors, the lieutenants and
- 16 the sergeants, to make sure that police officers were complying
- 17 with the directives and orders that were handed down by the
- 18 police department, right?
- A. Ultimately it is my responsibility. My supervisors help me 19
- 20 achieve those goals.
- 21 Q. So you worked with the supervisors to make sure that
- 22 officers were complying with department orders and directives,
- right? 2.3
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. To do that, you would meet with your supervisors regularly, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. By supervisors, I am referring to lieutenants and
- 4 sergeants. You would agree that's a good definition for
- 5 supervisors?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So you would meet with them directly regularly and
- 8 communicate various directives and orders so that they would
- 9 then communicate those directives and orders to the officers
- 10 that they supervised, right?
- 11 A. That is correct. I would also communicate directives and
- orders directly to the rank and file.
- 13 Q. So if, for example, you wanted to make sure that the
- 14 anticrime unit officers were conducting stop and frisks in
- 15 compliance with NYPD policies and procedures, you would discuss
- 16 that with Lieutenant Guimaraes, right?
- 17 A. I would discuss that with my executive officer. I would
- 18 discuss that with my integrity control officer, Cosmo Palmieri.
- 19 I would also discuss that with Lieutenant Guimaraes, who is my
- 20 special ops lieutenant, and speak to the direct supervisor,
- 21 which would be Jimmy Kelly, in regards to compliance to stop,
- 22 question and frisk.
- 23 Q. You would agree that it's particularly important to make
- 24 sure that anticrime unit officers are complying with NYPD
- policies and procedures for stop and frisk, because when you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

were the CO of the 43rd, a large portion of the stop and frisk

- 2 activity was conducted by anticrime officers, right?
- 3 A. I think it's important that all officers under my command
- 4 operate under the lawful orders and directives from the
- 5 department.
- 6 Q. That would include anticrime officers, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you would agree that anticrime officers and other
- 9 special unit officers did do a large portion of the stop
- 10 activity in the 43rd Precinct?
- 11 A. I think they -- yeah, absolutely.
- 12 Q. Are you aware that Lieutenant Guimaraes, now Captain
- 13 Guimaraes, testified earlier in this case?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Now, you mentioned another supervisor that you would speak
- 16 to regularly about NYPD policies and procedures was the
- 17 integrity control officer, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. That would be Lieutenant Palmieri?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And so you would speak to him about stop and frisk
- 22 policies, because as the ICO, he was responsible for making
- 23 sure that officers were not violating citizens' rights,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Just to be correct, are you talking directly about the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

## D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- 1 anticrime or generally about all the officers?
- $\,$  Q. Let me back up. All officers in the 43rd Precinct, to make
- 3 sure that they don't or were not violating citizens' rights and
- 4 break the law, you relied heavily on your integrity control
- 5 officer to help you make sure that that didn't happen?
- 6 A. I rely on all the supervisors within the 43rd Precinct to
- 7 ensure that that does not happen.
- 8 Q. That would include the integrity control officer, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You relied on him to make sure or to help you make sure
- 11 that officers' stop and frisks were conducted properly, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you met regularly with Lieutenant Palmieri, is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Almost on a daily basis?
- 17 A. Yeah. I would say so.
- 18 Q. But you never discussed stop and frisk policies and
- 19 procedures or UF-250s with Lieutenant Palmieri when you met
- with him, right?
- 21 A. Excuse me, sir?
- 22 Q. You never discussed stop and frisk policies and procedures
- 23 or UF-250s with Lieutenant Palmieri when you met with him?
- 24 A. I spoke to Lieutenant Palmieri on a regular basis to ensure
- 25 that he goes out in the field to monitor police services that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- are out there, including the stop, question and frisk.
- 2 Q. So your testimony today is that you did speak to him about
- 3 the stop, question and frisk policy and procedures?
- 4 A. Overall, all police operations, he used to go out there and
- 5 monitor that.
- 6 Q. And that would include stop and frisk policies and
- 7 procedures?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you remember being deposed in this case? I know it was
- 10 a while ago.
- 11 A. That was quite a bit of time.
- 12 Q. Yes. I think it was three and a half years ago.
- Do you remember that you took an oath to tell the
- 14 truth at that deposition, right?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. I am showing you a copy of the transcript of that
- 17 deposition. If you could turn to page 54, line 2. Do you see
- 18 there is a question there:
- 19 "Q. Do you have regular meetings with your integrity control
- 20 officer?
- 21 "A. I probably meet with him almost daily.
- 22 "Q. Are there topics that you regularly discuss with him?
- 23 "A. Yes."
- 24 MS. GROSSMAN: I think this is improper impeachment.
- 25 There is nothing here that is inconsistent.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

THE COURT: Is there something inconsistent? 1

2 MR. CHARNEY: He just testified that he did speak to 3 Palmieri about stop and frisk policies and procedures. This

answer shows that he did not. 5 THE COURT: OK. Then go ahead.

- Q. "What are those topics?
- 7 "A. Corruption issues, possible corruption issues, issues that
- 8 systems would put in place to identify possible corruption and 9 so forth.
- 10 "Q. What about the issue of stop, question and frisk?
- 11 "A. That has not been brought up.
- 12 "Q. What about UF-250s?
- 13 "A. UF-250s, I don't remember recent meetings that I have had 14 with him."
- 15 Do you remember giving those answers?
- 16 A. Yes.

4

6

19

- 17 Q. I want to show you what has been previously admitted into 18 evidence as Exhibit 184, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 184.
  - MS. GROSSMAN: Mr. Charney, I didn't get a copy.
- 20 MR. CHARNEY: Yes, you did.
- 21 MS. GROSSMAN: You didn't notify me that you were
- using this. Do you have a spare copy? 22
- 2.3 MR. CHARNEY: We did notify you. Every time we
- 24 notified the defendants of the exhibits that we are using, we
- 25 always say, and any exhibit that has been previously admitted. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

This exhibit has been shown to no less than ten witnesses in this trial.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy?

MR. CHARNEY: I don't have an extra copy, but it's a one page document. We are going to put it up on the screen.

I am sure your Honor recognizes this very well.

7 BY MR. CHARNEY:

- Q. Inspector, do you recognize this document?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. This is the NYPD's policy regarding racial profiling that
- 11 was in place at the time you became the precinct commander of
- 12 the 43rd Precinct?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. In fact, this policy was in place until May 16th of 2012,
- 15 right?

3

8

- 16 A. I'm not sure how long, but I do recognize the form.
- 17 Q. Do you see in paragraph 5 there at the bottom it says,
- 18 "Commanding officers will ensure that the contents of this
- order are brought to the attention of members of their
- 20 commands." Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So it's fair to say that, given what your responsibilities
- 23 were as the 43rd Precinct commander, this was another
- 24 department directive that you were responsible for ensuring
- that police officers were familiar with and complied with, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 right?

- right?
  2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So, again, to do so, you would speak to your lieutenants
- 4 and your sergeants about it, with the expectation that they
- 5 would then instruct the police officers in the precinct on this
- 6 policy, right?
- 7 A. Sir, I instruct my supervisors to ensure that that is
- 8 happening. I also speak to officers out there, and I observe
- 9 my officers out there in the street to ensure that that is
- 10 taking place.
- 11 Q. But you don't remember whether you ever talked about this
- 12 policy with Lieutenant Palmieri, right?
- 13 A. We talk about this policy in regards to stops and racial
- 14 profiling throughout the year. It's a topic that we are
- 15 constantly covering.
- 16 Q. My question was, did you ever speak to the integrity
- 17 control officer, Lieutenant Palmieri, about this?
- 18 A. I am sure I have because it's been spoken about in
- 19 supervisors' meetings. It's been spoken in in-service
- 20 training. Lieutenant Palmieri is a member of the 43rd
- 21 Precinct. So he is well aware of the racial profiling policy
- of the New York City Police Department. Everyone in the police
- 23 department is very aware of that.
- 24 Q. OK. I want to then ask you about paragraph 4 here. Let's
- 25 see if we can read it.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

It says, "Commanding officers will establish a self-inspection protocol within their command to ensure that the contents of this order are complied with. The quality assurance division will include compliance with this directive in all of its command inspections."

Then the last sentence says, "Performance in this area will also be included in CompStat review."

You have attended many CompStat meetings in your time as a commander of the 43rd, right?

10 A. Yes.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q. In fact, you probably did so once a month, once every other 12 month?
- 13 A. Not that frequent.
- 14 Q. How many times a year would you say?
- 15 A. It depends on the crime conditions in the particular
- 16 precinct or the borough. If we go down to one Police Plaza for
- a CompStat, if the Bronx is spiking in crime one year, we will 17
- 18 have more appearances. If crime is down and there is no reason
- 19 to bring us down, then we wouldn't be going down for CompStat.
- 20 Q. So in the four-and-a-half years you were the precinct
- commander of the 43rd, would you say you went to CompStat at 21
- 22 least ten times?
- 2.3 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 24 Q. At none of those meetings do you recall this policy ever
- 25 being discussed, do you?

## D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 A. During the course -- now, did the chief of department,

- deputy commissioner of operations, did they say we are going to
- 3 talk about racial profiling today? No. But in the context of
- 4 the overall CompStat process, they ensure that the proper
- 5 procedures are in place.
- Q. My question is, at CompStat, is this policy discussed, is the issue of racial profiling ever discussed?
- 8 A. If you're asking me did they put up this operations order 9 and say --

THE COURT: He is saying, did the subject of racial profiling get discussed?

THE WITNESS: What they ensure, as far as if we are talking about racial profiling as far as stops, they are looking at stops to see if the stops are occurring in the locations where the crime is being driven, violent crime, burglaries, robberies.

THE COURT: You don't remember anybody saying, We may have a racial profiling problem here. We have heard complaints of this. Let's talk about racial profiling. Are officers doing it? Nobody would ever talk about racial profiling?

THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.

Q. You also, when you were the precinct commander of the 43rd Precinct, had regular meetings with the borough commander,

24 Chief Purtell, correct?

25 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 Q. Similarly, same question, at none of those meetings was the

- 2 issue of racial profiling ever discussed?
- 3 A. No.

4

6

- Q. I want to show you what has been previously admitted as
- 5 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 98.
  - Inspector, do you recognize this document?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So again, this is the patrol guide procedure regarding stop
- 9 and frisk, right?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. So this would be yet another one of those directives that
- 12 you were responsible for making sure your officers in the 43rd
- 13 Precinct understood and complied with, right?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. I want to look at paragraph 7, which is a little bit down
- 16 the first page. You see there it says that uniformed members
- of the service are to enter details about the stops that they
- 18 make in their activity logs, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So you would agree that NYPD policy requires that officers
- 21 enter the details of every stop and frisk they conduct into
- their activity logs, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. The reason this is important is because, if at a future
- time an officer is asked to testify about a stop or to recount SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 what happened during a stop, they would actually have something

- 2 to help refresh their memory, correct?
- 3 A. Yes. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Because they are not always going to have access to the
- 5 250, right?
- 6 A. Well, they would have access to the 250 if they requested
- 7 it.
- 8 Q. But you would agree that the 250 -- the level of detail on
- 9 the 250 is not as extensive as what can be written in a memo
- 10 book entry, right?
- 11 A. I disagree. I think the 250 covers pertinent information
- 12 for a stop.
- 13 Q. So your testimony is that by reviewing a completed 250
- 14 form, if all the boxes are filled out correctly, you believe
- 15 that you could determine by looking at that form that the stop
- was or was not based on reasonable suspicion?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. OK. I want to take a look at what has been previously
- 19 admitted as Defendants' X4. This is actually a UF-250
- 20 completed by officers in your precinct. And I believe this
- 21 stop occurred while you were the commanding officer as well.
- 22 You can see in the top right corner it says precinct
- 23 43rd, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. The date is February 27, 2008?

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Shortly after you came on as the commanding officer?
- 3 A. That is correct, two weeks.
- 4 Q. If you see under the "what were the circumstances which led
- 5 to stop, " you see that only one box is checked there, correct?
- 6 A. I really -- I see that one box is checked.
- 7 Q. You want us to make it bigger? I know it's hard to make it
- 8 out here.
- 9 A. I think it says furtive movement.
- 10 Q. It's checked off furtive movements, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. But other than checking that box, there is no other
- information there about what the furtive movement is, right?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. But it's your belief that by looking at this form, you can
- 16 tell what in fact the officer observed in the way of furtive
- 17 movements?
- 18 A. I would have to look at the entire form.
- 19 Q. Let's look at the second page.
- 20 So there is some additional factors that the officer
- 21 checked off. You see we have time of day, right, day of week?
- 22 A. We are missing the top.
- 23 Q. The top, that's the area for frisk. And I believe, and if
- 24 you will take my word for it, the top right corner, what was
- 25 checked off was the person was not, I guess, following the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

officer's directions. I think we have heard about that. We actually have the electronic version if we keep going.

If you will take my word for it that the electronic version of the form is accurate, we can look at the electronic. It's easier to read.

Let's go to the next page then, page 3.

So going down here, if we scroll down, you see under circumstances again furtive movements is checked, right?
A. Yes.

10 Q. Again, on that portion, there is no description of what the furtive movement is.

Then if we keep going down, we have the name of the person, address, and that doesn't tell you anything about what the furtive movement was, right?

15 A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

- 16 Q. Then if we keep going to the next page, we have what kind of photo ID he provided, his demographic information, his
- 18 height and weight, and that doesn't tell you what the furtive
- 19 movement was, right?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Then if we keep going, we have whether or not the officer
- 22 explained the reason for the stop, if there were other people
- 23 stopped during the encounter, whether or not any physical force
- 24 was used, and that also doesn't tell you what the furtive
- 25 movement is, right?

## D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

- 1 A. No, it does not.
- 2 Q. Then if we keep going down, we know if the officer was in
- 3 or not in uniform, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Again, we know if a summons was issued, but we don't know,
- 6 again, looking at these portions, what the furtive movement
- 7 was.
- 8 Then we keep going down, and now we get to the portion
- 9 that was cut off on the other form. And you see they checked
- 10 off "furtive movements and refusal to comply with officer
- 11 directions." Do you see that?
- 12 A. OK.
- 13 Q. Does that tell you what the furtive movement that they
- 14 observed was?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. We can keep going if you want. Was the person searched?
- 17 No, they weren't. Was a weapon found? No. Was contraband
- 18 found? So that doesn't tell you what the furtive movement was,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Then keep going, I think we are almost done here. Then
- 22 here are those additional circumstances again. And we have
- 23 time of day, corresponding criminal activity. We have evasive,
- 24 false or inconsistent responses, and we have ongoing
- 25 investigation. And that doesn't tell you what the furtive SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 movement is?

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

25

A. It doesn't tell me what the furtive movement is, but you're relying on that one caption on the 250 for furtive movement.

If you look at the actual 250, overall, what is checked off in the boxes, helps me determine whether that's a lawful stop.

Your original question to me was, is the 250, is the report itself, am I comfortable with the report giving me enough information whether the stop was lawful or not? And it does.

Now, we went from that to furtive movement. So I am a little confused here.

12 Q. Let me ask you some questions about that.

You would agree that in order to determine if the stop is based on reasonable suspicion, you have to know the reasons the officers gave for stop and frisk, right?

16 A. Yes.

- 17 Q. So what I am trying to figure out is whether or not we
- 18 actually understand what those reasons are simply by looking at

19 this form.

- 20  $\,\,\,$  A. I can tell you the reasons why those officers are at that
- location, the time of day and the conditions.
- 22 Q. That wasn't my question. My question is the reasons that
- 23 the person was stopped. And one of them is the time and day
- and the location. That's one of the reasons.

Is it your testimony that if that was the only reason SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 indicated, the time of the day and the location of a crime

- 2 pattern, in your view, that would be a legitimate reason to
- 3 stop and frisk somebody?
- 4 A. No.

7

8

Q. OK. So you would agree there has to be more than just time of day and location of crime, right?

THE COURT: The answer is yes?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 9 Q. So the other boxes checked here were evasive answers,
- 10 right, and furtive movements, correct?
- 11 A. Furtive movements, evasive, false, inconsistent responses
- 12 to the officers, an ongoing investigation, which means that
- there is crime pattern in that particular area.
- 14 Q. Inspector, I just asked you, if all we knew was that there
- 15 was a crime pattern in that area, would that be --
- 16 THE COURT: He answered that. He said, if that's all
- 17 he knew, that wouldn't have been enough. But then there are a
- 18 total of three other boxes checked: Furtive movements,
- 19 evasive, false, inconsistent responses, and ongoing
- 20 investigation.
- 21 Q. My question is, if we all agree that there was a pattern,
- for the sake of this argument, there was a crime pattern, we
- 23 have established that?
- 24 A. There was a crime pattern.
- 25 Q. We will agree with it for the purpose of this discussion SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 because there is a dispute in the case about whether there was.

- But for the purpose of this discussion, we agree that was the
- 3 reason, one of the reasons. Now, unless we have an additional reason, we don't have a legal stop, right?

THE COURT: He already said that twice. Yes.

Q. I want to know how do you know the other two reasons given are legitimate by looking at this form?

How do you know that the furtive movements are legitimate, that was a legitimate observation by looking at this form?

11 A. Another reason why I know that is because the supervisor 12 there that's responsible for that team signed off on that 13 particular form.

Now, the supervisor for that crime team I believe was present, correct?

- Q. My question is, is it your testimony that if a supervisor signs off on a form, you know for sure that that stop was a
- 18 legal stop?

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- 19 A. It indicates that my officers are being supervised. That's
- 20 what we want in the police department is supervision.
- 21 Q. I understand. What about if the supervisor signed off on
- it, but they had not observed the stop, would you be
- 23 comfortable with a stop that only had furtive movements,
- evasive answers and a crime pattern?
- 25 A. The way it works is the supervisors, when they receive a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  $(212)\ 805-0300$

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 UF-250, these officers give it directly to their supervisors,

- the crime team. The crime team, the supervisor is going to ask
- them to articulate the reasons for the stop before he reviews and signs this.
- 5 Q. My original question and your original answer was, can you
- 6 determine by looking at the form alone whether or not the stop
- 7 was based on reasonable suspicion?
- 8 A. I can determine by looking at the entire form, I am
- 9 comfortable that the stop was based on reasonable suspicion,
- 10 yes.
- 11 Q. So you're comfortable with furtive movements being checked
- off here even though you don't know what the furtive movement
- 13 was?

25

- 14 A. I am comfortable with -- we would like activity log
- 15 entries. And that coupled with the activity log entries helps
- us get a good picture of what is going on at that particular
- 17 stop. But the form itself captures enough information that I
- 18 am comfortable that the stop was lawful.
- 19 THE COURT: I guess what he is trying to get you to
- 20 focus on is that you don't discount the box furtive movements
- because you don't know what those movements were. You accept it at face value as one of the four boxes checked. Without
- 23 knowing what those movements are, you can say, that's one of
- the four, I will go with it.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

## D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 Q. Now, you did mention activity logs. Do you agree that an

- officer should be including in their activity logs more detail
- 3 than what is on the form?

14

- 4 A. We would like more details in the form.
- ${\tt 9}$  Q. You also said that it would be really important that the
- 6 supervisor review the activity log entry in addition to the 7 250?
- 8 A. The way it's set up is the supervisors, they respond to
- 9 these stops. So they should have intimate knowledge of the 10 stop.

11 THE COURT: He is asking you a simpler question. He 12 is asking you, should the supervisor review the memo book along 13 with the form?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

- Q. That's because the memo book often can include important information about the stop that's not in the form itself, right?
- 18 A. The memo book is more for the officer to recall information about the stop.

20 THE COURT: Why would you like the supervisor to 21 review the memo book as well as the form?

22 THE WITNESS: Because if he wasn't present for the 23 initial stop, he can review and sign it, but I want my 24 supervisors to take it a step further and actually speak to the 25 officer in regards to the stop.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 Q. Now, when you were the commander of the 43rd Precinct, the

- supervisors in your precinct did not, to your knowledge, review
- 3 the officer's activity log at the same time that they reviewed
- 4 the 250, right?
- 5 A. I wouldn't know that offhand.
- 6 Q. You never directed your supervisors, in fact, to review the
- 7 activity log concurrently with their review of the 250, right?
- 8 A. If the supervisor signs an activity log later in the day
- 9 and the stop was early in that morning, we want the officer
- 10 to -- if he has not handed it in to his direct supervisor, we
- 11 want them to hand it to the desk officer if that direct
- 12 supervisor isn't in. There can be a time that a 250 might be
- 13 turned in already. So if the officer has a 250, I would expect
- 14 my supervisor to look at the 250 and scratch the activity log.
- 15 But that doesn't always happen during the course of the day,
- things are busy, and the sergeant has a lot of
- 17 responsibilities.
- 18 Q. I understand. But that was never something that you
- 19 specifically directed your supervisors to do?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 O. Now, you mentioned earlier that in the situations where
- 22 supervisors were not actually present for a stop that one of
- 23 your officers did, it was your expectation that they would
- speak to the officer about the stop?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

Q. But that's not what you testified to when you were deposed, right?

If you want to refresh your recollection, I will ask you to do this. If you look at page 74, line 8.

MS. GROSSMAN: I would just note that the witness gave testimony, but this deposition is from 2009. So I don't know that this is proper impeachment.

THE COURT: He said, if you want to refresh your recollection, why don't you read. It was not to impeach him. Q. Read from line 8 through line 16.

THE COURT: That's to yourself.

Does reviewing that portion refreshes your

13 recollection?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

2.3

THE WITNESS: It does.

THE COURT: What question do you want to ask?

Q. Your recall that at least since November 2009, at that point in time, there was no requirement that your supervisors do anything other than review the 250, right?

19 A. The reason why we have our supervisors -- protocol dictates 20 that we are --

21 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Clearly, you're not answering 22 the question that was asked.

THE WITNESS: I am trying to get to it.

24 THE COURT: The prologue is not part of what he asked. 25 Stick to the question.

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

He said, as of 2009, was the supervisor required to look at the memo book as well as the 250 at the same time? THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.

- 4 Q. They also weren't required to speak to the officer about the stop?
  - A. Yes. That is something that they do.

THE COURT: At that time?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

6

7

8

- 9 Q. So you're saying that in 2009, you testified that at that point in time, that officers were required to speak to officers about the stop?
- about the stop?

  A. Yes. I'm not sure if I understood the question then. But
  I can assure you that our supervisors are to inquire from their
- officers when they sign, sign the 250, the reason why we want them to sign the 250 of their immediate subordinates is so that
- they can ask them the questions if they weren't present.

  Q. When you testified in 2009, that's not what you testified
- to, right?

  THE COURT: If you have that, you can read it as
- 19 THE COURT: If you have that, you can read it as 20 impeachment.
- Q. The question was, this is page 74, line 8.
- 22 "Q. OK. But in a situation where the sergeant was not on the
- 23 scene, because obviously a sergeant can't be five places at
- once, in a situation where a sergeant was not actually present when a stop was done, in that situation, other than reviewing
- SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

the UF-250s, is there anything else that the sergeant is

- 2 required to do to determine if the stop was a legal stop?
- 3 "A. That particular sergeant, no."
  - MS. GROSSMAN: You need to read before. On page 73,
- 5 line 16.

4

17

18

19

21

2.3

- 6 "Q. What did the sergeant do besides reviewing the form to
- 7 determine if the stop, question and frisk that an officer did
- 8 was in fact legal and constitutional?
- 9 "A. While they are out there supervising them in the field,
- 10 again, as far as that's the reason why I want the sergeants out
- 11 there in the field to look at these stops and to make sure that
- 12 these --
- 13 THE COURT: Well, that was totally irrelevant, Ms.
- Grossman. The question was, when the supervisor was not on the
- scene, is that supervisor required to question the officer?
- 16 Apparently not.
  - MS. GROSSMAN: Sorry, your Honor.
  - THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I omitted to say that in the last testimony, but what I am saying is that is what they are
- 20 doing.
- THE COURT: OK.
- 22 Q. I understand. Let's move on.
  - So you were aware throughout your time as the
- 24 commanding officer in the 43rd Precinct that there was a
- 25 problem with officers failing to make memo book entries about SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3526 D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

D4F8FLO2 their stops, right?

- their stops, right

  2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You're familiar with the NYPD's quality assurance division,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. You're aware that the quality assurance division does an
- 7 annual audit of every precinct's stop and frisk paperwork?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When you were the commanding officer of the 43rd Precinct,
- 10 QAD audited the stop and frisk paperwork of your precinct each
- 11 year, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you're familiar with the reports that QAD puts out each
- 14 year summarizing the results of these stop and frisk audits for
- 15 the precincts?
- 16 A. For my individual precinct, yes.
- 17 Q. You recall being shown some of these reports at your
- 18 deposition, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I want to show you what has been previously admitted into
- 21 evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 95.
- Inspector, do you recognize this document?
- 23 A. No, I don't.
- 24 Q. So you don't recall being shown a document like this at
- 25 your deposition?

D4F8FLO2 Ortiz - direct

1 A. I recall -- I did for the deposition, but prior to that I didn't remember seeing this.

- ${\tt Q.}$  If we can blow it up a little bit, let's see if I can ask
- 4 you some questions about it and see if that would jog your

5 memory.

- You see at the top it says, "Stop, question and frisk summary citywide 2008, third quarter review." At the top, the title.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It says, "Sample of 25 UF-250s in reverse order." Do you
- 11 see that?
- 12 A. OK.
- 13 Q. When you were the commanding officer of the 43rd Precinct,
- 14 is that your understanding of what QAD was reviewing in your
- precinct when they did the audit, a sample of 25 UF-250s?
- 16 A. Yes

25

- 17 Q. And you were the precinct commander in 2008, in August of
- 18 2008, at the 43rd Precinct, right?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- 20  $\,$  Q. So do you have any reason not to believe that QAD did
- 21 conduct an audit and sample 25 UF-250s in your precinct in
- 22 August of 2008?
- 23 A. No, I do not.
- Q. So if we go to the fourth page.

(Continued on next page)

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

- 1 Q. So if we go to I think the fourth page.
- You see here at the top it says: Stop and frisk
- 3 summary, patrol borough Bronx.
- 4 Now the 43rd precinct is in the Bronx patrol
- 5 borough, right?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. Do you see on the left-hand side there is a series of
- 8 numbers running down 40 through 52.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And are those the precincts that make up the patrol borough
- 12 Bronx?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. And so 043 you would agree that would be the 43rd
- 15 precinct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And do you see there's a series of numbers going across.
- 18 Looks like they range from 4.0 -- well then there's 25 and 22
- 19 but I guess I'm focusing on the ratings.
- We have a 4.0, a 4.0, a 2.0.
- 21 And then going further across to the next rating
- section we have more 4s and 3s.
- 23 Is it your -- based on your understanding of the QAD
- 24 audit are these the kinds of ratings, in other words from one
- to four, that precincts could receive for the various portions SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

- 1 of the audit that QAD did?
- 2 A. Yes.

4

16

17

18

19 20

21

- 3 Q. And four would be the highest, right?
  - A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And one would be the lowest?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And is it true that a score below a three on any item is
- 8 considered failing that particular item of the audit, right?
- 9 A. I believe it needs improvement.
- 10 Q. So if you look at the far right there, at the second to
- last column from the right, do you see it says at the top -- if you can blow it up a little bit.

13 It says, "Check member's activity log entries." And 14 then if you go down -- can you zoom out for a second so we can 15 see which row is his precinct.

So the 43 is going to be the fourth set of numbers.

Okay. So then if we blow it back up and move it over.

So you see the fourth row down on the second to last column from the right -- I'm sorry about this -- do you see for the one in white, the second white row -- the second one with numbers. Do you see for the activity log entry item, it says 1.0?

- 22 1.0?23 A. Yes.
- Q. And so for 2008 your precinct received for that item on the
- audit a score of one out of four, right?

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct A. For that particular item on that entire audit, yes. Q. And so you would agree that your precinct scored very badly 3 on that particular item? 4 THE COURT: What's that item again? 5 MR. CHARNEY: That's the check member's activity log 6 entries. 7 THE COURT: Right. 8 THE WITNESS: Although the overall rating is a 3.2, we 9 did very well, we needed improvement in that particular 10 dimension of the audit. 11 Q. And by getting a 1.0 that means that when QAD audited 12 activity log entries of officers in your precinct to determine 13 if they had entered a stop that they had made into their 14 activity log, QAD found that they had not done so, right? 15 A. Needed improvement. 16 Q. My question --17 THE COURT: Needed improvement because a lot of times 18 they didn't do that? 19 THE WITNESS: The audit, it's either -- it could be 20 incomplete entries. It doesn't mean there were not entries at 21 all, so. 22 THE COURT: So you're saying you don't know why? 2.3 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure of, you know. 24 THE COURT: You don't know why your precinct got the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25

1.0 in that category?

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 THE WITNESS: Ma'am, there is no doubt about it. We

2 needed improvement.

3 THE COURT: That's right. You don't know what was 4

defective?

5

6

7

8

9

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

Q. But whether or not it was incomplete entries or missing entries, you agree either way it's a problem, right?

THE COURT: Yeah, he said that.

10 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. It's an issue.

11 Q. And so in response to this bad score for this item, you did

12 two things to try to address it, right? You spoke to your

13 training sergeant, right?

- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Because you thought one of the things that needed to be
- 16 done was to train officers on the proper policy for activity
- 17 log entries, right?

18 But training is just one piece of the puzzle, you

- 19 agree, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You also need to have supervision, correct? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. And so you need the supervisors to be checking the activity
- 24 log entries to make sure officers are complying with the
- 25 policy, right?

3532 Ortiz - direct

D4g9flo3

- A. Yes.
- Q. So to address this supervision piece, you claim you
- 3 instructed your sergeants and lieutenants about the need to
- 4 closely review officers' activity logs, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And that would include the lieutenants and the sergeants in
- 7 your precinct?
- 8 A. That would include all supervisors, yes.
- 9 Q. So that would include Lieutenant Guimaraes, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you would also discuss this issue with the ICO,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. But the only discussion you had with Lieutenant Palmieri 14
- 15 about activity logs was simply to make sure that sergeants
- 16 were, in fact, signing officers' activity logs once a tour,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Well by signing -- the sergeants, by signing these activity
- 19 logs, and him ensuring that they are signing these activity
- 20 logs, the sergeants are out there ensuring that the activity
- 21 logs contain these stop, question and frisk, any other
- 22 pertinent information that should be in the activity log.
- 2.3 So Lieutenant Guimaraes would inspect the sergeant's
- 24 activity logs to see if, in fact, he is inspecting other
- 25 activity logs, his officers' activity logs also.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 Q. But you didn't specifically instruct -- I'm actually asking

- 2 about Lieutenant Palmieri. You didn't specifically instruct
- 3 Lieutenant Palmieri that he needed to review officer memo books
- 4 to make sure they were entering stop-and-frisk entries into
- 5 their activity?
- 6 A. Lieutenant Palmieri understands what's required in activity
- 7 logs. He was instructed to scratch these sergeants' activity
- 8 logs and when he's out there to scratch police officers'
- 9 activity logs to ensure that not only stop, question, frisk
- 10 whether it be an arrest, whether it be a motor vehicle
- 11 accident, it's the entire -- you know all entries that should
- 12 be contained in that activity log is there.
- 13 Q. And you said that your instruction was that sergeants
- should be reviewing officers' activity logs once a tour,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. If possible.
- 17 Q. So at most once a tour, right?
- 18 A. No. I didn't say "at most." You've know, at least.
- 19 Q. At least once a tour?
- 20 A. Yeah. I would like that at least once a tour, if possible.
- 21 Q. And you'd agree -- well two things.
- 22 One, you'd agree sergeants are very busy; they have a
- lot to do, right?
- 24 A. Some days are busier then others.
- Q. You'd also agree that tours are eight or eight-and-a-half SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3534 Ortiz - direct

D4g9flo3

- hours long?
- A. For the police officers. For the sergeants, 8:57.
- 3 Q. If is sergeant is reviewing an activity log, it's quite
- 4 possible that at the point in time they review it, a stop could 5 occur after they've reviewed it, right?
- 6 A. Can you repeat that? I don't understand what you're 7 asking.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. That was badly worded.

9 If a sergeant is reviewing officers' activity logs 10 once a tour, it's very possible that they could review the 11 activity log at a certain point in the tour, let's say two 12 hours in, and then subsequent to that, the officer could make a 13 stop, right?

14 A. Absolutely.

15 And at that stop, when that officer hands that UF 250 16 to that supervisor, he signs it, he should be speaking to the 17 officer in regards to the circumstances of the stop. And if he 18 has the activity log there, he should be inspecting the 19 activity log.

- 20 Q. I understand. But I think you testified earlier that that
- 21 was not something you specifically instructed your sergeants to
- 22 do, to review the activity logs and the 250s concurrently,
- 2.3 right?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. I want to go back to Defendants' Exhibit -- I'm sorry D12, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

we looked at earlier, the duties and responsibilities of the commanding officer.

3 Go down to paragraph eleven at the bottom of the first 4 page.

I'm sorry it's dark.

Do you see it says there under number eleven, "Review activity of members of command each month."

Do you see that?

9 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

21

10 Q. I'm sorry --

11 THE COURT: All right. We see it.

Q. So that is, in fact, something that you did as well as the

13 commanding officer of the 43rd precinct?

- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. In fact, you reviewed the monthly activity reports of every officer in the 43rd precinct each month, right?
- 17 A. That's correct.

Q. Now I want to take a look at another exhibit that's also in evidence. This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 178. Just to look at an example of a monthly activity report for a second.

Blow it up a little bit.

So this is a monthly activity report from September of

23 '07. I know that's before you got to the precinct. But is

24 this an example of the monthly activity reports you would

25 review? Does this look like the ones you would review when you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

- were the commander?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So it's fair to say that this document indicates the number
- 4 of various categories of enforcement activity an officer would
- 5 engage in for a month, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And that would include, for example, arrests that he made
- 8 in the month, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And stops and summonses, right?
- 11 A. Verticals, radio runs, and so forth, yes.
- 12 Q. All those things. Okay.
- 13 And you would review these each month for every
- 14 officer. And on several occasions when you were the precinct
- 15 commander you did tell sergeants and officers that the amount
- of activity reflected on their reports was too low, correct?
- 17 A. Too low?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. That's not correct.
- Q. You didn't tell them that? Okay.
- 21 If we can turn to page 171 of your deposition, line
- 22 10.
- 23 Question was asked, "Have you ever communicated to an
- officer or a sergeant that an officer's activity as reflected
- on their monthly performance report was too low?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 "A. Yes.

2.3

"How often have you done that? How many times have you done that? More than ten?

"A. Yeah, I'm sure more than ten."

5 A. Okay.

Q. So I guess my question is: When you would make this determination about the activity not being high enough, that was in relation to the crime conditions in the precinct?

A. Yeah. What I understood when you asked me now if the overall, just looking at this activity report, did I tell --did I say that this officer's activity was too low? No.

What I'm -- what I was articulating is: Looking at a particular location where we send officers to that location, and if that officer's activity was at that particular location, just the overall -- overall activity.

Now, this just -- let me backtrack here.

When we're talking about activity, it depends on the condition. So if we're talking about activity, it could be vertical patrol. So if that particular officer has a burglary condition in his particular -- in his area of responsibility, whether it's his footpost, whether it's his sector, we expect that officer to do vertical patrols in that particular -- if it's a condition where it's a burglary condition in a five-story walkup, how do we combat that? We have officers go up and down doing vertical patrols in those locations.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

So we look at the actual conditions and the officers that are assigned to those areas and the total package, just not looking at the activity report. So I didn't understand what you were -

Q. I understand.

2.3

 But I think you're answering my question partially. The question I have is if there is a spike in crime, right, you want to see more activity on the activity report to show that an officer is addressing the crime?

A. That's not totally -- that's not correct. Depends on the crime and the way we decide to deploy our officers.

If -- sometimes when we deploy our officers to a particular location, there might be displacement in this crime. They might see the deployment out there. So if there's -- there is no conditions on his post, how do you expect him to have any activity?

Now, if we -- if there is a particular area like a park and I'm getting complaints from the community that there is drinking in the park and there's marijuana smoking, people smoking marijuana in the park. I drive by there. I see that. We correct -- I call officers there. They make arrests or they issue summonses.

Other officers that are working that tour address the situation. If I see a whole squad addressing a particular area where there's a condition and this officer doesn't see

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

anything, it's something that I need to take a closer look at.

- 2 But --
- 3 Q. But you did testify that you told officers that you have
- told officers that the activity reflected on these monthly
- 5 reports was too low, correct?
- 6 A. What I said was the activity that we have, coupled with the
- 7 conditions that we are addressing. There's a lot of different
- 8 factors. You just cannot make a determination on an officer
- 9 from an officer's activity report. There's more. There's only
- one -- that's only one thing that we look at to see if an
- 11 officer is doing his job or not.
- 12 Q. So your testimony today is -- your answer to the question:
- 13 Have you ever communicated to an officer or a sergeant that an
- officer's activity as reflected on their monthly performance
- 15 report was too low?
- Your answer to that question today is not yes?
- 17 A. No. It is yes. But what I'm saying is that there's other
- 18 factors that you have to take into consideration. It's just
- 19 not the activity report.
- 20 Q. Okay. So moving on.
- 21 You, as a precinct commander of the 43rd, you also
- 22 attended on a regular basis what are called borough stat
- 23 meetings; is that right?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Those are kind of like mini CompStat meetings that were SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

- 1 held for the Bronx patrol borough, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. That would just be the precincts from the Bronx?
- 4 A. Yes. It would just be the precinct that's called. There's only one precinct.
- 6 Q. So one precinct per meeting.
- 7 And those meetings would be run by Chief Purtell, the
- 8 borough commander?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. One of the things he would look at, Chief Purtell, at these
- 11 meetings would be the stop and frisk and summons and arrest
- 12 statistics for your precinct, right?
- 13 A. One of many things.
- Q. And the reason he looked at these was to assess, again, if
- 15 officers were conducting enforcement activity in the right
- 16 locations of the precinct, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And so to do that the borough commander would compare crime
- 19 statistics in various parts of your precinct with your
- 20 enforcement activity statistics in those areas of the precinct
- 21 to make sure that enforcement was matching where the crime was,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. And so, again, the expectation would be if the borough
- commander saw a spike in crime in a particular area of the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 precinct, he would expect that your enforcement activity would

- 2 also go up in that precinct, right?
- 3 A. Not necessarily.
- 4 Q. And you also, as I think you mentioned earlier, you went to
- 5 CompStat meetings as a precinct commander, right, downtown?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And at these CompStat meetings, again, the number of C
- 8 summonses and arrests and 250s done by officers in your
- 9 precinct in a month was discussed, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And that's because, again, the chiefs of CompStat would
- 12 evaluate whether your precinct was doing enough to address
- crime conditions in the precinct, right?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. And one way they would evaluate that -- one way would be to
- 16 look at number of 250s that your officers were doing, right?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. And, again, would it be fair to say that the chiefs at
- 19 CompStat would expect that if there was a rise in crime in a
- 20 certain area in your precinct, they would like to see a
- 21 corresponding increase in the number of enforcement actions in
- 22 that area?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. That's not what your --
- 25 A. What I'm saying is that that is one dimension that they SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

would ask you questions in regards to the conditions at that particular location.

If the response -- what the chief of department or anyone chairing a CompStat, that they want a plan from the commanding officer.

So if my plan is omnipresence and we are saturating that area with uniformed police officers, then he's not going to be expecting, you know, like -- there is no expectation for 250s. He wants you to give him a plan, that we're doing something for the community. We're responding to their complaints and we're addressing those issues.

So it's not -- it's something that's not captured on an activity report. He just wants you to verbalize it.

- Q. So your answer today is that the chief did not expect that if there was a rise in crime in a certain area he would like to see a corresponding increase in enforcement activity?
- 17 A. My testimony is that that's not my interpretation of what 18 he was saying to me.
- 19 Q. Do you want to page 191 of your deposition -- I'm sorry 20 189. I'm sorry. 189 line 21.
- You were asked this question; "So it's fair to say that the chief at least maybe not you --
- 23 A. Hold on a second. 189 you said?
- 24 Q. 189, line 21.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25 A. Twenty-one. Got it.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

Q. "So it's fair to say that the chief, at least, maybe not you or others in the police department, expects that if there's 3 a rise in crime somewhere, he wants to see a corresponding 4 increase in the number of 250s that officers are doing?

5 "A. No.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

"Objection to form.

"Q. He's not saying that?

"A. No. I'm saying he's not the only one."

9 So --

> A. That's not what I said. That's not what -- I'm consistent in what I'm saying now. It's not -- when I said, that's not the only one, I meant the only dimension. He is not looking --Q. Well it says "he's not the only one." It doesn't say that's not the only one.

> THE COURT: He's suggesting that's probably a typo. THE WITNESS: It's a typo. Or I was misunderstood. Because that's exactly -- that is definitely not what the chief of the department is looking for.

Q. So --

19 20 A. There is no benefit of looking at numbers just to generate 21 numbers. We're there to address the crime conditions. If we 22 just stop people indiscriminately, it does nothing with -- for 23 us to reduce crime. It only fosters hostility between us and 24 our community that we serve. We enjoy a very good relationship 25 with our community. And we're not going to jeopardize that by SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 pushing our officers to stop people that -- indiscriminately.

- 2 This does not make sense.
- 3 Q. So in terms of the evaluation at CompStat of your stop --
- 4 precinct's stop activity, they didn't look at individual 250s
- 5 at CompStat, right?
- 6 A. I don't recall that. I don't recall that.
- 7 Q. So the answer is no?
- 8 A. No. I don't think so.
- 9 Q. So the only thing they really had to go on was the
- 10 aggregate statistics of those 250s, right?
- 11 A. Yes, the overall number.
- 12 Q. Yeah.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I want to show you what's been previously admitted as
- 15 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 281.
- And I think we need to go to page 66. This was a
- document that was admitted last week with Chief Esposito. And
- 18 this is -- these are some minutes from a CompStat meeting.
- 19 If you look at the top it says patrol borough Bronx.
- 20 August 21, 2008. It says precinct presentations. The 43rd
- 21 and the 47th.
- 22 Right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. So you were the precinct commander of the 43rd at this
- 25 time, right?

	D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct
1	A. Yes, I was.
2	Q. So if we go about five pages in, get past the redactions.
3	You see here there is an exchange?
4	MS. GROSSMAN: Bates number?
5	MR. CHARNEY: NYC _ 27080.
6	Q. You see there's some notes of an exchange, looks like,
7	involving Chief Esposito. And then about halfway down you see
8	it says 43CO.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you have any reason to think that that would not be you?
11	A. No. I'm sure that was me.
12	Q. So if you see here at the top it says Chief Esposito says
13	43, robberies nine versus zero in that zone. We identified
14	sector C housing development Monroe.
15	Now housing development Monroe was a particular public
16	housing complex in your precinct?
17	A. Yes. It's Monroe houses.
18	Q. So it's fair to say that he was looking at the crime in a
19	particular housing complex, right?
20	A. In or around.
21	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to have to call the
22	luncheon recess now, anyway you have to return, five after two.
23	(Luncheon recess)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

 D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

AFTERNOON SESSION

2:12 p.m.

3 CHARLES ORTIZ, resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

5 BY MR. CHARNEY:

4

6

13

- Q. Good afternoon, Inspector.
- 7 A. Good afternoon.
- 8 Q. Before the break we were talking about this CompStat
- 9 meeting that you attended in August 2008. We were about to
- 10 look at the minutes from one of the exchanges you had with
- 11 Chief Esposito about I guess a robbery spike in a particular 12 housing development.

Do you recall that?

- 14 A. That specific CompStat?
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. Do you recall we were discussing that before the break?
- So looking at these notes here, it looks like Chief Esposito had identified a robbery spike in this particular housing development called the Monroe houses, right?
- 20 A. Yes. In and around Monroe houses from what I get.
- 21 Q. And he says that the robberies went from nine versus zero.
- 22 So there had been nine reported robberies in an area where
- 23 prior there had not been any? Is that what you understand it
- 24 to mean?
- 25 A. That's what the note said.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

Q. Do you see under that, under the redacted part it says, Chief Esposito says, listen don't have time I have to go but nine robberies compared to none, I don't think we're doing enough in that zone. You have 4Cs and 5 250s in a 28-day period.

Do you see that?

A. Yes, sir.

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. So is it fair to say that Chief Esposito felt that the enforcement activity you had done in that particular area in response to this robbery spike was not sufficient?
- A. That's not what the chief is saying.

MS. GROSSMAN: Objection. I'm sorry. Chief Esposito felt. I don't know that it would be fair for this witness to say what Chief Esposito felt.

THE COURT: What he said. Whatever he said.

Q. So you don't interpret, "I don't think we are doing enough, you have 4Cs and 5 250s in a 28-day period," you don't understand him to be saying that's not enough enforcement activity?

THE COURT: Those are the words. "That's not enough." THE WITNESS: What he's saying is that he wants an explanation for -- that we have a spike in robberies, nine versus zero. And he -- the activity that's on paper, he wants an explanation on what's our plan.

THE COURT: But he says the words, "I don't think we SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

are doing enough."

You can't quarrel with it, right?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: "I don't think we are doing enough."

5 Okay.

- Q. And it was not uncommon, right, in your experience for Chief Esposito or other chiefs at a CompStat meeting to discuss with you the monthly and summons and 250 activity in your precinct, right?
- 10 A. I'm sorry. Could you say that -- repeat that.

11 Q. Sorry.

12

13

14

20

21

It was not uncommon for you at CompStat meetings to have a discussion with the chiefs about the monthly summons and 250 activity in your precinct, right?

15 A. No. That's not uncommon.

Q. I want to go back just real quick to those QAD audits that we were talking about earlier. We looked at the 2008 audit. I wanted to look quickly at the 2009 which is previously admitted as Plaintiffs' Exhibit I believe 69.

Do you see again this same format as the previous audit report we looked at, right?

22 A. Yes.

Q. If we go again to the, I believe, the fourth page. This would be Bates number NYC \_ 218 -- I'm sorry 5 -- it's the third page, 527.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

Do you see this page again lists the audit results for all the precincts in the Bronx patrol borough, right?

- 3 A. That is correct.
  - Q. So that would again be the 43rd precinct which would be your precinct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. CHARNEY: If we can zoom it a little bit.
- Q. If we look at the -- in the area that's -- the chart that's not shaded dark, if you look, the second column from the right again is the check member's activity log entries item.
- Do you see under the 43rd precinct there's a score
- 12 of 2.0?

4

5

6

- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. So, that's better than you did in 2008, right?
- 15 A. It's a slight improvement. But we -- I felt that we could do better.
- The overall rating was a 3.2, and that was one dimension that we underperformed.
- 19  $\,$  Q. So, again, for the dimension on activity log entries you
- 20 were still substandard, correct?
- 21 A. I think we need improvement, yeah.
- Q. But you would agree that a score of below 3 is considered
- 23 unsatisfactory to the police department, correct?
- 24 A. It's unsatisfactory to me.
- Q. Well isn't it also unsatisfactory to the police department? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

- 1 A. Yes. I think it's something that we strive to do better 2 at.
- Q. And then if we can look real quick at Plaintiffs' Exhibit which is also admitted. This is the 2010 audit for stop and frisk.
- If we can go to I believe the fourth page which is
  Bates number NYC \_ 2217 -- I think we're going to go to 744.
  So I guess that's --

MS. GROSSMAN: This is Plaintiffs' 78? MR. CHARNEY: Yes.

- Q. Again if we look at the 43rd precinct -- if we can blow it up a little bit. If you look at that item, the second column from the right in the lighter colored chart for check member's activity log entries, again, the 43rd precinct got a
- score of 1.0, correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.

9

10

- Q. So is it fair to say that, again, in 2010 on that dimension
- 18 your precinct performed unsatisfactorily?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. So we've looked at three -- the three years 2008 through
- 21 2010 in your precinct and in each of those years the audit
- 22 showed that your officers were not doing activity log entries
- 23 for stop and frisks adequately, correct?
- 24 A. In the dimension of activity logs, yes.
- Q. And you had mentioned, I think before the break, that to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

address that you had talked to the training sergeant, one

- 2 thing, right?
- A. That's one thing that we implemented is having the training sergeant train the officers.
- 5 Q. And you started doing that in 2008?
- 6 A. We started doing that -- yeah, I would say right after that
- 7 audit we would have the training sergeant instruct the officers
- 8 at their unit training during roll call.
- 9 Q. But as of 2010 would you agree with me that the training
- 10 didn't seem to be having an effect?
- 11 A. Yeah. You can see there that the memo book entries
- 12 continue -- under my tenure in the 43 rd precinct we could
- have done much better.
  What we -- we

15

17

18

19

What we -- we did have improvement. At the beginning of this -- these audits, what we were finding is that there

weren't memo book entries.

Then we saw that the officers began to start indicating the stops in their memo books. And we were at the, you know, we were trying to improve the amount of detail that

20 they were putting in the memo books.

So we implemented these steps to ensure that this took place.

- 23 Q. But as of 2010 you still are scoring a 1.0 in that
- 24 dimension on the audit, right?

25 A. For that particular audit, for that sample that they took, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct yes. Q. And then lastly I want to look at -- this is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 81. And this is the 2011 audit. I'm going to show 3 4 this to you. 5 Actually I would move that this be admitted into 6 evidence. 7 MS. GROSSMAN: What exhibit? 8 MR. CHARNEY: Eighty-one. I just want to hand --9 MS. GROSSMAN: No objection, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 81 is received. 11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 81 received in evidence) 12 Q. This is the same format, right? But this is for 2011, 13 right? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So, again, if we go now to page NYC\_22186, yes. There we 16 go. 17 Again if we zoom in for the 43rd precinct here, it 18 looks like, again, for the dimension regarding activity log 19 entries your precinct got a 1.0, correct? 20 A. That is correct. Q. So it's fair to say that as of 2011 you were still having a 21 22 problem in your precinct with officers not making adequate 2.3 activity log entries about the stops they were conducting, 24 correct? 25 A. Although we had a better rating in the overall inspection, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 we fell short, again, in the member's activity log.

- Q. Now other than talking to the training sergeant, you said
- 3 you instructed supervisors to be making sure that they're
- 4 scratching officers' memo books at least once a tour, right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Do you know if, in fact, they were doing that?
- 7 A. Well, we -- again, that was one -- we instructed -- excuse  $\rm ^{8}$  me.

We instructed the sergeants to scratch the individual officers that are working, performing the tour on their tour, their particular tour.

Now, officers -- we had the integrity officer ensure that he inspected the sergeant's logs to just look for an indication that officers' memo books were being scratched. We also visited -- excuse me, I'm using police jargon here -- the platoon commander who is working on that particular tour also inspects the sergeants' memo books to make sure that he is visiting in -- inspecting these activity logs, coupled with the sergeants responding to these stops, whether they be arrests or stops for stop, question and frisk, at that particular moment they would scratch the officers' logs also.

- 22 Q. You would agree -- I think we already talked about this
- 23 earlier -- that there are stops that are conducted that
- 24 sergeants, just for one reason or another, are not going to be
- able to be on the scene, right?

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

A. That's not the majority of the time. I hear my supervision when I'm working -- my police radio is on as long as I'm working, coming into work, at work, and leaving work. And I hear my supervisors responding to these locations.

If there is a job that comes over where there's a detailed description and there's a canvass, someone is, for instance, is getting -- just got robbed and there's a detailed description and there's a canvass, and there's people stopped in regards to that, I hear the officers that are actually putting it over and I also hear the sergeants responding. And when I'm out there, I am required to do a non-announce, which means no one knows that I'm working. So I will come directly from home to work. And no one knows that I'm working. And I will monitor the police radio. And I will respond to these jobs. The officers don't know that I'm working.

So not only do I know that they're responding, because I hear it, but I see it. So there is supervision. Q. I understand.

But you would agree that there are a certain percentage of stops -- we don't know the number -- that a sergeant just cannot be present for, right?

22 A. A small percentage.

2.3

Q. But you've never actually done an analysis to determine what percentage of stops a sergeant is not present at, right?

A. By practically living in the precinct, I know more often SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

- 1 than not that my supervisors are responding to stops.
- 2 Q. Have you reviewed each of the 250s done in your precinct
- 3 for a month and then determined whether or not the supervisor
- 4 had been present at the time?
- 5 A. Reviewed every 250, no, I haven't.
- 6 Q. And the other thing I guess that we talked about before the
- 7 break was whether or not supervisors were instructed by you to
- 8 review the memo book entry at the same time they reviewed the completed 250.
- 9 completed 250. 10 Do you r
  - Do you remember that?
- 11 A. Yes. I remember.
- 12 Q. And it's correct that you never instructed your supervisors specifically to do that, right?
- 14 A. Sorry. I answered that already. Yes.
- 15 Q. So, yes, you did not instruct them to do that, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that given these audit results
- 18 you were concerned that maybe, in fact, supervisors were not
- 19 doing the concurrent review of the activity log entry and the
- 20 stop form?
- 21 A. My concern is that to ensure that the stops are lawful and
- 22 that my supervisors are out there supervising our officers.
- 23 And when there is a stop, that the stop -- they question the
- officer in regards to the stop.
- I am comfortable, extremely comfortable that the stops SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

2.3

that are being conducted in the 43rd precinct are lawful stops.

When it comes to our activity log entry, I stated over and over again that there needs to be improvement. That is something that I would like for us to improve on. It's something that we strive for. And that just will help with future prosecutions and future investigations.

But as far as the stops that are being conducted out there, I strongly, strongly believe, and know because I'm out there, that these are lawful stops.

- Q. And your basis for that belief is that you trust your supervisors, right?
- 13 A. No. I trust my community to tell me if there were issues.
  14 I have a strong relationship with my community. And a lot of
  15 community outreach. My community is a vocal, intelligent,
  16 educated community. If there were issues about racial
  17 profiling and unlawful stops, I would know that from my
  18 community meetings.

I had many, various meetings weekly with my community. Open door policy. My phone rings 24 hours a day, seven days a week -- you can ask my family -- with people from the community.

So if there were issues, trust me, I would know it. Q. Are you aware that there have been civilian complaints filed for improper stop and frisk against officers in the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

1 43rd precinct during the time you were the precinct

- 2 commander?
- 3 A. Yes.

Q. But your testimony is that doesn't constitute a concern by a community member about an illegal stop?

A. No.

I'm saying that by my -- us monitoring our officers' stops, by listening to our community, by being out there, I am comfortable, extremely comfortable, and I know, that they're doing a great job and they're respecting the community.

THE COURT: But one of the reasons you said that is because you didn't hear complaints from the community?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What he's asking you is don't you know that there were some complaints within the community.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Well when we're talking about CCRBs, that falls under the jurisdiction of CCRBs. These are police interactions resulting from enforcement.

Have there been complaints? Yes.

But you're asking me, directly to me, asking me at my community meetings, at open forums, at, you know -- I attend venues where there are three, four hundred people there.

Q. Well I didn't ask you about community meetings. I simply asked you whether the basis for your belief that stops are good is that you trust your supervisors. And then you said --

D4g9flo3 Ortiz - direct

A. To quote one of my favorite presidents, I trust but verify. We trust our officers but we're going to verify and make sure that they're doing lawful stops.

So if there's a complaint that falls under the jurisdiction of the CCRB, then that's the agency, that is the agency that is going to investigate it.

If there's a determination that it was an unlawful stop, then that behavior will be addressed.

Q. Well let me just ask a different question and then I think I'm almost done.

Is it your testimony that you believe that your supervisors are, in fact, questioning officers about the reasons they make stops to ensure that those stops were based on reasonable suspicion?

A. I believe it because I question them.

You know, in the particular stop that we brought up earlier, that there was, you know, that's in question. In that circumstance, if there's a particular crime issue, I'm going -- I'm going to hear it over the radio and I'm going to question the supervisor in regards to the stop. What's going on out there? What was the basis of the stop?

Because I'm concerned, one, to make sure that this is a legitimate stop; but two, that we are at the right location and if there's any pertinent information that could help us identify who is committing crimes against our community. These SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

```
D4g9flo3
                              Ortiz - direct
     are violent crimes that we're dealing with here, you know.
 1
 2
               (Continued on next page)
 3
 4
 5
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - direct Q. I understand. 2 THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand. 3 The 43rd Precinct, what neighborhoods does that cover? 4 THE WITNESS: It covers Bronx River, Soundview, Castle 5 Hill, Clason Point, the Monroe section. It's a large precinct. 6 It's about four square miles. 7 THE COURT: What is the population? 8 THE WITNESS: The population is documented now, with 9 the last census, it's over 168,000. 10 THE COURT: What housing projects, public housing? 11 THE WITNESS: Public housing developments? We have 12 Bronx River, Castle Hill, Soundview, Monroe. 13 THE COURT: With all of those communities, you never 14 heard anybody complain to you about bad stops or racial 15 profiling? 16 THE WITNESS: Ma'am --17 THE COURT: I am just asking. Tell me yes or no. 18 THE WITNESS: I have heard complaints. But it's not 19 about the legality of the stop. It's how the officers, when 20 they stop them, how the residents feel when they walk away. THE COURT: Did anybody ever complain about racial 21 22 profiling? 2.3 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. 24 THE COURT: Or about bad stops? 25 THE WITNESS: No.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - direct

THE COURT: Just behavior during the stop?

THE WITNESS: An explanation of the stop. If we have the time, the officer should take the time and say, the reason why we stopped you, you fit the description, maybe get a broadcast over the radio. So that person can walk away feeling like, I didn't like this stop, but the officers are trying to do their job. We could do a better job of doing that. And sometimes we need to explain. If we have time. Certain circumstances, we just don't have the time.

 $\,$  THE COURT: You never got a complaint in all those years about either bad stops or racial profiling?

THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.

BY MR. CHARNEY:

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. I just want to ask you real briefly about the integrity control officer in the precinct.

It was your expectation that your integrity control officer would be out in the field monitoring officers to make sure that they were making proper stops, correct?

- A. To ensure the overall integrity of our police service.
- 20 That's not the only thing he's out there looking for.
- 21 Q. One of those pieces of the overall integrity that you
- 22 expected him to monitor was stop and frisk, correct?
- A. Yes. If our officers was engaging in a stop, I would expect him to go over there and supervise that.

MR. CHARNEY: One minute, your Honor.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - direct

- 1 No further questions.
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MS. GROSSMAN:
- 4 Q. Good afternoon, Inspector.
- 5 A. Good afternoon.
- 6 Q. Can you tell the Court what your educational background is?
- 7 A. I have my bachelor's from State University of New York. I
- 8 have my master's from Seton Hall University in human resources
- 9 training and development. I also attended Columbia Police
- 10 Management Institute.
- 11 Q. Prior to being assigned to the 43 Precinct, you were also
- the CO of the 41 Precinct?
- 13 A. Yes, I was.
- 14 Q. How long did you serve in that capacity?
- 15 A. About a year and a half.
- 16 Q. Have you ever lived in the 43 Precinct?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Do any members of your family live in the 43 Precinct?
- 19 A. All of my family that's not in Puerto Rico, the large
- 20 majority of them live in the 43 Precinct.
- 21 Q. Approximately how many officers were assigned to the 43
- 22 Precinct when you were the CO?
- 23 A. It depends on the year, but I think we ballooned up to
- 24 maybe 260.
- 25 Q. Approximately how many anticrime officers were in the 43 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

- 1 Precinct when you were the CO?
- 2 A. We strive for ten, two teams of five, one and five, two
- 3 teams.

4

- Q. What about the SNEU?
- 5 A. SNEU teams, we had two and 16.
- 6 Q. What about conditions?
- 7 A. Depending on the conditions of the precinct, we could have
- 8 as many as four, five conditions teams, and we had as little as
- 9 two -- I don't think we ever had two, about three.
- 10 Q. What about impact, how many officers were assigned to
- 11 impact?
- 12 A. Again, depending on the impact, but I would say on average,
- anywhere between 40 to 60.
- 14 Q. What was the supervisor to officer ratio?
- 15 A. We like to keep in the police department one to eight.
- 16 Q. Can you describe the impact zones in the 43 Precinct?
- 17 A. The impact zone, I am just going to give you a generic,
- because depending on the impact and the crime trends, it can be a little smaller or larger.
- 20 MR. CHARNEY: I am going to object to this because it
- 21 is my understanding that the impact zones change every six
- 22 months. So what period of time are we talking about here?
- THE COURT: Can you give us a time frame?
- 24 Q. When you were the CO of the 43, can you give us a sense of
- 25 the impact zones that you were aware of?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

## D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 A. There are only changes within one or two blocks. They

- 2 shrink it a little bit. It's not a significant amount of the
- 3 area. We have had it from Bruckner Expressway to Westchester
- 4 Avenue, from I want to say Morrison to Ward.
- 5 Q. So on average, how many avenues, how many streets would you
- 6 say an average impact zone would cover in the 43?
- 7 A. In the 43, I would have to look at the map. I would say
- 8 ten blocks, a little bit more.
- 9 Q. About how many avenues?
- 10 A. That would be two.
- 11 Q. Of the 40 to 60 officers in impact, approximately how many
- 12 would serve on a particular tour?
- 13 A. On that tour, any particular tour, we could have, because
- 14 we have days off and in court and so forth, it could be 20 and
- 15 change.
- 16 Q. Now, as a CO, how do you determine where to send officers
- 17 to on any particular tour?
- 18 A. Are we talking about impact or in general?
- 19 Q. In general.
- 20 A. In general, we follow the crime. We will send officers
- 21 where we experience violence. Violence is always our first
- 22 priority, whether it be assaults, shootings, robberies,
- 23 burglaries, depending on what the violence is. We will deploy
- our resources to where the crime is taking place.
- Q. Is crime evenly distributed within the 43 Precinct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

- 1 A. In the 43, no.
- 2 Q. What is the difference between the uniformed officers on
- 3 patrol and the officers assigned to any one of the specialty
- 4 units in terms of their function?
- 5 A. Uniformed patrol, their mission, they answer 911 calls,
- 6 calls for service, 311 calls. And when they are not on 911,
- 7 311 or whatever complaints are referred to the precinct, they
- 8 are to address the conditions in their particular sector. And
- 9 conditions will be deployed to areas where we have community
- 10 complaints. So it can be anything from, you know, smoking in
- 11 the park, drinking in the park, any quality of life conditions
- 12 that I am getting from my residents, we can deploy our
- 13 conditions to those locations.
- 14 Then the crime teams are self-explanation. Crime
- teams address robberies, burglaries, crimes in progress. And
- our SNEU teams are drugs; they are to police the areas where we
- have infestation of drugs in those neighborhoods.
- 18 Q. Now, going back to the impact zones, do the officers cover
- the impact zones both on foot and by car?
- 20 A. They are primarily on foot. There will be a supervisor
- 21 that is mobile, and he will be also with impact officers in
- 22 that vehicle.
- 23 Q. Now, when you were the CO in the 41 and the 43, did you
- 24 have a crime analysis officer?
- 25 A. Yes.

## D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 Q. What was the responsibility of the crime analysis officer?

- A. They are to collect, analyze data and to assist me to
- 3 identify crime trends and patterns.
- 4 Q. How did those crime trends and patterns and conditions get
- 5 communicated to the police officers?
- 6 A. Well, our primary record is actually the complaint report.
- 7 We take a look at the complaint reports and what has been
- 8 submitted to us. But then we can also get intel from our
- 9 community, because there might be some issues that are not
- 10 reported, we don't know. So they might say, there is a
- 11 particular crew of individuals at a particular location that
- 12 are committing certain crimes. So we will also take that into
- 13 account.
- 14 Q. Are the crime conditions and the trends and patterns also
- 15 communicated at roll call?
- 16 A. Yes, they are.
- 17 Q. Is there also a crime information center maintained at the
- 18 precincts?
- 19 A. What you do is, once we have that information, we conduct
- 20 an analysis and we move forward with giving the information to
- 21 our police officers and our supervisors. We have a crime
- 22 information center that's located in the muster room where all
- 23 the crime patterns, all the crime trends, all the issues that
- 24 we are having are posted. We also have a TV there that -- a
- 25 monitor I should say, that we also post the crime trends, the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 patterns, the locations, wanted subjects and so forth. And

- then our supervisors will give instructions from the meetings
- 3 they have with myself, information they are getting from me,
- 4 on, you know, what the deployment is and what the
- 5 responsibilities of their personnel are.
- 6 Q. If there are photographs of individuals who are suspects,
- 7 is that available to the officers as well?
- 8 A. Absolutely. We get as much information out there to them
- 9 as possible.
- 10 Q. How do you determine whether officers need to shift their
- 11 assignments?
- 12 A. Well, everything is fluid. So if we deploy to an area and
- 13 there is no reported crime, we saturated that area, and then
- 14 there is a crime spike somewhere else, we are going to have to
- 15 change our deployment to address where the other issues are
- 16 occurring.
- 17 Q. With respect to activity logs, are you aware that the
- 18 police department has now been issuing command level B
- 19 disciplines to officers for failure to fill out activity logs?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of penalty would that carry now?
- 22 A. It can be from zero to ten days.
- 23 Q. Have you ever disciplined officers for failure to complete
- 24 activity logs?
- 25 A. Yes.

## D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 Q. After you were at the 43 Precinct, did there come a time

- 2 that you were transferred to another command?
- 3 A. Yes. I was assigned to patrol borough Bronx.
  - Q. What were your duties and responsibilities at patrol
- 5 borough Bronx?

4

- 6 A. Overtime, CCRBs. We identified officers that had CCRBs,
- 7 brought them in to speak to them and stressed the importance of
- 8 not getting CCRBs and try to mentor them in the right
- 9 direction. We had meetings with the integrity control officers
- 10 to make sure that the overall process, the integrity process is
- 11 intact, that they are actually going out there on patrol and
- 12 doing their responsibilities, as far as their duties and
- 13 responsibilities, a string of other things.
- 14 Q. With respect to supervisors signing UF-250s, how do you
- 15 know the squad supervisors are signing UF-250s when you were
- the CO in the 43 Precinct?
- 17 A. Well, they are signing the 250s because it's very easy to
- 18 determine that. If you go behind the desk, there is a UF-250
- 19 log, and in the log it identifies who is reviewing these
- 20 UF-250s. So by viewing the logs, you can see that. You can
- 21 also -- just some of the other things that we identified,
- 22 indication in the memo book entries that they were present
- 23 there. If you look at it, you see the officers, the sergeants
- 24 are actually reviewing their particular officers' UF-250s.
- 25 Q. Now, moving on to community meetings that you started to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 talk about when Mr. Charney was asking you some questions. How

- 2 often do you meet with members of the community -- let me
- 3 rephrase that. How often did you meet with members of the
- 4 community when you were the CO of the 43 Precinct?
- 5 A. Quite often, four or five times a week.
- 6 Q. Did you also attend community council meetings?
- 7 A. Yes. Monthly we have community council meetings every
- 8 first Wednesday of every month, where the community has an
- 9 opportunity to voice their concerns, whether it be deployment
- 10 to address their conditions, and then what we did was we
- 11 divided the room up with different areas of the room and there
- 12 were different stations where they can voice their complaints.
- 13 If it was a detective squad issue. If it was a narcotics
- 14 issue, I had representatives from narcotics. If it was a
- 15 quality of life issue, I had members from my quality of life
- 16 team. Then they had an opportunity to interact with me, and I
- 17 always made sure that I was the last person out of the room.
- 18 So I was available to anyone that wanted to speak to me about
- 19 any issue.
- 20 Q. Approximately how many people on average would attend these
- 21 community council meetings?
- 22 A. It can range anywhere between 30 to, I would say 50, 60
- 23 sometimes, depending on the meeting.
- 24 We also have meetings that I would have with the
- 25 district manager, which is our community board meeting, where SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

they go over a series of issues, and I am the representative

- for the police department to address any of the concerns that
- 3 people may have at these meetings. People may feel more
- 4 comfortable speaking to the district manager, and he can do a
- 5 personal introduction to me, and then I can personally
- 6 interview them. So that's another way that is available to
- 7 them.
- 8 Q. How often would those meetings take place?
- 9 A. That's monthly.
- 10 Q. Monthly?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How many people on average would generally attend the
- 13 community board meetings?
- 14 A. Depending on the issues, it can be a zoning board, zoning
- issue, you can get up there, I want to say 40 to maybe 100.
- 16 It's a packed room there sometimes.
- 17 Q. Do you also meet with elected politicians?
- 18 A. I speak to elected politicians, my politicians can be very
- 19 vocal, yes.
- 20 Q. Are there any programs that you have participated in aimed
- 21 at engaging in interacting with teenagers in the 43 Precinct?
- 22 A. Well, every summer we have national night out. It's
- 23 something that's on a national -- nationwide. We pick one day
- 24 where the police officers interact with the kids on a
- 25 non-enforcement capacity. What I do in my precinct is I have SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

2.3

my most active officers that are there, and I have officers that had gotten CCRBs during that year, where we go out there, we man the rides, we cook hamburgers and hot dogs for the kids. It's totally free for the community. So we do a lot. I want to make sure that my officers interact with my community, our community, in a positive way, in a non-enforcement way. So they are grounded.

We also have, what we do in the 43rd Precinct is we do safe streets for treats. On Halloween we will create a safe environment for our kids to trick-or-treat. We have Mr. Magic, a famous magician. We have all these dance acts and different schools participate. So it just creates a nice environment where we can interact with our community and get to know one another.

Then we also have, in conjunction with the PAL, we have what we call the impact team centers. Unfortunately, my last year we weren't able to fund it. But what we did was we had officers interact with kids. We got a school. The DOE, Department of Education, would give us a school. We try to get a location where we see a high incidence of victims -- juvenile victims and high incidence of juveniles that are committing the crimes. So we pick a location and the Department of Education, they supply the actual structure of the building. The PAL assigns staff. And then the 43rd Precinct, we play ball with the kids, we make sure that there is security for the kids,

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 it's a safe environment. Then we interact with these kids so 2 we know what is going on out there in the precinct.

Then we do various other things.

- Q. Inspector, moving on to supervision, can you describe, generally, the daily interactions a supervisor has with officers on his squad?
- 7 A. Other than the supervisor's husband or wife and the
- 8 children, they spend a majority of the day with their officers.
- 9 They interact before work, before they get dressed, during roll
- 10 call instruction, during the course of the day, of the workday,
- 11 and at the end of the day, on the way out, they are interacting
- 12 with the officers. They are with them all day.
- 13 Q. How are supervisors able to learn about the habits,
- strengths and weaknesses of his officers in your view?
- 15 A. Just by working with them. Getting to know -- when we
- 16 manage people, we want to manage to their potential. Not
- 17 everyone has the same potential. So you want to maximize the
- 18 potential, and who benefits from that is our community. So we
- 19 try to mentor them and try to keep them focused on the
- 20 importance of what we do out there. We affect people's lives.
- 21 We are out there to protect them. We are out there to help
- 22 them. It's important that we keep them grounded and they
- 23 understand that.
- 24 Q. Do supervisors share the same shifts as their officers?
- 25 A. Yes.

3

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross Q. How does this enable supervisors to learn about the 2 officers -- how does this help --3 THE COURT: I think we have had that question over and 4 over again. The supervisors work with the officers. They work 5 together on the same shift. 6 In the 43rd Precinct, annually, do you know how many 7 stops are made, stops that result in UF-250s? 8 THE WITNESS: Depending on the year, ma'am --9 THE COURT: Roughly. 10 THE WITNESS: Roughly, it could be 7, 8,000. 11 THE COURT: 7 or 8,000 annually that result in 12 UF-250s? 13 THE WITNESS: If I recall correctly, yes. 14 THE COURT: Again, how many years were you with the 15 43rd? 16 THE WITNESS: I was there four and a half years. 17 THE COURT: That's your sense of annually each year? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: When you were at the 41st? 20 THE WITNESS: It's significantly less. The 41st is a much smaller command and half of it is industrial so it's not 21 really that populated. 22 23 Q. Now, sergeants also have opportunities to work with their 24 officers when they do team led enforcement? 25 THE COURT: Say that again. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 MS. GROSSMAN: Team led enforcement.

2 THE COURT: Are we going and over and over again that 3 the supervisors and officers work together?

MS. GROSSMAN: If you get that point --

THE COURT: You have made the point. I don't need points made five or six times. They work together.

MR. MOORE: They are supposed to.

THE COURT: I got that point.

9 MS. GROSSMAN: This is the first commanding officer that we are hearing from.

MR. CHARNEY: This is not. We just heard from 12 Inspector McHugh yesterday.

13 THE COURT: Folks, I don't want to hear this point 14 further. I have heard it many times from Inspector Ortiz, and 15 I have got it. He thinks that's how supervisors know what 16 officers do by working with them.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: That's a simple point. I have got it.

19 BY MS. GROSSMAN:

- Q. When you were the CO of the 41 and the 43, you were
- 21 responsible for adjudicating command disciplines arising from
- 22 officer misconduct?
- 23 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

- Q. Did you do so?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 Q. Did you have access to the Civilian Complaint Review Board

- 2 database?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Did you have access to the discipline and complaint history
- 5 of each police officer?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. As the CO, were you responsible for carrying out monitoring
- 8 plans for each officer placed in performance monitoring
- 9 programs?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, Mr. Charney asked you on direct whether the Office of
- 12 the Chief of Department goes over individual 250s at CompStat.
- 13 Do you remember that question?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you actually have any personal knowledge as to what the
- 16 chief of department looks at before the CompStat meetings are
- 17 held?
- 18 A. Before the CompStat, he is looking over the actual --
- 19 THE COURT: Didn't we have the chief of department for
- 20 two days here?
- MS. GROSSMAN: Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: Well, then, I won't allow the question.
- 23 We have heard from him. We heard it right from his mouth, so
- 24 to speak.
- 25 Q. Now, how do you determine as the CO of the 43 Precinct SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

which officers would receive overtime?

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 25

- 2 A. What we have is when there is overtime that's available,
- 3 there is a list. The officers sign their name signing up for
- 4 the overtime, and then they are assigned accordingly. We like
- 5 to distribute the overtime. If you manage any amount of
- 6 people, you want to make sure that it's evenly distributed.
- 7 There can be overtime abuse and too much overtime. We want to
  - make sure there is not burnout or anything like that. So we
- 9 definitely make sure it's distributed. We have come to the
- point that we eventually have to order people because there was too much overtime that was available.
  - Q. Now, I am going to show you what has been previously marked as Defendants' K13. This is the Google map regarding the pattern during the Floyd stop.
    - So, Inspector, looking at the Cross Bronx Expressway at the top right part of Defendants' Exhibit K13, do you know what the distance is between that red dot and the Cross Bronx Expressway?
    - MR. CHARNEY: I am going to object because this is a map. The map speaks for itself.
      - THE COURT: That won't tell me how many feet or yards.
- MR. CHARNEY: We could get this information. He might have an answer, but it may not be the actual distance.
  - THE COURT: It may not, but he has been there a while. Let's hear what he says.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

From the red balloon in the upper right-hand corner to 2 the actual expressway, do you know how many feet or yards or 3 miles?

THE WITNESS: About a half a block.

- 5 Q. What would be the distance in walking to get there?
- 6 A. From that dot to the Cross Bronx Expressway? Seconds, 7 maybe a minute.
- 8 Q. Can you describe for the Court, is there a walkway for
- 9 pedestrians to walk across the Cross Bronx Expressway?
- 10 A. There is a walkway that's a large sidewalk, and I believe
- 11 there's three lanes going, I guess, northeast or southeast,
- 12 three lanes on the roadway, on each side of the double yellow 13 line.
- 14 THE COURT: Three car lanes.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Three car lanes. There is a walkway for 16 pedestrians to go to and from.
- 17 THE COURT: Pedestrians can cross over it? THE WITNESS: Yes.
  - Q. Is it a very narrow sidewalk or a pretty wide sidewalk?
- 20 A. It's a wide sidewalk.

4

18

19

- Q. Just a few more questions. 21
- 22 Now, when you were the CO of the 43 Precinct,
- 2.3 approximately how many officers received a 2.5 or lower on a
- 24 performance evaluation?
- 25 A. A few, very, very -- not many, but a few. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - cross

1 Q. What about when you were the CO at the 41 Precinct,

- 2 approximately how many officers received a 2.5 or lower?
- 3 A. To be honest with you, I really don't recall.
  - Q. Now, while you were the CO in the 43 Precinct, did you
- 5 impose any quotas?
- 6 A. No.

4

- 7 Q. Did you punish any officers for failure to meet quotas?
- 8 A. Absolutely not.
- 9 MS. GROSSMAN: I have no further questions.
- 10 THE COURT: Mr. Charney.
- 11 MR. CHARNEY: Briefly.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. CHARNEY:
- 14 Q. Ms. Grossman asked you on cross about the numbers of
- 15 officers that were patrolling in your precinct. Do you
- 16 remember that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were there also borough-wide units, Bronx borough-wide
- units that operated in the 43rd Precinct?
- 20 A. I am sure at one point or another.
- 21 Q. They wouldn't report to roll calls in your precinct, right?
- 22 A. No. They would not report to roll calls, no.
- 23 Q. So you wouldn't have any information and documents which
- 24 identified which officers from borough-wide units would have
- 25 been operating in your precinct on particular days?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Ortiz - redirect

- 1 A. I would have -- prior to them being deployed to the 43rd
- 2 Precinct, I would speak to whatever commanding officer it is.
- 3 He will advise me of the coverage and how many people he has
- 4 working in that particular week, how many vehicles and so
- forth, so that I can deploy. So we need to coordinate our
- deployment. So I would have conversations with their
- 7 commanding officer.
- 8 Q. You wouldn't be given, for example, a list of the roster of
- 9 the officers from a borough unit that were going to be
- 10 operating in your precinct?
- 11 A. Ordinarily, no.
  - MR. CHARNEY: No further questions.
- 13 MS. GROSSMAN: No further questions, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: OK. You're done. Thank you.
- 15 Your next witness.
- MR. MOORE: The plaintiff calls Enno Peters.
- 17 ENNO PETERS,

12

- called as a witness by the plaintiffs,
- 19 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 20 THE COURT: State your full name, first and last,
- 21 spelling both for the record.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Enno Peters, E-N-N-O, P-E-T-E-R-S.
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. MOORE:
- Q. Good afternoon, Lieutenant Peters.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 Are you presently employed?
- 2 A. No, I am not.
- 3 Q. Were you employed in the New York City Police Department?
  - A. Yes.

4

- 5 Q. When did you retire?
- A. My official retirement date was October 2012, but I had pretty much stopped working in February of 2012.
- 8 Q. Before you retired, were you at the 28th Precinct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You were the integrity control officer of the 28th Precinct
- 11 at the time of your retirement?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. MOORE: Judge, this is the precinct involving the Deon Dennis stop just so you have a reference.
- 15 THE COURT: Just tell me the facts for two seconds.
- 16 MR. CHARNEY: The gentleman who they gave him a
- summons or were trying to give him a summons for an open
- 18 container, and then they arrested him on an alleged warrant.
- 19  $\,$  MR. MOORE: They said he had a bottle of Courvoisier 20  $\,$  in his hand.
- 21 THE COURT: It was early.
- MR. CHARNEY: It was the first week.
- 23 MR. MOORE: Just so you have that reference, Judge.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- Q. You were the integrity control officer of the 28th Precinct SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct from October of 1998 until sometime in February of 2012, 2 correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Almost 14 years, right? 5 A. Yes. 6 MR. MOORE: Can you pull up Defendants' Exhibit F5, 7 please? 8 Q. I have handed you what has been marked as Defendants' 9 Exhibit F5, Lieutenant Peters. Can you identify the document? 10 A. It's the duties and responsibilities of the command 11 integrity control officer. 12 MR. MOORE: Move Defendants' Exhibit F5 in evidence. 13 MR. KUNZ: No objection. THE COURT: F5 is received. 14 15 (Defendants' Exhibit F5 received in evidence) 16 THE COURT: What did you call this? MR. MOORE: F, as in Frank, 5. 17 18 THE COURT: Defendants' F5 is received. 19 Q. You're familiar with this document, right, Lieutenant 20 Peters? A. Yes.

21

22 Q. This sets forth in some detail the duties and

2.3 responsibilities of the integrity control officer of a

24 precinct, correct?

25 A. Yes.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

1 Q. In every precinct there is an integrity control officer,

- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. That position is usually held by someone with the rank of
- 5 lieutenant?
- 6 A. Most of the time a lieutenant, some smaller commands they
- 7 will be a sergeant.
- 8 Q. In some precincts, in addition to the lieutenant who is the
- 9 ICO, there is also usually an assistant integrity control
- 10 officer, correct?
- 11 A. Ideally, yes.
- 12 Q. And that person would generally be a sergeant, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, can you tell us, based upon your understanding when an
- officer can stop, question and frisk somebody?
- 16 A. When that officer has reasonable suspicion to believe that
- 17 an individual has committed a felony or misdemeanor as defined
- in the penal law.
- 19 Q. When that occurs, the officer is required to fill out a
- form called a UF-250, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. During the time at least -- you recall giving a deposition
- in this case at one point, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. That was in November of 2009?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. I don't know what has changed since then, but at least as
- 3 of November 2009, you don't remember ever, since you became the
- 4 ICO of the 28th Precinct, you don't ever remember discussing
- 5 the procedure regarding stop, question and frisk with members
- 6 of the 28th Precinct, right?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. No, you don't remember doing that?
- 9 A. I do remember having that discussion.
- 10 Q. Why don't you take a look at page 28 of your deposition.
- 11 You recall giving a deposition in this case, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. As today, you were under oath and took an oath to tell the
- 14 truth, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Look at page 29 in your deposition. Do you recall being
- 17 asked this question and giving this answer:
- 18 "Q. Since becoming the ICO at the 28th Precinct, have you
- 19 discussed NYPD policies or procedures regarding what
- 20 constitutes reasonable suspicion with members of the 28th
- 21 Precinct?"
- 22 A. Could you ask the question again?
- 23 Q. And the answer is:
- "A. Not that I remember."
- THE COURT: All he is saying, do you remember being SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

1 asked that question and giving that answer?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 3 Q. At that deposition, you were also asked, do you recall
- 4 discussing NYPD policy or practice with respect to stops,
- 5 questions and frisks, and you also answered at that time that
- 6 you did not remember at that time, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You were also asked at that same deposition whether since
- 9 becoming the ICO of the 28th Precinct, have you discussed
- 10 compliance with the Fourth Amendment with members of the 28th
- 11 Precinct, and your answer to that as well was that you don't
- 12 remember, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So that was your testimony back in 2009, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you have a different testimony now, you have a different
- 17 memory of it now?
- 18 A. It's not a different memory. My understanding of the
- 19 question is clearer today.
- 20 Q. So you didn't understand the question that was asked back
- 21 in 2009?
- 22 A. I didn't have specific independent recollection of the
- 23 incident so I didn't want to say at that time that I did. But,
- obviously, in my time on the job, I have seen hundreds of stop
- and frisks, and I have spoken many times to officers about stop SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

and frisks because I was responsible for giving command

- 2 disciplines to officers who failed to properly document stop
- 3 and frisks in their memo books, and when you issue command
- 4 disciplines, obviously, I was discussing that, and, also, I'd
- 5 to go to roll calls and talk about it.
- 6 Q. Lieutenant Peters, at the time you gave your deposition,
- 7 those were your answers, that you didn't remember doing any of
- 8 that, correct?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Just yes or no would be fine, Lieutenant Peters.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now, there is a patrol guide provision with regard to stop,
- 13 question and frisk in the police department, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MR. MOORE: Could you bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 98? 16 This is a document that's in evidence, Judge.
- 17 Q. Lieutenant Peters, do you recognize this as the patrol
- 18 guide provision with respect to stop and frisk?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You're familiar with that, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is it in fact true that since you became the ICO of the
- 23 28th Precinct, you don't recall discussing this patrol guide
- 24 provision with any member of the 28th Precinct, at least as of
- 25 the time you gave your deposition?

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

1 A. No.

4

- 2 Q. That's not true?
- 3 A. No. I have spoken many times to the officers about it.
  - Q. If you would, if you could turn to page 31.
- 5 Do you recall being asked this question and giving
- 6 this answer back in November of 2009?
- 7 At the time, you were represented by counsel, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you were given a copy of this deposition to review
- 10 after the deposition, correct?
- 11 A. I received it a short time ago.
- 12 Q. Are you saying you were not given an opportunity to review
- 13 the deposition?
- 14 A. I never saw it until a couple of weeks ago.
- 15 Q. In any event, back in November of 2009, do you recall being
- asked this question and giving this answer. Line 9, page 31.
- 17 "Q. Since becoming the ICO at the 28th Precinct, have you
- 18 discussed procedure number 212-11 with any member of the 28th
- 19 Precinct?
- 20 "A. Not that I remember.
- "Q. With anyone else at the NYPD?
- 22 "A. Not that I remember."
- 23 Do you recall giving those answers to those questions
- 24 back in November of 2009?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct Q. Were you confused about those questions back then too? A. Yes. I was being very specific, in that I never went into 3 anybody and said, I want to discuss with you patrol guide 4 procedure 212-11, but, obviously, I had many discussions with 5 my officers and the commanding officer about stop and frisks. 6 THE COURT: When you say that, you mean individual 7 stops that they did? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. Quite often and just in general 9 procedures, because that's part of my job and in doing 10 investigations that would come up all the time. But I was 11 being very particular to the language saying, I didn't go in 12 there and say, according to patrol guide 212-11. So I was 13 being very exact at the time. 14 Q. Let me hand you what has been marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 15 282. 16 MR. MOORE: I think this might have been marked as a 17 defendants' exhibit, Judge, but it's Plaintiffs' 282. 18 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 19 MR. MOORE: I am not sure if it is, but I am going to 20 move it in evidence. THE COURT: Is it from the patrol guide? MR. MOORE: Yes. 21 22 Any objection to 282? 2.3 THE COURT: Do you know what section it is? 24 25 It's a page of the patrol guide. Does anybody object? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 MR. KUNZ: No.
- THE COURT: 282 is received.
- 3 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 282 received in evidence)
- 4 Q. Do you recall receiving this? This is an interim order
- 5 from the police department. Do you recall receiving that,
- 6 Lieutenant Peters?
- 7 A. Not specifically, no.
- 8 Q. This relates to what?
- 9 A. It's a revision to the stop and frisk form.
- 10 Q. Does this deal with the creation of a card that can be
- given to people who were stopped?
- 12 A. According to this patrol guide procedure, it was a pilot
- program that was in the 32, 44 and 75.
- 14 Q. Were you ever aware of this pilot program?
- 15 A. Since the 28 was not in that pilot program, I was not aware
- 16 of it.
- 17 Q. You never heard it discussed?
- 18 A. I have no independent recollection.
- 19 Q. After some period of time, were you aware whether this
- 20 became an actual policy of all the precincts in the police
- 21 department or did it just stay a pilot program?
- 22 A. Eventually it went to all the precincts.
- 23 Q. Do you ever recall -- well, is it your testimony that you
- 24 never actually saw this interim order, interim order 20 -- let
- 25 me finish the question. Interim order 20 of April 23, 2009, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

1 you don't recall seeing this revision to the patrol guide?

- 2 A. I am sure I have seen it. I don't have an independent
- 3 recollection of when I received it.
- 4 Q. You don't ever recall discussing this document with anyone
- 5 at the police department?
- 6 A. Of course I have discussed it. If the officers are giving
- 7 out those cards, that's part of their required duties.
- 8 Q. Why don't you turn to page 34 of your deposition.
- 9 Actually, beginning on page 33. I am going to read 10 the question and answer. Page 33, line 11.
- 11 "Q. Lieutenant, I am going to hand you what is going to be
- marked as Exhibit 3, which is Bates NYC\_2\_6984."
- 13 If you look at the document, that's 6984 in front of 14 you, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Just so we are sure about what the document is.
- 17 "Q. Lieutenant, do you recognize this document?
- 18 "A. Yes.
- 19 "O. What is it?
- 20 "A. It's a revision to a patrol guide procedure.
- 21 "Q. How do you recognize this document?
- 22 "A. Could you clarify the question?
- "Q. Have you seen it before?
- 24 "A. Yes.
- 25 "Q. When have you seen it?

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 "A. I don't remember.
- 2 "Q. Have you discussed this document with anyone?"

Then Mr. Hazan says: "Besides your attorney.

- 4 "A. I don't remember."
- 5 Do you recall being asked that question and giving
- 6 that answer at your deposition in November of 2009?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So that was your memory back then, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Have you ever seen this document, sir?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. This is the operations order 11, dated March 13, 2002,
- 13 concerning the department's policy regarding racial profiling,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. While you were in the department, was this policy ever
- 17 replaced by any other policy?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. Let me show you what was previously marked as Plaintiffs'
- 20 Exhibit 183, which is also in evidence, which is a document
- 21 also entitled, "Department policy prohibiting racial
- 22 profiling."
- Do you recall receiving this document?
- 24 A. No. Because I was already on my terminal leave and
- 25 retirement.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 Q. You were still in the police department?
- 2 A. Right, but I wasn't at work.
- 3 Q. Did you still get operations orders or amendments to the
- 4 patrol guide while you were on terminal leave?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. In any event, during the time you were the ICO of the 28th
- 7 Precinct, you were aware of a policy in the police department
- 8 regarding racial profiling, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What would you describe that policy to be, in your own
- 11 words?
- 12 A. That police officers can't stop people based upon
- 13 ethnicity, race, gender.
- 14 Q. The policy, the one that you're aware of back in 2002,
- again, referring to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 184, set forth a
- 16 self-inspection protocol with regard to the issue of racial
- 17 profiling, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I am going to hand you two documents, the first two pages
- 20 are Plaintiffs' Exhibit 58, and the second is Plaintiffs'
- 21 Exhibit 61, and ask you if you can identify those two
- 22 documents.
- 23 Do you know what Plaintiffs' Exhibit 58, the first two
- 24 pages is?
- 25 A. The self-inspection for police initiated enforcement.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- Q. This is a blank copy of the self-inspection form that was implemented following the operations order number 11 of March
- 3 13, 2002, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. MOORE: Move the admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit
- 6 58.

8

- 7 MR. KUNZ: No objection.
  - THE COURT: 58 is received.
- 9 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 58 received in evidence)
- 10 Q. The third page of that document, which is Plaintiffs'
- 11 Exhibit 61, is entitled, "Rating parameters for police
- 12 initiated enforcement work sheet 802A." Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That relates to the blank work sheet that has been
- identified as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 58, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MR. MOORE: Move the admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit
- 18 61.

19

- MR. KUNZ: No objection.
- THE COURT: 61 is received.
- 21 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 61 received in evidence)
- 22 Q. Now, the self-inspection protocol for racial profiling done
- in the 28th Precinct was done by you for some period of time,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. I had my assistant do it.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 Q. But you reviewed it, correct?
- 2 A. I was the reviewing officer.
- 3 Q. Your assistant prepared it but you reviewed it, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That's separate and apart from the self-inspection protocol
- 6 on the issue of just stop and frisk generally, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. The self-inspection protocol for racial profiling involves
- 9 reviewing the last five online booking sheets for
- 10 self-initiated arrests for that month in the precinct, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If the arrest also involves a stop and frisk, then a copy
- 13 of the stop and frisk form should be included as part of the
- 14 self-inspection, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, you don't recall discussing this self-inspection
- 17 protocol regarding racial profiling with either of your
- 18 superiors in the 28th Precinct, is that correct?
- 19 A. It was a self-inspection that we had so I probably
- 20 discussed it with them at sometime.
- 21 Q. You don't recall discussing it with your superiors in the
- 22 28th Precinct, correct?
- 23 A. I don't have an independent recollection of it, but all
- 24 self-inspections were discussed.
- Q. Why don't you turn to page 40 of your deposition, beginning SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

on line 23, and read to the next page 41, line 4, read to

- 2 yourself.
- 3 You say you don't have a recollection. Just read
- 4 those lines if you would. When you finish, just let me know.
- 5 A. OK.
- 6 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you don't remember
- 7 having ever discussed the self-inspection protocols regarding
- 8 racial profiling with either of your superiors who you reported
- 9 to?
- 10 A. It says that I did.
- 11 Q. I'm sorry?
- 12 A. It gives a specific date that I did, right?
- 13 Q. The question is, does it refresh your recollection that you
- 14 don't remember discussing it?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, during the time you were the ICO, there was never a
- 17 time, was there, where there was an indication that the 28th
- 18 Precinct was not complying with the NYPD policies regarding
- 19 racial profiling?
- 20 Do you understand the question?
- 21 A. Yes. Were we ever noncompliant?
- 22 Q. You were never not in compliance, as far as you could tell,
- with that policy, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Now, you are also aware that police officers are required SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

## D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 to make entries in their memo books concerning certain
- 2 materials, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Officers must put in the memo books the tour they are
- 5 working, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Their assignment for the day?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Their partner?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The vehicle assigned?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. The color of the day?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Their assignments, any assignment they gave, any activity
- 16 they do during the day, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the disposition of those assignments, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. With regard to arrests, there should be enough details in
- 21 the activity log or the memo book that would be able to refresh
- their memory at some point after that fact, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. The same is true with regard to stop and frisk, they are
- 25 required to document in their activity logs enough detail so SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

1 that they can recall it at some point later on, correct?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. That's how they are trained, at least at the academy, how
- 4 police officers are trained at the academy, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. That's how sergeants and lieutenants are trained with
- 7 respect to supervising police officers, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now, during the time you were the ICO of the 28th Precinct,
- 10 the 28th Precinct consistently failed to live up to
- 11 expectations with respect to whether officers were filling out
- 12 the details of stops and frisks in their memo books, is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Let me hand you --
- MR. MOORE: Judge, this is again a collection of the QAD audit sheets, but just focusing on the 28th Precinct. I have provided a copy to the city.
- 19 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 20 MR. KUNZ: No. I agree it should have its own exhibit
- 21 number.
- MR. MOORE: I move this document into evidence as
- 23 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 459.
- THE COURT: 459 is received.
- 25 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 459 received in evidence)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct

- 1 MR. MOORE: I will provide you a copy.
- Q. Are you familiar with these forms, Lieutenant Peters?
- 3 A. Yes
- ${\tt Q.}\ {\tt Would}$  you agree with me that what I have provided here is a
- 5 yearly compilation going from 2003 to 2012 of the audit of the
- 6 stop, question and frisk, including the 28th Precinct, for
- 7 those years?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. There is a column on this form toward the right which asks
- 10 whether members or police officers are entering the details of
- 11 stop and frisks into their memo books, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Consistently, throughout the years you were the integrity
- 14 control officer, your precinct failed this portion of the
- 15 audit, correct?
- 16 A. Yes. There was one year there was a rating period where we
- 17 did pass, but I would say for the most part that was --
- 18 MR. MOORE: I am not going to go through each one.
- 19 It's in here. You will be able to see how they did.
- 20 THE COURT: What is a passing score?
- THE WITNESS: 3.0 is passing.
- 22 THE COURT: You think one year it was more than 3.0?
- 23 THE WITNESS: 2010, we had 3.0 in that particular
- 24 category. We passed the overall inspection.
- THE COURT: But all the other years it was not a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct passing score? 2 THE WITNESS: Right, in that particular category. 3 THE COURT: In that category. OK. 4 Q. In the year 2010, you got a 3.0? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Every other year it was below 3.0? A. Yes. 7 8 Q. Every year it was below 3.0, there would be a directive 9 sent out saying there should be more training and more 10 instruction of the officers to make sure they entered the 11 details of stops and frisks into their memo books, correct? 12 13 Q. And every year following that, except for 2010, the 14 precinct failed that portion of the audit, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, do you know how many times you 17 have now told me that? 18 MR. MOORE: With respect to the 28th Precinct? 19 THE COURT: You're being repetitive. You told me and 20 then you told me and then you told me again. I only need 21 things told to me once. We have to watch our time. 22 MR. MOORE: This is the first integrity control 2.3 officer --24 THE COURT: I don't need to hear it three times. 25 MR. MOORE: That's why I did it just for the 28th

D4G8FLO4 Peters - direct Precinct. Sorry. 2 If you can pull up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 96. 3 Judge, Let me just say, I don't want to lengthen this 4 out, but sometimes it's important to go over something that's 5 been gone over with a particular witness. THE COURT: It is not important. It's a trier of 6 7 fact, nonjury. If I heard it once, I don't need to hear it 8 three or four times. I have got the point. 9 MR. MOORE: All right, Judge. 10 Q. Are you familiar with Plaintiffs' Exhibit 96? 11 12 Q. This is an operations order dated September 11, 2008 13 regarding activity logs, right? 14 15 Q. There's two pages, some guidelines for officers in filling 16 out activity logs, correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 (Continued on next page) 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 25

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 Q. And on page two there's an example of what the kind of

- detail is required in filling out activity logs, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

19

- Q. And you were aware of that while you were the integrity
- 5 control officer of the 28th precinct, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me hand you a document that has been -- not been marked into evidence, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 84.
- 9 Are you familiar with this document, sir?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. This is a document entitled command level instructor's
- guide dated June of 2008; is that correct?
- 13 A. That's what it says. I've never seen the document before.
- 14 MR. MOORE: Judge, I don't think there's any objection
- 15 to this being entered into evidence.
- 16 THE COURT: Is there?
- 17 MR. KUNZ: There no objection.
- 18 THE COURT: 84 is received.
  - (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 84 received in evidence)
- 20 Q. Let me direct your attention to page 8 of this document,
- which is Bates stamped number 5419?
- 22 THE COURT: Start with the bottom right-hand numbers.
- 23 5419 will be the last four digits.
- 24 A. I got it.
- Q. There's some discussion there of the importance of putting SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct in the details of a stop in an officer's activity log, correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And do you agree with the statement that the reason for that -- the entry should be not limited to just the narrative 5 information that's contained on the 250 but it should be more 6 detail? 7 A. Ideally as much information that would help refresh the 8 officer's memory would be best. 9 Q. This specifically directs, in terms of training officers, 10 that they're supposed to put details in the memo book that 11 don't just repeat what's already in the 250, correct? 12 THE COURT: Why do you ask him. The document says it. 13 "This includes, but is not limited to any narrative information 14 that is not on the form." 15 MR. MOORE: I'm asking for his understanding, Judge. 16 But I'll move on.

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Why do I need it? This is stronger.

It also says the log must also be used for every stop situation.

You see all that, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 THE COURT: It's what the document says.

23 Now were people doing it?

24 THE WITNESS: You know, ideally, no.

25 Unfortunately, for many years in the police department SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 police officers would put a minimal amount into their activity

- logs. So it's been a period of retraining, to get them to turn
- 3 it around, and put more in as opposed to putting less in.
- 4 Q. But during the time you were the ICO it wasn't being done,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. It was getting better. We weren't at a hundred percent.
- 7 But it was definitely improving. Where you had officers just
- 8 putting one line in, at least we're getting them to do three,
- 9 four lines.
- Was it perfect? No.
- 11 Q. You attended supervisors' meetings in your capacity as the
- 12 ICO of the 20th precinct, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you don't recall if the policies or procedures
- 15 regarding stop, question and frisk were either raised or
- 16 discussed at those biweekly supervisor meetings, do you?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall that being discussed?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Turn to page 60 of your deposition.
- 21 You remember going to biweekly supervisors' meetings?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. When you were the ICO, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Page 60, line 14. Do you recall being asked this question? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 "Q. At the biweekly supervisors' meetings, has the subject of

- NYPD policies or procedures regarding stops, question and
- 3 frisks ever been raised?
- 4 "A. I don't remember."
- 5 That was your testimony back in November of 2009,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And, in fact, at that deposition you were also asked, At
- 9 the biweekly supervisor meetings that you attended, you don't
- 10 recall the subject -- you don't remember the subject of NYPD
- 11 policies regarding racial profiling ever being raised, right?
- 12 That's what you testified back then, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have different memory now?
- 15 A. Yes.
- I never -- we would not say at a meeting, like, we
- 17 need to talk about racial profiling.
- 18 Q. I just asked you whether you had a different memory.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Your memory --
- 21 A. My understanding of the question is better.
- 22 Q. Let me finish the question.
- 23 Your memory is different now than it was when you gave
- 24 your deposition in November of --
- 25 A. No. My understanding of your question is better.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

## D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 Q. You were also -- you recall also being asked the question,

- 2 "Do you recall at those biweekly supervisors' meetings that you
- attended whether the subject of NYPD policies or procedures regarding activity logs were ever raised?"
- And you answered at that time, "I don't remember."
  Correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right.
- 9 And that was your testimony back in November of 2009,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Because I didn't have a specific date when I could say
- 13 that.
- 14 Q. Now there were also -- there were also monthly meetings you
- 15 attended throughout the borough of integrity control officers,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So -- and at those meetings you don't recall the subject of
- 19 racial profiling ever being discussed at those meetings,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I didn't have any independent recollection, no.
- 22 Q. Well when you gave a deposition November of 2009 you said
- 23 you don't remember that subject ever being discussed, correct?
- 24 A. I couldn't give you a specific date on when it was
- 25 discussed.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct Q. I'm not asking for a date. 2 THE COURT: I thought you testified previously you don't remember it ever being discussed. Is that right? 3 4 THE WITNESS: I mean we talked about, you know, if you 5 talk about cops making stops and you talk about them making 6 improper memo book entries. 7 THE COURT: No. That's not what he asked you. 8 Do you remember a conversation --9 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 10 THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me. Whoa, whoa. 11 didn't finish my question. 12 Do you remember any discussion about racial profiling? 13 THE WITNESS: No. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 Q. Or about memo book entries or --16 THE COURT: That he says he does. 17 Memo book entries you do recall discussing, right? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Stop and frisk? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: That too. Okay. MR. MOORE: Well, Judge, not to belabor the point. 22 23 Q. But look at page 63 of your deposition, Lieutenant Peters. Were you asked this question at your deposition? 24 25 "At the ICO meetings that you have attended, has the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

subject of the NYPD policies or procedures regarding activity logs ever been raised?"

And you answered at the time, "I don't remember."

That was your answer back then, correct?

5 A. Yes.

3

4

- 6 Q. All right. And other meetings -- such meetings you had
- 7 when you were the ICO was biweekly meetings with the captain or
- 8 the CO of the precinct, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you don't recall at those meetings ever discussing the
- issue with respect to stop, question and frisk, right?
- 12 A. I'm sorry. Could you ask the question?
- 13 Q. You don't remember stop, question and frisk ever being
- 14 discussed at those biweekly captains' meetings, do you?
- 15 A. No. I can't -- I don't have a specific independent
- 16 recollection.
- 17 Q. And you don't remember the issue of racial profiling being
- 18 discussed at those biweekly captains' meetings, do you?
- 19 A. No
- 20 Q. And you don't remember the issue of whether officers were
- 21 in compliance with the Fourth Amendment ever being discussed at
- the biweekly captains' meetings, correct?
- 23 A. We never spoke about the Fourth Amendment.
- 24 We talked about cops making memo book entries. If
- 25 that falls in, then yes, we did.

## D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 Q. Do you understand that in making stops of people on the

- 2 street, that the officers have to comply with the Fourth
- 3 Amendment to the U.S. constitution?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Do you understand that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So was that ever discussed in those terms, was the Fourth
- 8 Amendment ever --
- 9 A. We talked about probable cause --
- 10 Q. Allow me to finish the question.
- 11 A. It would incorporate the Fourth Amendment, yes.
- 12 Q. Do you ever recall -- do you remember the Fourth Amendment
- 13 being discussed at those meetings?
- 14 A. No. Nobody ever said we have to talk about the Fourth
- 15 Amendment.

19

- 16 THE COURT: You're not really listening to the answer.
- 17 He said they never discussed the Fourth Amendment by name. But
- 18 they did discuss the probable cause requirement, right?
  - THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 THE COURT: Right.
- 21 Q. Probable cause for arrest?
- 22 A. Probable cause for arrest or reasonable suspicion for stop
- 23 and frisks.
- 24 Q. Well I asked you whether you recall whether stop and frisk
- 25 was ever discussed at those meetings, and you said you didn't SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct remember. A. These things come up all the time at those meetings. So it was, you know -- I can't give you a specific date. But yeah, 3 4 that was always the topic of discussion. 5 Q. All right. Now, I want you to go back for a moment to 6 Defendants' Exhibit F5. 7 THE COURT: Which one is that? 8 MR. MOORE: That's the one -- sets out the duties of 9 the command integrity control officer. 10 THE COURT: I think I have that. 11 MR. MOORE: I gave you a copy. 12 THE COURT: It says 7761 in the corner? 13 MR. MOORE: Correct, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 Q. Number four of this? 16 MR. KUNZ: I'm sorry. Just -- F5 was issued on 17 April 18, 2003. This copy was issued on a different date. So 18 I don't know if we want to make sure we're actually using F5 as 19 opposed to whatever this is. 20 THE COURT: I don't know. The one in evidence in 21 front of me was issued June 24, '05. 22 MR. MOORE: That was while you were the ICO, correct? 23

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. MOORE: All right.

24 25

MR. KUNZ: So I don't know if we want to give this one SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Peters - direct D4G9FLO5 a different exhibit tab number. I'm looking at F5 on my 2 screen, and it's a different date. 3 MR. MOORE: Is it different, Mr. Kunz? 4 MR. KUNZ: I haven't gone through it line by line, 5 Mr. Moore, but I imagine if there was a different number there 6 were changes. 7 MR. MOORE: Judge, if you want me go through having 8 a --9 MR. KUNZ: Just put a tab number on that one. 10 THE COURT: He's just saying put a Plaintiffs' number. 11 MR. MOORE: Fine. I'll put on 460. Move the 12 admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 460, Judge. 13 MR. KUNZ: No objection. 14 THE COURT: 460 is received. 15 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 460 received in evidence) 16 THE COURT: He wants you to look at number four for a 17 minute. 18 Q. Number four says that one of the responsibilities of a command integrity control officer is to make recommendations 19 20 concerning -- to the commanding officer concerning integrity 21 control, correct? 22 A. Yes. 2.3 Q. Did you ever make any recommendations to the commanding 24 officer during the time you were the ICO concerning anything 25 having to do with memo book entries or activity logs? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3610 Peters - direct

D4G9FLO5

A. Yes.

2 Q. Turn to page 77 of your deposition.

Line 2, page 77.

- 4 "Q. Have you made any recommendations regarding activity log 5 entries?
  - "A. I don't remember."

7 So at your deposition in November 2009 when you were 8 asked that question you said you didn't remember whether you 9 had ever made any, correct?

10 A. Yes.

3

6

- 11 Q. But you're now remembering that you made some now?
- 12 A. I always make -- we always make recommendations. I can't
- 13 tell you a specific. So that's why I said no at that time.
- 14 Q. So if you did you can't --
- 15 A. A specific one, no, I don't.

16 But everyday I met with the CO. There was always

- 17 issues to be discussed. And recommendations to be made.
- 18 Q. And you don't recall making any recommendations at any time
- to the commanding officer concerning stop, question and frisk, 19
- 20 right?
- A. I'm sure we had numerous discussions about memo book 21
- 22 entries and stop and frisks but --
- 2.3 Q. Do you recall being asked at your deposition:
- "Q. Have you made any recommendations regarding stop, question 24
- 25 and frisk?"

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 And your answer was, "I don't remember."

2 That's what you testified to at your deposition,

- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And finally, at these -- with respect to making
- 6 recommendations, you don't remember ever making any
- 7 recommendations to the -- to your -- concerning -- to your
- 8 commanding officer concerning anything having to do with racial
- 9 profiling, right?
- 10 A. I don't remember mentioning racial profiling, no.
- 11 Q. You don't remember ever mentioning the word racial
- 12 profiling?
- 13 A. Right. Correct.
- 14 Q. Then it says, if you look at paragraph ten, it says you
- 15 have -- one of your responsibilities is "conduct investigations
- and submit reports, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you don't recall ever conducting any investigations
- 19 concerning whether officers were in compliance with the
- 20 regulations concerning stop, question and frisk, right? You
- 21 don't remember that, right?
- 22 A. I can't -- I get many cases. They -- a lot of them
- incorporated people who said they were unlawfully stopped.
- 24 So -- yes, they would fall into those categories.
- Q. Once again at your deposition, page 82.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

"Q. Have any of those investigations to which you have been assigned involved an officer's compliance with NYPD policies

and procedures regarding stop, question and frisk?" 3

And your answer was, "I don't remember."

5 That's what you testified to in November of 2009,

6 correct?

4

- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And you also testified in 2009 that you don't remember any
- 9 investigations concerning either racial profiling or memo book
- 10 or activity logs, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Now, take a look at paragraph 28 of this document; the
- 13 second page, item number 28. 14
  - Do you see that?
- 15 A. (No response).
- 16 Q. It says "Debrief prisoners concerning corruption/serious
- 17 misconduct, when possible"?
- 18
- Q. Did you ever have occasion to debrief people who were in 19
- 20 custody while you were an integrity control officer in the
- 21 28th precinct?
- 22 A. We tried to do seven to ten prisoners a month, debrief
- 2.3 them.
- 24 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the answer.
- 25 A. We usually try to hit -- we usually try to do seven to ten SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

- 1 prisoners a month on --
- 2 Q. Did you ever have -- you never asked any of those people
- 3 about issues related to stop and frisk, did you?
- 4 A. I asked them the circumstances of why they were arrested.
- 5 I asked them if they had any complaints about the officer's
- 6 conduct. And if they had a complaint then I would file --
- 7 appropriately, you know, give -- do a civilian complaint. Or
- 8 if it was an allegation of missing property, we would notify
- 9 internal affairs.
- 10 Q. So, is it your testimony that you do recall debriefing a
- 11 prisoner regarding stop, question and frisk or you don't?
- 12 A. I didn't specifically ever ask a prisoner about --
- 13 Q. Stop, question --
- 14 A. Stop, question and frisk. But I asked them about the
- 15 arrest, the circumstances of the arrest and if anything was
- 16 inappropriate.
- 17 Q. Again, you don't ever recall debriefing a prisoner about
- 18 the possibility of their arrest having been based on -- or
- 19 their stop having been based on issues involving racial
- 20 profiling, right?
- 21 You never debriefed a prisoner on that, correct?
- 22 A. If a prisoner had any issues --
- 23 Q. If you could answer yes or no, if you could recall doing
- 24 that?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

- 1 Q. Do you ever recall doing that?
- 2 A. Not particularly. I would ask them a general thing. If
- 3 they said yes, they were stopped because they were black
- 4 obviously we'd do a CCRB for them.
- 5 Q. So you did CCRB complaints?
- 6 A. If someone made a civilian complaint, yeah, of course, we do civilian complaints.
- Q. So is it your testimony that you said you would do a CCRB
- 9 complaint for them?
- 10 A. If they had a civilian complaint we'd have one taken. I don't remember specifically --
- 12 Q. I'm trying to understand the answer to your last question.

My question was do you ever recall ever debriefing prisoners about racial profiling?

And you said if they said they were stopped because they were black, they would -- you would do a CCRB complaint for them? Isn't that what you testified to?

18 A. Yes.

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: So what does that mean? He's trying to find out what does it mean to do the complaint for them?

THE WITNESS: We'd go in there and we'd fill out the civilian complaint form. At some point --

THE COURT: You would?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, because the person is complaining.

THE COURT: So you would fill it out for them?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

THE WITNESS: Right. We wouldn't give them a pen. But we would fill out the form. And then you know at some point down the road somebody from CCRB would contact them.

THE COURT: I just wanted to know if you would actually fill out the form for them.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: And then they'd sign it?

THE WITNESS: They'd sign it or we'd give them the number that we got because we would call it in right away, so we at least give them the number. Sometimes CCRB would interview them right there.

 ${\tt Q.}$  How many complaints do you recall facilitating about the issue of racial profiling?

A. I don't remember.

2.3

 THE COURT: More than one?

THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. I mean people would stop -- people would often say the reason I was stopped was because I was black.

THE COURT: Did that happen more than ten times?

THE WITNESS: At least a half a dozen. I mean a lot of civilian complaints when you would read them, that's what people would allege. I mean, that's -
Q. And, all right. So --

MR. MOORE: Judge, I'm going to, again, what number are we up to?

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct THE COURT: 461. 1 2 What is 461? 3 MR. MOORE: Judge, these are three months of filled 4 out 802-A worksheets for the year 2009 that Lieutenant Peters 5 had reviewed. 6 I want to move them into evidence. I provided copies 7 of them to the city previously. 8 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Kunz? 9 MR. KUNZ: I'm confused how they don't have an exhibit 10 number. 11 MR. MOORE: Because they were taken out of a larger 12 document, exhibit called D6, which was Defendants' Exhibit. I 13 pulled the ones just for --14 THE COURT: I see. 15 MR. MOORE: -- lieutenant Peters. 16 MR. KUNZ: If these are excerpts of D6 we have no 17 objection. 18 THE COURT: They are. So 461 is received. 19 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 461 received in evidence) 20 MR. KUNZ: I will say that it does not look like that D6 was identified as a potential exhibit with this witness. 21 22 MR. MOORE: I disagree. 2.3 MR. KUNZ: I have the printed list right here. 24 Q. Lieutenant Peters, do you recognize these forms here? 25 A. This is the 28 precinct self-inspection for SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

- 1 police-initiated enforcement.
- Q. And just for the record I'll indicate that it's for the months of August, September, and July 2009.

THE COURT: July, August, September.

- 5 MR. MOORE: July, August, September.
- 6 THE COURT: I know. But that's what you meant July,
- 7 August September. Okay.
- 8 Q. With each 802-A worksheet there is the -- well, the
- 9 coversheet and then there is the five -- the documents with
- 10 respect to five police-initiated enforcement actions in the
- 11 precinct, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

4

16

- 13 Q. And just so the Court, if it wants to look at it, if you
- look at the first enforcement action it appears at page 7849
- which is the fourth page of this document.
  - THE COURT: Okay.
- 17 Q. This is known as an online booking sheet, correct.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The two pages are an online booking sheet, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And if you notice up at the top left-hand side of the
- document, a little bit down, there's a section -- there's a box
- 23 that says stop and frisk.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

- 1 THE COURT: I don't.
- 2 MR. MOORE: Coming down from where it says arrest date 3 up at the top.
- 4 THE COURT: I see. Stop and frisk, no. On that one.
- 5 Q. So that means this was a probable cause arrest, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And there is no -- there would be no 250 form or
- 8 stop-and-frisk form that would go along with this, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And so each of these -- each of these enforcement actions
- 11 would indicate whether there was a stop-and-frisk form,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. If you look at page -- and if the stop -- if there was a
- 15 stop-and-frisk form, it should be attached to this document,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. If you look at Bates stamp number 7857, just as an example
- of one where the stop and frisk is checked yes.
- 20 THE COURT: Yes. I see it.
- 21 Q. You see that the stop-and-frisk section, there is box there
- is checked yes, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. But there was no stop-and-frisk form attached to this
- 25 document; is that correct?

## D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

- 1 A. Not to these copies.
- 2 Q. Not to the documents that were produced to us, so.

3 Do you have an independent recollection as to whether

- there was a stop-and-frisk form attached to this document?
- 5 A. No

4

- 6 Q. All right. If you look at this particular document, it
- 7 says -- if you go to the detail section there, you see the
- 8 detail section. We're talking about 7857.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It says, "At time/place of occurrence defendant was
- observed showing a zip bag of marijuana to an unapprehended other."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So the officer would observe criminal conduct, correct?
- 16 A. There might have been more to it like unable to identify
- 17 the marijuana. I don't know how far the person was. Did they
- 18 identify it as marijuana from where they were? Did they have
- 19 to go speak to the person?
- 20 Q. I'm just trying to figure out whether there was even a need
- 21 for a stop-and-frisk form here if the officer was observing
- 22 somebody --
- 23 A. Whenever we --
- Q. Let me finish the question.
- 25 -- if the officer was observing somebody showing a zip SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 bag of marijuana. In that case, wouldn't that be a probable

- 2 cause arrest?
- 3 A. You can't tell from that because "showing a bag of
- 4 marijuana," but how was the officer able to identify it as bag
- 5 of marijuana?
  - Q. Well if somebody is smoking a marijuana joint?
- 7 A. It doesn't say that.
- 8 Q. I know. If somebody is smoking a marijuana cigarette and
- 9 the officer observes them they don't necessarily know it's
- 10 marijuana. They just believe it's marijuana. That would be a
- 11 probable cause arrest, correct?
- 12 A. Well, you could smell the marijuana.
- 13 Q. All right. If they just observed them with a marijuana
- 14 cigarette, are you saying that would not be a probable cause
- 15 arrest?

6

- 16 A. No. You'd have to find out more because you would have
- 17 to -- was the marijuana cigarette lit so you could smell the
- 18 odor of the marijuana? Otherwise, how could you tell it wasn't
- 19 a regular cigarette?
- 20 Q. All right. Why don't you go to 78 -- go to page 7864 in
- 21 this document. Another one of these police-initiated arrests.
- 22 This particular one took place in the month of September 2009.
- 23 Are you at 7864?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And the details is that, "At time/place of occurrence the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

defendant was observed exchanging marijuana for U.S. currency with apprehended buyer."

Do you see that?

4 A. Yes.

3

- Q. And then it says, "Stop and frisk, no."
  Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. When you look at these forms do you ever try to determine whether there was even a need for a stop-and-frisk form?
- 10 A. On all these arrests, when we can the self-inspection, we bring the officers up and discuss the arrest.
- Q. Well, you just said that in each case you would bring the officer up to discuss it, correct?
- 14 A. If feasible, 90 percent, 98 percent of the time, yes.
- 15 Q. Why don't you turn to page 112 of your deposition.

Looking at on page 112, looking at line 10, do you recall being asked this question and giving this answer?

"Q. Do you recall any time in which you spoke with an officer to determine whether an officer had probable cause to make an arrest?"

21 And your answer was, "At this time I don't recall."
22 That was your testimony back in November 2009,

23 correct?

24 THE COURT: That's his answer to every question that 25 you've read.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct

1 THE WITNESS: Because I didn't have a specific

- 2 incident for you at that time. So that's why I said it.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 MR. MOORE: All right, Judge. Now I want to -- I have
- 5 like another five or ten minutes with respect to worksheet 802.
- 6 Q. Worksheet 802 is the self-inspection protocol of stop and
- 7 frisk, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And as the integrity control officer of the 28th precinct
- 10 you were responsible for reviewing those, correct?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Up until some point in 2009 you were responsible, right?
- 13 A. Yes. I was the reviewer on that.
- Q. And then in 2009 that responsibility was given to somebody
- 15 else, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. But at least up until 2009 that was your responsibility
- 18 from the year 2002 to 2009, correct?
- 19 A. As the reviewer, yes.
- 21 blank form before it, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 71 and Plaintiffs'
- 22 Exhibit 62.
- 23 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 71 is the two-page blank 802
- 24 worksheet. And 62 is the --
- 25 THE COURT: Why do I need that? I got an 802-A right SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct in front of me. MR. MOORE: I thought you would want a clean copy. 3 THE COURT: It's clean enough. 4 MR. MOORE: Anyway I would move the admission of 62 and 71 into evidence. 5 MR. KUNZ: No objection. 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't know what 62 and 71 7 8 refers to. 9 MR. MOORE: The first two pages of this document are 10 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 71, Judge. And the third page is 11 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 62. 12 THE COURT: 62 and 71 are received. 13 (Plaintiffs' Exhibits 62 and 71 received in evidence) 14 MR. MOORE: Again these are documents taken from 15 Defendants' Exhibit D6, which I've collected, are the 802 16 worksheets for July, August and September of 2009 that were 17 prepared in the 28th precinct and reviewed by Lieutenant 18 Q. Lieutenant Peters, I'm going to hand you Plaintiffs' 19 20 Exhibit 462. 21 Can you identify these documents? 22 A. It's a stop-question-and-frisk report inspection done in 2.3 July of 2009 by Sergeant Rosario of the 28 precinct. 24 Q. With respect to -- and these were prepared by Sergeant 25 Rosario but reviewed by you, correct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3624 Peters - direct

D4G9FLO5

- A. No.
- Q. You didn't review them at all?
- 3 A. No.

6

- 4 Q. But you recognize them as the 802 forms that were prepared
- 5 by the integrity control officers of the 28th precinct for
  - July, August and September of 2009, correct?
- 7 A. No. We didn't prepare these.
- 8 I was not the reviewer. And Sergeant Rosario was not
- 9 the assistant ICO.
- 10 Q. You don't recognize these documents?
- 11 A. I recognize --
- 12 Q. What is the document?
- 13 A. I recognize the form. I did not prepare that document.
- 14 Q. What are the documents?
- 15 A. The documents are stop-question-and-frisk self-inspections.
- 16 Q. For the 28th precinct for July, August and September of
- 2009, correct? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And are you saying that these never came across your desk?
- 20 A. No. As you can see where it says reviewer's name, my name
- was not on there. It's blank. 21
- 22 Q. Did you testify at your deposition that you recognized
- 2.3 these documents as the 802 worksheets for the 28th precinct
- 24 for this period of time?
- 25 A. That's what it is. It's a worksheet. Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	D4G9FLO5 Peters - direct
1	MR. MOORE: Judge, move the admission of Plaintiffs'
2	Exhibit 462.
3	MR. KUNZ: I thought we just did that. We don't have
4	an objection.
5	THE COURT: I don't have a copy. But you don't
6	object. 462 is received.
7	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 462 received in evidence)
8	MR. MOORE: Judge, I have no further questions.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Kunz.
10	MR. KUNZ: Sorry. One second, your Honor.
11	(Pause)
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. KUNZ:
14	Q. Could you very briefly tell the Court about your various
15	assignments with the NYPD since you joined?
16	A. I went into the academy in July 1984.
17	I was assigned to the 34 precinct in 1985 where I
18	stayed until 1988.
19	I was assigned to the K9 unit after that.
20	I went to the 6 precinct for approximately a year.
21	And then Manhattan South task force K9 for
22	approximately three more years.
23	And then I was promoted to sergeant in 1991.
24	I went to midtown south for six months.
25	And then to the 7th precinct where I was from 1991
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300

D4G9FLO5 Peters - cross

to 1997. I was a field training -- patrol supervisor, field 2 training sergeant and the assistant ICO.

In 1997 I was promoted to lieutenant. I was assigned to the 28 precinct where I was a platoon commander, the operations coordinator for about six months, and then the ICO from '98 until my retirement in 2012.

Q. As the ICO of the 28 precinct, did you attend roll calls? A. Yes, I did.

I tried to attend about three roll calls a week. Each platoon, day tour, four to twelve, midnights. My tours usually overlapped, certain tours. So if I was doing -- if I came in at like 5:00 in the evening, I would hit the midnight tour. And if I was doing -- whatever tour, I tried to hit at least once a week.

- 15 Q. As a ICO at the 28 precinct did you have occasion to review 16 memo books of the sergeants who worked under you?
- 17 A. Yes. Once a month I was required to inspect all the
- 18 supervisors' activity logs that were assigned to the precinct.
- 19 So that was a minimum of once a month. And then periodically,
- 20 through investigations and communications, I had to also review
- 21 sergeants' memo books.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

- 22 Q. As the ICO did you do field inspections?
- 2.3 A. Yes. Tried to about three times a week go out into the
- 24 field in an unmarked car. Sometimes these tours -- sometimes
- 25 it would be for the entire tour. Sometimes for two or three SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Peters - cross

1 hours. I tried to do it three times a week. At odd hours, you

2 know. And that was a big part of the job was going out on

3 patrol.

14

15

- ${\tt Q.}$  And when you were out on patrol would you observe the
- 5 police officers in the 28 precinct conducting activity?
- 6 A. Yes. I mean whenever radio runs would come over, I'd go to
- 7 the location. Sometimes I would maybe stay down the block so
- 8 the officers wouldn't know I was in the area so I could watch
- 9 from a distance and see how the officers conduct themselves.
- 10 Sometimes I would pull up to the scene. It varied.

But that's how I'd see if the officers -- how the officers were interacting with the public, if they were doing the job properly, was to respond to those radio runs.

- Q. How often would you communicate with the commanding officer in the 28 precinct?
- 16 A. Pretty much on a daily basis. If I was working and he was working at the same time, the first thing I would do is when I
- came to work was go in and -- go in and see the commanding
- 19 officer of the precinct to discuss any issues I was having in
- 20 the command or -- and address any issues that he was having,
- 21 anything that he wanted me to work on. So you spend anywhere
- from a half-hour to two hours in his office when I would walk in.
- 24 MR. KUNZ: No further questions, your Honor.
- MR. MOORE: Nothing, Judge.

```
D4G9FLO5
                               Peters - cross
 1
               THE COURT: Okay. You're done. Thank you.
 2
               (Witness excused)
 3
               THE COURT: That's good news.
 4
               MR. CHARNEY: We're going to do Lieutenant Palmieri.
 5
               THE COURT: No?
 6
               All right. Barrelli.
 7
               MR. CHARNEY: We subpoenaed all three of them for
 8
      today.
 9
              THE COURT: We hoped to -- I was actually sitting here
10
      thinking is it Saturday or Sunday that we have to meet if we
11
      can't stick to this schedule. That's what I was thinking.
12
               MR. MOORE: So we're going to do Barrelli.
13
               THE COURT: We better do somebody because we can't
14
      keep to this schedule.
15
               MR. MOORE: I think we're doing pretty good.
16
               THE COURT: You can think what you want. I've got it
17
      in writing. Today we're supposed to complete Barrelli and
18
      Palmieri I doubt that's going to happen.
19
               It's only Tuesday but if we can't keep up we're going
20
      to have to go to weekends. I told you that.
               MR. MOORE: Judge, whatever it takes.
21
22
               THE COURT: That's right.
2.3
               MR. MOORE: We call Lieutenant Barrelli, Judge.
               THE COURT: That's right. Whatever it takes.
24
25
               MR. KUNZ: We went to go grab him.
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                               (212) 805-0300
```

D4G9FLO5 Peters - cross

1 THE COURT: Ms. Richardson went.

2 I suggest to you, Mr. Moore, it would go faster if you didn't repeat yourself.

CARLO BARRELLI,

called as a witness by the Plaintiffs,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. MOORE:

- 9 Q. When did you retire from the police department?
- 10 A. The effective date was April 30, 2012.
- 11 Q. And prior to that what position did you hold in the police department?
- 13 A. I was the ICO of the 107 precinct.
- 14 Q. For the 107th precinct?
- 15 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

- 16 THE COURT: Where is that?
- 17 THE WITNESS: It's in Queens south.
- 18 MR. MOORE: Judge, this is the precinct involving the
- 19 stop that involved David Ourlicht, just for your point of
- 20 reference.
- 21 Q. How long were you the ICO for the 107th precinct?
- 22 A. It started in approximately April 2004. And my last tour
- was the end of January of 2012.
- 24 Yes. 2012. Correct.
- Q. And during the time you were the ICO was Detective SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct

1 Inspector Cirabisi the commanding officer of the 107th?

- 2 A. Deputy Inspector Stephen Cirabisi, correct.
- 3 Q. Was he your CO for the entire time?
- 4 A. No, he wasn't.
- 5 Q. What period of time was he the CO?
- 6 A. I believe he was transferred in 2006 until --
- 7 Q. You never -- during the period of time you were in ICO, you
- 8 never initiated any disciplinary action based upon violations
- 9 of the NYPD's policies regarding stop, question and frisk,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. No, I didn't.
- 12 Q. And during that period of time you never initiated any
- disciplinary action concerning a violation of the NYPD's policy
- 14 regarding racial profiling, correct?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. And during that period of time you never initiated any
- 19 disciplinary action based upon the improper filling out of a
- 20 UF 250, right?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 MR. MOORE: Judge, I'm going to hand Lieutenant
- 23 Barrelli a copy of what's been marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 463
- 24 which is similar to the document that was prepared for the
- 25 28th precinct. It's the QAD audits for the borough that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct

- 1 includes the 107th precinct.
- THE COURT: So any objection to 463?
- 3 MS. RICHARDSON: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: 463 receive.
- 5 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 463 received in evidence)
- 6 Q. Looking at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 463, during the time you
- 7 were the ICO of the precinct with respect to whether the audit
- 8 of whether the members were checking their activity logs, the
- 9 precinct consistently failed that portion of the audit,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. Well were you ever made aware of the results of the QAD
- 13 audits that were done of stop, question and frisk on a periodic
- 14 basis by QAD, were you ever made aware of the results?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Handing you what's been marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 464. Do
- 17 you recognize these documents?
- 18 A. I have seen this -- it's a self-inspection.
- 19 Q. This is based on worksheet 802 the self-inspection for stop
- 20 question and frisk, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And were you aware of these audits that were done while you
- 23 were the ICO?
- 24 A. No. I didn't prepare this form.
- Q. Were you aware of the results?

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Were you the ICO in June of 2009?
- 3 A. Yes
- 4  $\,$  Q. So you don't know how the -- what the results of the audit
- 5 were?

8

- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Well turn to page two --
  - MR. MOORE: Judge, move the admission of 464.
- 9 THE COURT: Any objection to 464?
- MS. RICHARDSON: No objection, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Received.
- 12 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 464 received in evidence)
- Q. Turn to page -- second page of this document which is Bates stamp number 7836.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. This reflects, does it not, the results of the -- withdraw that question.
- 18 They documents reflect the results of the audits that
- were done for these three months in the 107th precinct,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Three months?
- 22 Q. The document -- 464 that you have before you.
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. It reflects the audit for June --
- 25 A. Just for the month of June.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct

- 1 Q. Actually for May, June and July of 2009, correct?
- 2 A. I only see the period evaluated June 2009. On the front
- 3 page. I don't see the other months.
- 4 Q. You don't see that it covers three months?
- 5 A. I don't see -- where do you see that? I don't see that.
- 6 Q. Well --
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 MR. MOORE: Counsel stipulate that it covers those
- 9 three months?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I see there's another page behind it.
- 11 It's a separate -- yes.
- 12 Q. It's a collection of the audits for those three months,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Correct. I see it.
- 15 MR. MOORE: I want to hand you what's been marked
- 16 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 465.
- 17 Q. Do you recognize this document, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What is this?
- 20  $\,$  A. This is the police initiated self-inspection that I
- 21 prepared.
- Q. And this is based on worksheet 802-A, correct?
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 MR. MOORE: Judge, move the admission of Plaintiffs'
- 25 Exhibit 465.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct

- 1 MS. RICHARDSON: No objection.
- THE COURT: 465 received.
- 3 (Plaintiffs' Exhibits 465 received in evidence)
- Q. This reflects audits done with respect to the issue of racial profiling for July -- May, June and July of 2009,
- 6 correct.
- 7 MS. RICHARDSON: For the record, this document is 8 worksheet 802-A.
- 9 MR. MOORE: Right.
- 10 Q. Do you see that it covers those three months?
- 11 A. I see June and July. I don't know if I'm overlooking May.
- 12 There's May. Okay.
- 13 Q. May, June and July, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And this is the audit done pursuant to the NYPD's policy
- 16 regarding racial profiling, correct?
- 17 A. The stop, question and frisk, yes.
- 18 Q. But specifically with respect to the issue of racial
- 19 profiling, right?
- 20 A. Well, both. As indicated in operation 11, racial
- 21 profiling, if anybody was stopped based on their race or if the
- 22 initial stop was correct.
- 23 Q. Right. As you point out, operations order 11 specifically
- 24 refers to the policy regarding racial profiling, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct Q. And this is the auditing procedure that was developed by the police department following the passage of that operations 3 order to audit -- to determine whether the department is 4 complying with the policy against racial profiling, correct? 5 MS. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, we have other witnesses who 6 are going to talk about broad strokes. This witness is here to 7 talk about the inspections. It takes so much time to go over 8 this. We don't need to be here on the weekend if plaintiffs' 9 counsel can just go to what this witness knows about the ICO. 10 We have other witnesses who will talk about the big policy. 11 MR. MOORE: That's really a cheap shot, Judge. 12 THE COURT: I don't know. To go over what operation 13 order 11 is, it refers to the policy regarding racial profiling 14 is not a good question. We've had that. 15 MR. MOORE: It's right in the document, Judge. 16 THE COURT: I know. And that's why you don't need to 17 be doing it. MR. MOORE: It was a foundation for a second question. 18 19 THE COURT: I know. But it all takes time. 20 Ms. Grossman is right. If you would ask the witness what you 21 need to ask him. I don't need the runup. I've had it. 2.2 MR. MOORE: I'm spending ten minutes with this 2.3 witness. This is my last two questions. I think I'm trying to 24 speed it up.

> THE COURT: Doesn't feel like it. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct MR. MOORE: I think it's really a cheap shot for her 1 2 to get up and say that to you. 3 THE COURT: It doesn't feel that way. 4 MR. MOORE: It feels that way to me. 5 THE COURT: It doesn't matter what it feels to you. 6 I'm the trier of fact and you're stuck with that. 7 MR. MOORE: I understand, Judge. And I'm happy with 8 that. 9 THE COURT: Well then get with it. 10 MR. MOORE: Can I ask the follow-up question. 11 THE COURT: I don't know until I hear it, Mr. Moore. 12 Q. Are you aware of any other auditing procedure undertaken by 13 the police department to audit officers' activity with regard 14 to the issue of racial profiling other than what's contained or 15 done pursuant to worksheet 802-A, are you aware of any other 16 auditing procedure? 17 A. No. 18 MR. MOORE: Nothing further, Judge. 19 THE COURT: What did I learn from this witness, 20 Mr. Moore? MR. MOORE: Pardon? 21 22 THE COURT: What did I learn from this witness? 2.3 MR. MOORE: You learned that in the 107th precinct they consistently failed -- Judge, I could go into more of the 24 25 same question that I did with --

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - direct

1 THE COURT: No. I just want to know how effective the

- witness was. If you can't tell me what new information I
- 3 learned from this witness, I suggest you go through the
- 4 remaining witnesses and see if I need them. What did I learn
- from this witness that was unique?
  - MR. MOORE: You learned what happened in the 107th
- 7 precinct. He was the ICO in the 107th precinct.
  - THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
- 9 MR. MOORE: Since that's one of the precincts
- 10 involving a stop in this case, we believe that that would be
- 11 something you would want to know.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Richardson.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. RICHARDSON:
- 15 Q. Lieutenant Barrelli, what years were you employed by the
- 16 NYPD?

6

8

- 17 A. April 25, 1990 to April --
- 18 THE COURT: 2012.
- 19 Q. Can you do me a favor and just speak a little clearer into
- the microphone?
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Your last assignment before you retired was as ICO of the
- 23 107th precinct, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's been over a year since you were last on full duty SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - cross

1 as --

4

THE COURT: Yes. He said 2012. This is '13. It has been over a year.

- Q. Do you remember on direct you were asked a few questions
- 5 about Plaintiffs' Exhibit 463. I believe that's still in front
- 6 of you?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And do you recall that Mr. Moore asked you some questions
- 9 about how the 107th precinct consistently failed those audits.
- 10 Do you remember that?
- 11 A. I remember the question, yes.
- MR. MOORE: Actually it was while he was the ICO,
- 13 Judge. It wasn't -- it covers the period of time when he
- wasn't the ICO.
- THE COURT: It does, you said?
- 16 MR. MOORE: I think it does cover the period of time
- 17 he wasn't.
- 18 BY MS. RICHARDSON:
- 19 Q. I'd like to turn your attention to the third last page of
- 20 that document which is the page bearing Bates stamp number
- 21 NYC --
- 22 THE COURT: I don't need all that. Just the last four
- 23 digits.
- MS. RICHARDSON: 2189.
- THE COURT: Okay.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - cross Q. Do you see on here it lists your precinct, the 107th 2 precinct? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And if you follow that line across, do you see what scores 5 you received on all of those elements of the audit? 6 A. Perfect score of 4.0 on every single column. Q. And does that include the column that reflects officer memo 7 8 book entries? 9 A. Yes, it does. 10 THE COURT: This particular one is what time period, 11 this 2189 document? 12 MS. RICHARDSON: This is 2011. 13 THE COURT: How do I know that? MS. MARTINI: It's at the top. 14

the page ending in 2183. THE COURT: I see. Go back to 2183.

MS. RICHARDSON: No further questions, your Honor.

MS. RICHARDSON: At the very top of the page this is

THE COURT: Anything further?

All right. They're done with you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.

22 (Witness excused)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3 THE COURT: Honestly I just have a suggestion for

you -- these documents were going to be admitted. There wasn't 24

25 going to be an objection. You didn't even need a live witness. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - cross The documents were coming in. You could say after they're in: 2 Your Honor, we'd like you to look at this column on this page. 3 Then they could say: That's fine. Now look at this 4 column on that page. 5 That would have accomplished the identical thing. We 6 didn't need the man to come in. The documents were coming in. 7 That cross-examine was to look at one column on one page. I 8 didn't need the man for that. 9 MR. MOORE: That's because I shortened my examination 10 in light of your comments. 11 THE COURT: I understand. If you can do it all from 12 documents, I don't need the witness. That one really didn't do 13 much. If you wanted to offer the documents, if they agreed 14 that they're coming in, just point to the columns, I'll look at 15 it, and that's the evidence. 16 MR. MOORE: Judge, there's a tension right now because 17 I know that you're anxious to get this thing moving. 18 THE COURT: Well, sure. 19 MR. MOORE: We're anxious to get it moving too. 20 THE COURT: Sure. MR. MOORE: It is important -- especially, just 21 22 listening to Deputy Inspector Ortiz and earlier Esposito how 23 wonderful the supervision is. 24

THE COURT: Well, yes.

25

MR. MOORE: For us to be able to bring some of these SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - cross witnesses on where the supervision hasn't been all that, what 2 we would consider --THE COURT: As reflected in the marks on the 3 4 documents. All I'm saying, if that's all it is --5 MR. MOORE: To be fair, I didn't just use the document 6 with Lieutenant Peters. And I would have gone through much the 7 similar discussion with Lieutenant Barrelli. 8 THE COURT: Most of the time with Peters you pointed 9 out that he gave entirely different answers at his deposition, 10 where he always said, "Not that I remember." 11 MR. MOORE: But isn't that --12 THE COURT: Yes. That was very dramatic. 13 MR. MOORE: It's not dramatic. THE COURT: Well it was dramatic actually. He changed 14 15 his testimony entirely, as you pointed out. But anyway. 16 MR. MOORE: Right. So that's all we're trying to do. 17 We have the burden to show --18 THE COURT: Yes, I know. 19 MR. MOORE: -- that on the supervisory level. 20 THE COURT: You don't need to be cumulative. 21 MR. CHARNEY: We only have one more ICO and I don't 22 plan to introduce I don't think any new documents with him. 2.3 THE COURT: I'm just saying if you're only reading 24 from the documents you don't need the person live. 25 MR. CHARNEY: No, you're right.

3642 D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - cross 1 THE COURT: So that takes us to 4:30. On my list 2 we're one behind. 3 MS. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, we have a witness that is 4 the third witness that is an ICO, similar to the two. Maybe we 5 can work out a stipulation. 6 THE COURT: Maybe. 7 MS. GROSSMAN: Rather than --8 MR. CHARNEY: It's a different precinct, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Sure, it's a different precinct. But the 10 documents are coming in. As I said, you can point to the 11 columns. 12 MR. CHARNEY: I wasn't planning on introducing any 13 documents. I wasn't even planning on showing any of those 14 yearly audits to this witness. I was going to ask him about 15 what he actually did. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. CHARNEY: Which I think is testimony. 18 THE COURT: Yes. That's fine. 19

Okay. See you tomorrow.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

You know what, I also have a request. I'm piling up a lot of documents that have been handed up during the course of many examinations. I don't know if you want to sort through them, put them back in your folders. Because at the end of the day I'll just need all the documents that are evidence in the binders, which I'll probably give back -- Mr. Charney, I'll SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	D4G9FLO5 Barrelli - cross
1	probably give them back to you and say remove what isn't in and
2	keep in what is in. These are all duplicates. You might want
3	to
4	MS. MARTINI: I can coordinate with Mr. Brazeal and
5	take whatever exhibits you wouldn't like.
6	THE COURT: I don't want any of them unless there are
7	deposition transcripts in that pile.
8	THE DEPUTY CLERK: There are a few.
9	THE COURT: Between the two of you, if you can just
10	sit there a minute while I take a couple short matters.
11	(Adjourned to April 17, 2013 at 10:00 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1	T.V.D. (1		٥-									
1	INDE	Х	OF	. F	ΙXΑ	MТ	NΑ	7.T. T	.ON			_
2	Examination of:											Page
3	SEAN GILLESPIE											
4	Direct By Mr. Charney .											
5	Cross By Ms. Publicker .											.3441
6	Redirect By Mr. Charney											.3463
7	EDWARD ARIAS											
8	Direct By Ms. Martini .											.3467
9	Cross By Mr. Kunz											.3478
10	Redirect By Ms. Martini											.3496
11	CHARLES ORTIZ											
12	Direct By Mr. Charney .											.3498
13	Cross By Ms. Grossman .											
14	Redirect By Mr. Charney											
15	ENNO PETERS											
16	Direct By Mr. Moore											.3579
17	Cross By Mr. Kunz											
18	CARLO BARRELLI											
19	Direct By Mr. Moore						_					.3629
20	Cross By Ms. Richardson											
21		•	•					•	•	•		
22												
23												
24												
25												
۷ ک										_	_	

1							]	PLA	1I	TI	IFI	7 I	EXI	HIE	3I:	ΓS			
2	Exhibi	t No																	Received
3	214																		.3475
4	215																		.3493
5	81																		.3552
6	282																		.3588
7	58																		.3592
8	61																		.3592
9	459																		.3596
10	84																		.3600
11	460																		.3609
12	461																		.3616
13	62 an	d 71																	.3623
14	462																		.3625
15	463																		.3631
16	464																		.3632
17	465																		.3634
18							Ι	DEE	FEI	NDA	AN.	ΓЕ	ΞXΙ	HIE	BI:	ΓS			
19	Exhibi	t No																	Received
20	Q13																		.3456
21	F5																		.3581
22																			
23																			
24																			
25																			
				SC	יוזכ	гнт	ากา	V I	) T (	TTE	2 T (	٦Т	RI	r D (	אר	rr:	2.5	. 1	P C